

1
00:00:02,060 --> 00:00:08,009
good morning everybody

2
00:00:04,469 --> 00:00:10,589
as they just said my name's Christopher

3
00:00:08,009 --> 00:00:13,500
Smith and actually today's today's my

4
00:00:10,589 --> 00:00:14,240
birthday really excited about that thank

5
00:00:13,500 --> 00:00:17,250
you

6
00:00:14,240 --> 00:00:19,528
and for Reggie Nelson if you go back to

7
00:00:17,250 --> 00:00:26,250
the lab and you see the rags going all

8
00:00:19,528 --> 00:00:28,439
crazy that's probably wise so uh so

9
00:00:26,250 --> 00:00:30,839
anyways I'm here today to talk about a

10
00:00:28,439 --> 00:00:32,130
small experiment that was conducted as

11
00:00:30,839 --> 00:00:36,808
part of a course at the University of

12
00:00:32,130 --> 00:00:38,070
Colorado and the experiment predicted

13
00:00:36,808 --> 00:00:39,929
the daily outcome of the Dow Jones

14
00:00:38,070 --> 00:00:42,750
Industrial Average and we use 10

15
00:00:39,929 --> 00:00:45,000
experienced remote viewers and use the

16
00:00:42,750 --> 00:00:46,320
Associated no viewing protocol and as

17
00:00:45,000 --> 00:00:48,659
you can see seven out of seven trials

18
00:00:46,320 --> 00:00:50,579
were predicted successfully which is

19
00:00:48,659 --> 00:00:54,979
highly significant with less than 1%

20
00:00:50,579 --> 00:00:54,980
probability that it was due to chance so

21
00:00:55,520 --> 00:00:59,550
first let me talk about what the mode

22
00:00:58,109 --> 00:01:01,140
dealing is and I'm sure most of you here

23
00:00:59,549 --> 00:01:03,808
are familiar with it but for the sake of

24
00:01:01,140 --> 00:01:05,280
this talk I'm just going to define it as

25
00:01:03,808 --> 00:01:07,560
the ability to gather information about

26
00:01:05,280 --> 00:01:10,409
a target that is distant or unseen using

27
00:01:07,560 --> 00:01:13,109
only the mind and so then associated

28
00:01:10,409 --> 00:01:14,368
remote viewing or ARV would be a type of

29

00:01:13,109 --> 00:01:16,379
remote viewing or a remote viewing

30
00:01:14,368 --> 00:01:18,509
protocol that's used to predict the

31
00:01:16,379 --> 00:01:21,420
outcome of an event and usually this

32
00:01:18,509 --> 00:01:23,250
event is a binomial event meaning that

33
00:01:21,420 --> 00:01:25,350
there are two possible outcomes only one

34
00:01:23,250 --> 00:01:29,219
of which can come true like flipping a

35
00:01:25,349 --> 00:01:32,158
coin a little bit about some associative

36
00:01:29,219 --> 00:01:34,798
movie only background some of the

37
00:01:32,159 --> 00:01:37,020
earlier studies were Russell Targ sorry

38
00:01:34,799 --> 00:01:39,450
Russell Targ and Keith Ray and I

39
00:01:37,019 --> 00:01:41,548
predicted this set of features being

40
00:01:39,450 --> 00:01:44,520
very successful predicting nine other

41
00:01:41,549 --> 00:01:45,960
trials correctly out of nine and then

42
00:01:44,519 --> 00:01:48,209
there was how put-off study that was

43
00:01:45,959 --> 00:01:50,489

published in 84 which predicted

44

00:01:48,209 --> 00:01:52,158

commodities markets 21 out of 30 times

45

00:01:50,489 --> 00:01:55,519

correctly resulting a really high

46

00:01:52,159 --> 00:02:01,310

probability that it's not due to chance

47

00:01:55,519 --> 00:02:04,379

another study in 95 with target at all

48

00:02:01,310 --> 00:02:07,978

use to viewers each doing six sessions

49

00:02:04,379 --> 00:02:10,489

so 12:36

50

00:02:07,978 --> 00:02:12,360

I guess sessions so 12 of the sessions

51

00:02:10,489 --> 00:02:15,599

sorry 11 sessions were

52

00:02:12,360 --> 00:02:17,340

out of the 12 also resulting in a really

53

00:02:15,599 --> 00:02:23,150

higher probability that it was not due a

54

00:02:17,340 --> 00:02:26,550

chance and then Greg called jezik judges

55

00:02:23,150 --> 00:02:27,960

pronouncing that correctly over the

56

00:02:26,550 --> 00:02:31,439

years has conducted a lot of informal

57

00:02:27,960 --> 00:02:34,590

experiments being correct even he's than

58
00:02:31,439 --> 00:02:37,550
over 3600 of them and was correct almost

59
00:02:34,590 --> 00:02:40,560
2000 of this the sessions so that really

60
00:02:37,550 --> 00:02:41,760
results in a high pvalue as well and all

61
00:02:40,560 --> 00:02:43,710
this information is available on his

62
00:02:41,759 --> 00:02:45,840
website and I don't have that here but

63
00:02:43,710 --> 00:02:47,099
you can find it relatively easier he

64
00:02:45,840 --> 00:02:47,310
comes out to me after a result I'll let

65
00:02:47,099 --> 00:02:50,969
you know

66
00:02:47,310 --> 00:02:52,469
and then Jack calc wrote extensively on

67
00:02:50,969 --> 00:02:53,969
his website also about remote viewing

68
00:02:52,469 --> 00:02:57,569
and that's available for you to go check

69
00:02:53,969 --> 00:02:58,830
out as well as you can see using remote

70
00:02:57,569 --> 00:03:01,109
viewing to predict the stock market has

71
00:02:58,830 --> 00:03:02,459
been something that has been talked

72
00:03:01,110 --> 00:03:03,990
about for a while and this is just an

73
00:03:02,459 --> 00:03:06,539
example of an advertisement that you can

74
00:03:03,990 --> 00:03:10,469
find or see on the web and in some

75
00:03:06,539 --> 00:03:12,900
publications so let's talk a bit about

76
00:03:10,469 --> 00:03:16,169
the protocol and the way that we did our

77
00:03:12,900 --> 00:03:17,450
experiment we is similar to how most

78
00:03:16,169 --> 00:03:20,129
people do associate remote viewing

79
00:03:17,449 --> 00:03:22,729
experiments and there's really five

80
00:03:20,129 --> 00:03:25,049
steps so the first one is the tasking

81
00:03:22,729 --> 00:03:29,099
the second step would be the actual

82
00:03:25,050 --> 00:03:30,900
viewing the third the judging the force

83
00:03:29,099 --> 00:03:33,509
is when the transaction takes place and

84
00:03:30,900 --> 00:03:35,819
then the fifth is the feedback when you

85
00:03:33,509 --> 00:03:39,269
when you give the viewers the results

86

00:03:35,819 --> 00:03:42,030
and there are three main rules involved

87
00:03:39,269 --> 00:03:44,099
in experiment there was the Tasker but

88
00:03:42,030 --> 00:03:47,280
the viewer or viewers in our case and

89
00:03:44,099 --> 00:03:49,409
the judge and it's possible for the task

90
00:03:47,280 --> 00:03:52,890
and the judge to be the same although in

91
00:03:49,409 --> 00:03:53,909
our case they were to donate in depth

92
00:03:52,889 --> 00:03:57,869
about how we did I'm going to take you

93
00:03:53,909 --> 00:04:00,329
through one of our sessions so the task

94
00:03:57,870 --> 00:04:02,700
you chose before the actual session two

95
00:04:00,330 --> 00:04:04,860
different images one the keyboard in

96
00:04:02,699 --> 00:04:07,169
this case represented it was associated

97
00:04:04,860 --> 00:04:09,450
with the market being up at the end of

98
00:04:07,169 --> 00:04:12,139
day and the second image the earth was

99
00:04:09,449 --> 00:04:15,798
associated with the market being down

100
00:04:12,139 --> 00:04:18,418

after this was decided the Tasker then

101

00:04:15,799 --> 00:04:20,579
came to our class in this case

102

00:04:18,418 --> 00:04:23,068
and simply said draw the picture that we

103

00:04:20,579 --> 00:04:25,740
are gonna show you at the beginning of

104

00:04:23,069 --> 00:04:29,039
our next class and just based on the way

105

00:04:25,740 --> 00:04:30,960
that College schedules work sometimes I

106

00:04:29,038 --> 00:04:32,519
was two days and sometimes that was more

107

00:04:30,959 --> 00:04:35,399
like three days three or four days if

108

00:04:32,519 --> 00:04:38,128
there was a weekend and we were given

109

00:04:35,399 --> 00:04:40,589
five minutes to complete the session and

110

00:04:38,129 --> 00:04:44,550
we stayed in the group and so we all

111

00:04:40,589 --> 00:04:46,138
reviewing at the same time so then we

112

00:04:44,550 --> 00:04:48,329
viewed and this is an example of what

113

00:04:46,139 --> 00:04:52,228
one of those viewers drew and as you can

114

00:04:48,329 --> 00:04:54,000
see here there's a half circle and and

115
00:04:52,228 --> 00:04:58,318
it says here bright colors green red

116
00:04:54,000 --> 00:05:00,389
yellow and white and so then the next

117
00:04:58,319 --> 00:05:02,908
step the judging the judge took that and

118
00:05:00,389 --> 00:05:05,129
then examined that session compared it

119
00:05:02,908 --> 00:05:07,438
to the Associated images look for

120
00:05:05,129 --> 00:05:09,539
similarities between the session images

121
00:05:07,439 --> 00:05:10,979
and one of the in the two targets and

122
00:05:09,538 --> 00:05:13,769
insult which one it compared more

123
00:05:10,978 --> 00:05:17,490
closely to and then use that target to

124
00:05:13,769 --> 00:05:20,098
guide or an ad actually they did this

125
00:05:17,490 --> 00:05:22,228
for each of the sessions so whichever of

126
00:05:20,098 --> 00:05:25,110
the two images the Associated images had

127
00:05:22,228 --> 00:05:26,908
the most sessions that was sort of

128
00:05:25,110 --> 00:05:28,860
correlated with it we use that to

129
00:05:26,908 --> 00:05:32,759
predict how the market would actually

130
00:05:28,860 --> 00:05:35,250
perform the next day so in our case we

131
00:05:32,759 --> 00:05:36,569
had the keyboard and the planet keyboard

132
00:05:35,250 --> 00:05:38,310
representing up in the in the plan of

133
00:05:36,569 --> 00:05:40,199
the presenting down and then we had this

134
00:05:38,310 --> 00:05:42,778
session so the judge would look at these

135
00:05:40,199 --> 00:05:45,689
elements here the half circle the bright

136
00:05:42,778 --> 00:05:47,430
colors green yellow and white and and

137
00:05:45,689 --> 00:05:48,419
choose which category to put it in this

138
00:05:47,430 --> 00:05:50,069
one is little harder because there's

139
00:05:48,418 --> 00:05:51,990
also the square here which could be the

140
00:05:50,069 --> 00:05:54,088
keyboard but but I think the judge

141
00:05:51,990 --> 00:05:59,879
determined that these over reared that

142
00:05:54,088 --> 00:06:01,519
one and sure enough he assigned it to

143

00:05:59,879 --> 00:06:05,729
the down category

144
00:06:01,519 --> 00:06:07,019
so then majority of the sessions were

145
00:06:05,728 --> 00:06:09,930
associated the down category so the

146
00:06:07,019 --> 00:06:13,859
tester then bought options bought

147
00:06:09,930 --> 00:06:15,240
options on down category and then about

148
00:06:13,860 --> 00:06:17,580
in the morning in the mid servant in the

149
00:06:15,240 --> 00:06:21,899
in the afternoon before the close of the

150
00:06:17,579 --> 00:06:23,728
trading day and the next day gave us

151
00:06:21,899 --> 00:06:26,879
feedback and so the feedback is very

152
00:06:23,728 --> 00:06:28,588
important this is where the Tasker comes

153
00:06:26,879 --> 00:06:29,970
in and shows the image associated with

154
00:06:28,588 --> 00:06:33,240
the actual outcome of

155
00:06:29,970 --> 00:06:35,280
the stockmarket performed and only how

156
00:06:33,240 --> 00:06:37,170
the actual how come not not necessarily

157
00:06:35,279 --> 00:06:40,739

the image that the most images were

158

00:06:37,170 --> 00:06:43,560

associated with and it must take place

159

00:06:40,740 --> 00:06:46,470

at the expected time so that way when

160

00:06:43,560 --> 00:06:48,269

you're viewing originally they're

161

00:06:46,470 --> 00:06:49,980

tapping into that feedback event and it

162

00:06:48,269 --> 00:06:53,789

closes the feedback loop it's really

163

00:06:49,980 --> 00:06:55,350

important so in our case the actual mark

164

00:06:53,790 --> 00:06:58,170

the market actually performed down that

165

00:06:55,350 --> 00:06:59,939

day which was correlated with the planet

166

00:06:58,170 --> 00:07:01,800

this is so this is what we showed the

167

00:06:59,939 --> 00:07:06,269

viewers and it was a hit and we were

168

00:07:01,800 --> 00:07:08,400

successful so moving on I'm gonna show a

169

00:07:06,269 --> 00:07:10,740

few more sessions you can kind of get an

170

00:07:08,399 --> 00:07:12,479

idea of the quality of some of the work

171

00:07:10,740 --> 00:07:15,990

that these experience from our viewers

172
00:07:12,480 --> 00:07:18,840
were doing so here we have a session

173
00:07:15,990 --> 00:07:21,900
where the viewer drew this teletype

174
00:07:18,839 --> 00:07:24,929
object and described it as tall obvious

175
00:07:21,899 --> 00:07:28,229
shaped metal surrounded by an open space

176
00:07:24,930 --> 00:07:31,800
or field it's cold lonely got a person

177
00:07:28,230 --> 00:07:34,830
down here reminded them of a Washington

178
00:07:31,800 --> 00:07:37,350
Monument a ladder the radio tower in

179
00:07:34,829 --> 00:07:39,109
field or the Eiffel Tower and the judge

180
00:07:37,350 --> 00:07:45,379
had to choose between these two images a

181
00:07:39,110 --> 00:07:47,430
planet and the Statue of Liberty and so

182
00:07:45,379 --> 00:07:49,469
needs to say the judge put it in a

183
00:07:47,430 --> 00:07:54,300
category the Statue of Liberty which was

184
00:07:49,470 --> 00:07:56,720
a hit in this session the viewer drew a

185
00:07:54,300 --> 00:07:59,220
little rabbit looking thing here and

186
00:07:56,720 --> 00:08:02,030
some sort of flower type shape and

187
00:07:59,220 --> 00:08:05,160
described it as fuzzy furry

188
00:08:02,029 --> 00:08:09,449
Underground's reminding him of a small

189
00:08:05,160 --> 00:08:10,830
mammal and a coat rack top and so the

190
00:08:09,449 --> 00:08:15,050
judge had to choose between these two

191
00:08:10,829 --> 00:08:18,060
images a mountain biker and a hamster

192
00:08:15,050 --> 00:08:19,710
but means to say he's really confused

193
00:08:18,060 --> 00:08:21,689
about where this fit in and how to like

194
00:08:19,709 --> 00:08:23,939
I mean this element wasn't really

195
00:08:21,689 --> 00:08:26,310
present I mean it you know like really

196
00:08:23,939 --> 00:08:28,649
clearly needed these two images but upon

197
00:08:26,310 --> 00:08:30,060
closer inspection I thought that maybe

198
00:08:28,649 --> 00:08:33,478
it looked a little bit like the hamsters

199
00:08:30,060 --> 00:08:36,149
foot so it's possible to lead up to you

200

00:08:33,479 --> 00:08:37,639
decide but

201
00:08:36,149 --> 00:08:40,019
so if we look at the individual results

202
00:08:37,639 --> 00:08:42,870
annotated here where each column

203
00:08:40,019 --> 00:08:45,960
represents a viewer the green represents

204
00:08:42,870 --> 00:08:48,089
hits the red represents misses and the

205
00:08:45,960 --> 00:08:49,379
white represents either they weren't in

206
00:08:48,089 --> 00:08:51,330
attendance that day and didn't do the

207
00:08:49,379 --> 00:08:52,439
session or they were just too close to

208
00:08:51,330 --> 00:08:54,870
actually put in one of the two

209
00:08:52,440 --> 00:08:57,360
categories and as you can see this long

210
00:08:54,870 --> 00:08:59,278
more green than red here and if you if

211
00:08:57,360 --> 00:09:02,278
you look down here then that indicates

212
00:08:59,278 --> 00:09:04,710
that 47 of the 66 individual sessions

213
00:09:02,278 --> 00:09:07,049
were considered hits and that is pretty

214
00:09:04,710 --> 00:09:09,330

highly significant p-value of the

215

00:09:07,049 --> 00:09:10,919

probability of doing being due to chance

216

00:09:09,330 --> 00:09:14,940

being less than point zero zero three

217

00:09:10,919 --> 00:09:16,019

and however that wasn't exactly what we

218

00:09:14,940 --> 00:09:18,000

were trying to do we weren't trying to

219

00:09:16,019 --> 00:09:20,009

see how many sessions be right but

220

00:09:18,000 --> 00:09:22,019

rather we can predict the market in the

221

00:09:20,009 --> 00:09:25,139

seven different trials so this isn't the

222

00:09:22,019 --> 00:09:29,669

p-value that we were ultimately looking

223

00:09:25,139 --> 00:09:31,949

for so they this led to a number of

224

00:09:29,669 --> 00:09:33,870

different questions and so the first

225

00:09:31,950 --> 00:09:36,420

question is is the better to use one

226

00:09:33,870 --> 00:09:38,639

expert remote viewer or several

227

00:09:36,419 --> 00:09:40,799

inexperienced from over yours and if we

228

00:09:38,639 --> 00:09:43,769

take a look at our group results we can

229
00:09:40,799 --> 00:09:45,990
see that one viewer correctly predicted

230
00:09:43,769 --> 00:09:47,519
seven out of seven Charles but six

231
00:09:45,990 --> 00:09:51,180
correctly predicted five out of the

232
00:09:47,519 --> 00:09:52,699
seven to four to the seven and one was

233
00:09:51,179 --> 00:09:57,120
off so if you were to use just one

234
00:09:52,700 --> 00:09:58,259
remote viewer you could see that if you

235
00:09:57,120 --> 00:10:00,389
use this one you would you would do

236
00:09:58,259 --> 00:10:03,240
really well but if you use this one you

237
00:10:00,389 --> 00:10:05,490
wouldn't do so well so but when you

238
00:10:03,240 --> 00:10:06,450
activate all of them we actually ended

239
00:10:05,490 --> 00:10:10,200
up being correct

240
00:10:06,450 --> 00:10:11,700
you know all seven times so the second

241
00:10:10,200 --> 00:10:13,890
question it brings up is how can we

242
00:10:11,700 --> 00:10:16,620
improve the judging this might have been

243
00:10:13,889 --> 00:10:18,088
the hardest part for us and potentially

244
00:10:16,620 --> 00:10:22,019
the hardest part in a lot of associate

245
00:10:18,089 --> 00:10:24,330
remote viewing I imagine and as an

246
00:10:22,019 --> 00:10:27,208
example we had this session here which

247
00:10:24,330 --> 00:10:30,660
you had these crossed things separate

248
00:10:27,208 --> 00:10:32,609
items bell-shaped these curvy things

249
00:10:30,659 --> 00:10:36,299
that look like creepy fingers bent over

250
00:10:32,610 --> 00:10:38,159
Bowl fuzzy round object expanding from

251
00:10:36,299 --> 00:10:41,549
inside out and the judge had to choose

252
00:10:38,159 --> 00:10:42,069
between the Needle in Seattle or these

253
00:10:41,549 --> 00:10:44,859
these

254
00:10:42,070 --> 00:10:46,870
Rand's here and there's elements in both

255
00:10:44,860 --> 00:10:48,730
as you can see this kind of looks like

256
00:10:46,870 --> 00:10:51,850
the horns this kind of looks like the

257

00:10:48,730 --> 00:10:53,110
feet of the beetle and the judge put in

258
00:10:51,850 --> 00:10:55,810
the category the needle due mostly to

259
00:10:53,110 --> 00:10:57,759
this and the saucer thing but in

260
00:10:55,809 --> 00:10:59,739
actuality the Rams were the right one

261
00:10:57,759 --> 00:11:02,050
and so this is typically known as this

262
00:10:59,740 --> 00:11:04,659
displacement where you getting images of

263
00:11:02,049 --> 00:11:06,429
both of the two sessions and that's a

264
00:11:04,659 --> 00:11:08,528
problem and it's kind of hard to deal

265
00:11:06,429 --> 00:11:11,620
with so judging is actually pretty

266
00:11:08,528 --> 00:11:13,958
subjective so this improve judging is

267
00:11:11,620 --> 00:11:16,409
you can pick very different objects to

268
00:11:13,958 --> 00:11:20,469
avoid as much displacement as possible

269
00:11:16,409 --> 00:11:22,120
you can determine in advance what types

270
00:11:20,470 --> 00:11:24,220
of criteria are gonna outweigh other

271
00:11:22,120 --> 00:11:28,078

types etc and you can use multiple

272

00:11:24,220 --> 00:11:30,160

judges to further aggregate the results

273

00:11:28,078 --> 00:11:32,198

so the next question it brings up this

274

00:11:30,159 --> 00:11:35,588

how can we improve the transaction

275

00:11:32,198 --> 00:11:37,269

timing and this is important because as

276

00:11:35,589 --> 00:11:38,769

you can see this is the dow jones on an

277

00:11:37,269 --> 00:11:42,009

adjuster ran one of the days of our

278

00:11:38,769 --> 00:11:44,799

sessions and you know it starts down it

279

00:11:42,009 --> 00:11:47,110

actually goes up at about three o'clock

280

00:11:44,799 --> 00:11:50,679

before finally closing down

281

00:11:47,110 --> 00:11:53,620

so if our transaction time fluctuate a

282

00:11:50,679 --> 00:11:56,078

day to day it could be at 3 1 billion

283

00:11:53,620 --> 00:11:59,129

min a fourth day another day and it

284

00:11:56,078 --> 00:11:59,129

would be dramatically different results

285

00:11:59,458 --> 00:12:04,268

so that would that indicated us is that

286

00:12:02,559 --> 00:12:07,088

we need to spit pick specific

287

00:12:04,269 --> 00:12:08,528

transaction times another probably

288

00:12:07,089 --> 00:12:10,269

encountered is that we were trying to

289

00:12:08,528 --> 00:12:12,100

sell right before the the close of the

290

00:12:10,269 --> 00:12:16,440

day when everybody's trying to sell and

291

00:12:12,100 --> 00:12:18,819

so we ended up you know missing out on

292

00:12:16,440 --> 00:12:21,130

you know revenue which isn't really that

293

00:12:18,818 --> 00:12:23,610

important this experiment but yeah I

294

00:12:21,129 --> 00:12:25,838

think it's really important to be

295

00:12:23,610 --> 00:12:30,909

exactly sure when you're gonna sell and

296

00:12:25,839 --> 00:12:32,319

a little bit before like say for 30 some

297

00:12:30,909 --> 00:12:34,509

of the strengths in this study though is

298

00:12:32,318 --> 00:12:36,389

that we use multiple viewers and as far

299

00:12:34,509 --> 00:12:38,828

as I'm aware I don't know if this has

300
00:12:36,389 --> 00:12:40,839
been done before in the same way where

301
00:12:38,828 --> 00:12:45,669
we used several viewers and then I'm

302
00:12:40,839 --> 00:12:47,110
picking one on one task and I could be

303
00:12:45,669 --> 00:12:49,089
running that at someone let me know at

304
00:12:47,110 --> 00:12:52,129
the end of family

305
00:12:49,090 --> 00:12:54,470
we use real money which we think might

306
00:12:52,129 --> 00:12:55,730
have connected the viewers with the

307
00:12:54,470 --> 00:12:57,019
actual outcome of what they're trying to

308
00:12:55,730 --> 00:12:58,700
predict even though it's not necessarily

309
00:12:57,019 --> 00:13:01,100
that they even know what they're trying

310
00:12:58,700 --> 00:13:02,390
to predict and the study was a

311
00:13:01,100 --> 00:13:06,370
predetermined length of time which

312
00:13:02,389 --> 00:13:08,840
avoided viewer burnout and fatigue

313
00:13:06,370 --> 00:13:10,009
so it basically absolutely conclude was

314

00:13:08,840 --> 00:13:13,519
saying that our study was pretty

315
00:13:10,009 --> 00:13:16,429
successful where for the limited scope

316
00:13:13,519 --> 00:13:18,649
of it pretty successful with how it

317
00:13:16,429 --> 00:13:20,779
turned out and also given that there's

318
00:13:18,649 --> 00:13:22,279
only been a few handful studies before

319
00:13:20,779 --> 00:13:25,370
this and only a couple that have been

320
00:13:22,279 --> 00:13:26,419
published this is a really rich area for

321
00:13:25,370 --> 00:13:28,340
research tonight and I highly recommend

322
00:13:26,419 --> 00:13:31,159
that anybody take that on if they fill

323
00:13:28,340 --> 00:13:36,050
up to it and we're currently developing

324
00:13:31,159 --> 00:13:38,870
we go back there some new judging

325
00:13:36,049 --> 00:13:40,039
criteria and a schema that would track

326
00:13:38,870 --> 00:13:44,000
and wade viewers based on the

327
00:13:40,039 --> 00:13:45,439
performance over time and so when

328
00:13:44,000 --> 00:13:47,419

someone's really on for a streak of time

329

00:13:45,440 --> 00:13:49,250

maybe the session would be kind of a

330

00:13:47,419 --> 00:13:50,990

little bit more heavily than somebody

331

00:13:49,250 --> 00:13:53,870

who's traditionally not performing as

332

00:13:50,990 --> 00:13:56,480

well hey that's it thanks a lot for

333

00:13:53,870 --> 00:13:59,350

taking time with me on I think that some

334

00:13:56,480 --> 00:13:59,350

time for questions

335

00:14:01,840 --> 00:14:15,920

we have six minutes for questions I'd

336

00:14:12,200 --> 00:14:19,160

like a comment that I find it very

337

00:14:15,919 --> 00:14:22,009

interesting that you got good results

338

00:14:19,159 --> 00:14:26,659

with multiple viewers tasked at the same

339

00:14:22,009 --> 00:14:28,669

target event since a number of remote

340

00:14:26,659 --> 00:14:31,429

viewers I've talked to informally have

341

00:14:28,669 --> 00:14:32,659

had the opinion that having multiple

342

00:14:31,429 --> 00:14:34,519

people trying to look at the same

343
00:14:32,659 --> 00:14:41,120
outcome they interfere with each other

344
00:14:34,519 --> 00:14:44,750
and your accuracy goes down yeah I'm not

345
00:14:41,120 --> 00:14:46,700
aware of that in particular but that is

346
00:14:44,750 --> 00:14:48,950
interesting in it that's something that

347
00:14:46,700 --> 00:14:51,170
further research in the field could

348
00:14:48,950 --> 00:14:53,000
really you know sort of try to work out

349
00:14:51,169 --> 00:14:55,599
and if you could isolate for the

350
00:14:53,000 --> 00:14:57,950
different factors like that that would

351
00:14:55,600 --> 00:15:00,620
hi thanks for the presentation

352
00:14:57,950 --> 00:15:03,230
you're probably worth at Gregg to call

353
00:15:00,620 --> 00:15:05,269
it G Zak I think how you say it I think

354
00:15:03,230 --> 00:15:06,860
I can't have a pronounced rice always

355
00:15:05,269 --> 00:15:09,129
call him Greg k that's what we've called

356
00:15:06,860 --> 00:15:12,980
a okay he used a slightly different

357
00:15:09,129 --> 00:15:14,240
methodology college okay I used a

358
00:15:12,980 --> 00:15:17,029
slightly different methodology where he

359
00:15:14,240 --> 00:15:19,009
was the viewer and the judge and he

360
00:15:17,029 --> 00:15:21,079
would show himself the targets as soon

361
00:15:19,009 --> 00:15:23,480
as the session was done but only briefly

362
00:15:21,080 --> 00:15:26,540
and then a lot himself like a full

363
00:15:23,480 --> 00:15:28,789
couple minutes at the end of the day now

364
00:15:26,539 --> 00:15:30,169
some more traditional remote viewers

365
00:15:28,789 --> 00:15:32,629
thought you can't do it that way just

366
00:15:30,169 --> 00:15:34,819
because you know you'd see both targets

367
00:15:32,629 --> 00:15:37,159
but his results seemed to have been as

368
00:15:34,820 --> 00:15:38,900
good as anyone else who's done ARV what

369
00:15:37,159 --> 00:15:40,789
do you think about them yeah that's

370
00:15:38,899 --> 00:15:42,590
actually really interesting and I think

371

00:15:40,789 --> 00:15:44,360
that that's also a filler earlier

372
00:15:42,590 --> 00:15:47,120
research I think in our case that

373
00:15:44,360 --> 00:15:48,889
wouldn't work just because we have 10

374
00:15:47,120 --> 00:15:50,419
viewers and so you would still be

375
00:15:48,889 --> 00:15:53,750
interpreting I mean maybe if you

376
00:15:50,419 --> 00:15:55,069
interpreted your own each person

377
00:15:53,750 --> 00:15:57,320
interpret their own yeah I mean I guess

378
00:15:55,070 --> 00:15:59,629
I would that be a good thing to look

379
00:15:57,320 --> 00:16:03,950
into but yeah we we didn't design it

380
00:15:59,629 --> 00:16:05,909
that way yeah okay why ain't you rich or

381
00:16:03,950 --> 00:16:08,528
are you

382
00:16:05,909 --> 00:16:12,189
well I'm not rich because I didn't

383
00:16:08,528 --> 00:16:15,250
invest the money in the experiment but

384
00:16:12,190 --> 00:16:18,100
actually we were pretty financially

385
00:16:15,250 --> 00:16:20,409

successful with this and and i you know

386

00:16:18,100 --> 00:16:21,970

i i'd say you could be rich if you

387

00:16:20,409 --> 00:16:23,500

wanted to invest the time it's it's

388

00:16:21,970 --> 00:16:26,200

anybody as you can see we using

389

00:16:23,500 --> 00:16:27,850

inexperienced remote viewers can really

390

00:16:26,200 --> 00:16:29,649

do this and i'm not i'm surprised that

391

00:16:27,850 --> 00:16:33,940

not more people are or if they are

392

00:16:29,649 --> 00:16:37,480

they're not talking about it one day in

393

00:16:33,940 --> 00:16:41,020

larry gosse's book on premonitions he

394

00:16:37,480 --> 00:16:43,629

addresses this very issue and he claims

395

00:16:41,019 --> 00:16:46,689

that that all of the data that he's

396

00:16:43,629 --> 00:16:49,480

looked at if people are looking to use

397

00:16:46,690 --> 00:16:53,470

premonitions to get rich it doesn't work

398

00:16:49,480 --> 00:17:00,670

and if they're using it for you know

399

00:16:53,470 --> 00:17:03,670

like the to help somebody or have an

400
00:17:00,669 --> 00:17:06,338
altruistic kind of thing that it does

401
00:17:03,669 --> 00:17:10,029
and this seems to be at our licious

402
00:17:06,338 --> 00:17:13,448
premonitions it's similar what would you

403
00:17:10,029 --> 00:17:15,009
say about that did you get to keep the

404
00:17:13,449 --> 00:17:15,400
money nor did you do something else with

405
00:17:15,009 --> 00:17:17,230
it

406
00:17:15,400 --> 00:17:18,459
no actually none of the viewers got to

407
00:17:17,230 --> 00:17:20,939
keep the money they were treated to a

408
00:17:18,459 --> 00:17:23,650
all-you-can-eat sushi dinner afterwards

409
00:17:20,939 --> 00:17:25,390
but what i would say is that it's not

410
00:17:23,650 --> 00:17:26,860
actually important what you're viewing

411
00:17:25,390 --> 00:17:29,650
in fact the viewers need not even be

412
00:17:26,859 --> 00:17:31,240
aware of it all they're doing is viewing

413
00:17:29,650 --> 00:17:34,470
the target photo that they're going to

414
00:17:31,240 --> 00:17:37,990
be showing the next day however i think

415
00:17:34,470 --> 00:17:40,529
paul you do you have some just responded

416
00:17:37,990 --> 00:17:40,529
that you seem like

417
00:17:44,200 --> 00:17:50,029
he's pretty rattled my computer back

418
00:17:46,819 --> 00:17:51,319
here the fact of the matter is that an

419
00:17:50,029 --> 00:17:55,369
ARB experiments that seems to be

420
00:17:51,319 --> 00:17:56,659
irrelevant there's been lots of these

421
00:17:55,369 --> 00:17:59,059
done informally just haven't been

422
00:17:56,660 --> 00:18:00,860
published and the majority of them have

423
00:17:59,059 --> 00:18:03,019
been successful financially and they

424
00:18:00,859 --> 00:18:04,969
have been done for profit not if it with

425
00:18:03,019 --> 00:18:07,460
any kind of altruistic purpose involved

426
00:18:04,970 --> 00:18:10,100
so I want to say one thing about great

427
00:18:07,460 --> 00:18:13,759
call Jesse his results actually weren't

428

00:18:10,099 --> 00:18:16,459
as good as most ARV experiments it's

429
00:18:13,759 --> 00:18:19,400
just he did so many of them that he has

430
00:18:16,460 --> 00:18:23,590
the the you know the feature of large

431
00:18:19,400 --> 00:18:23,590
numbers that give him a huge p-value

432
00:18:30,279 --> 00:18:36,379
okay I'd like to ask you about these

433
00:18:32,480 --> 00:18:41,200
images they were complicated could

434
00:18:36,380 --> 00:18:41,200
arrows you know circles would matter

435
00:18:43,119 --> 00:18:48,619
that's a good question I would think

436
00:18:46,309 --> 00:18:51,440
that the more complicated the more

437
00:18:48,619 --> 00:18:53,839
chance you are of finding elements that

438
00:18:51,440 --> 00:18:57,320
the correlate if you just had a circle

439
00:18:53,839 --> 00:18:58,399
and then somebody drew a square and the

440
00:18:57,319 --> 00:18:59,869
scissors that you would choose the

441
00:18:58,400 --> 00:19:01,460
associate injures were a triangle and

442
00:18:59,869 --> 00:19:03,829

it's in a circle and they drew a square

443

00:19:01,460 --> 00:19:05,420

what are you gonna do with that if you

444

00:19:03,829 --> 00:19:07,789

know I mean you can't put it in here

445

00:19:05,420 --> 00:19:09,590

and I think when you have more complex

446

00:19:07,789 --> 00:19:12,339

images there are features of it that you

447

00:19:09,589 --> 00:19:15,139

can sort of nuance out of it and can

448

00:19:12,339 --> 00:19:17,240

more easily place in a category but

449

00:19:15,140 --> 00:19:19,850

maybe somebody has more experience with

450

00:19:17,240 --> 00:19:22,910

this one yeah

451

00:19:19,849 --> 00:19:25,189

Ryan would here I did a an associative

452

00:19:22,910 --> 00:19:28,730

remote viewing experiment with lotteries

453

00:19:25,190 --> 00:19:31,880

in like 1990 I had one viewer did this

454

00:19:28,730 --> 00:19:33,880

whole experiments of one viewer and it

455

00:19:31,880 --> 00:19:37,970

was easy to get to be statistically

456

00:19:33,880 --> 00:19:39,800

significant but basically broke even all

457
00:19:37,970 --> 00:19:40,910
the time but to be financially

458
00:19:39,799 --> 00:19:45,139
significant which other people have

459
00:19:40,910 --> 00:19:47,240
talked about seem very difficult I guess

460
00:19:45,140 --> 00:19:49,890
my question other people brought it up

461
00:19:47,240 --> 00:19:52,650
is you know

462
00:19:49,890 --> 00:19:54,120
are you a betting and a real money is it

463
00:19:52,650 --> 00:19:57,660
make a difference for anybody you know

464
00:19:54,119 --> 00:19:59,669
\$1,000 here there millions tens of

465
00:19:57,660 --> 00:20:02,850
millions this is where it's got to go to

466
00:19:59,670 --> 00:20:04,590
resolve these issues maybe Paul knows of

467
00:20:02,849 --> 00:20:07,949
people that done tens of millions of

468
00:20:04,589 --> 00:20:09,629
dollars and had success I could speak a

469
00:20:07,950 --> 00:20:12,720
little bit to that we actually use real

470
00:20:09,630 --> 00:20:14,550
money and and it wasn't millions of

471
00:20:12,720 --> 00:20:15,930
dollars it was it was more like ten

472
00:20:14,549 --> 00:20:18,690
thousand dollars it was our seed money

473
00:20:15,930 --> 00:20:21,000
but and I know that the put off

474
00:20:18,690 --> 00:20:23,370
experiment used I think twenty thousand

475
00:20:21,000 --> 00:20:24,690
thereabouts and I and I think there is

476
00:20:23,369 --> 00:20:27,269
some indication that using real money

477
00:20:24,690 --> 00:20:31,860
versus not real money does make an

478
00:20:27,269 --> 00:20:34,230
impact I'm not really sure if the amount

479
00:20:31,859 --> 00:20:37,339
of money would make an impact okay we

480
00:20:34,230 --> 00:20:37,339
need to bring this to a close