

Subject: Latest From David I. Part 2.

From: "John Winston" <johnfw@mlode.com>

Date: 27/04/2011, 06:31

Newsgroups: alt.conspiracy.area-51

Subject: Latest From David I. Part 2.
April 26, 2011.

This talks about tooth fillings that contain Murcury.

.....
.....

The bulb broke in her daughter's bedroom and she called the Home Depot store to ask for advice. She was advised to call a Poison Control hotline where they passed her on to the Maine Department of Environmental Protection.

They deployed a specialist to the scene who established that mercury levels in the bedroom were six times the State's 'safe' limit (and who decides that?) for mercury contamination and she was told she should engage a clean-up company to decontaminate the room.

The bill to do this: \$2,000.

And that is just one fluorescent light bulb in one home when eventually there will be some four billion in the U-ited States alone.

Given the fantastic scale of bulbs needing to be disposed of we are going to see - are seeing - serious mercury contamination in garbage and landfill sites. Yes, they shouldn't be disposed of like this, but that is what vast numbers of people will do rather than take them to hazardous waste centers. The Control System knows that.

Already an estimated 80 million used fluorescent tubes are sent to landfill sites in the UK every year, according to the waste education organization, WasteAware. This equates to four tonnes of mercury and the figure for throughout Europe and North America must be mind-blowing.

This puts collectors of refuse at risk from any broken bulbs disposed of in household waste, and also other neighbours in communal situations, and those dumped in landfills on the scale that is coming have the clear potential to pollute ground water supplies, rivers and fish with cumulative and lethal mercury contamination (it is said that just one fluorescent bulb can pollute 6,000 gallons of water beyond safe drinking levels'). Once again, this is by design. The plan is to mass p-ison humans from endless sources as part of the global cull of the population and also to suppress us mentally through chemical influence. The effects of mercury include dementia, like Alzheimer's disease, depression, loss of memory, the inability to control muscles and movements (known as 'motor function') and many other health problems, not least kidney failure. Click here for a short film explaining how mercury causes severe degeneration of the brain.

This is why the Control System wants us to be poi-oned by mercury (hence amalgam tooth fillings) and watch also the video later in this newsletter about fluoride in drinking water to see how calculated and widespread the p-isoning agenda really is.

You might note that the words fluoride and fluorescent are spelt similarly. This is because they have a common 'ancestor' - a highly dangerous element called Fluorine (also called Fluorspar) which is derived from the mineral fluorite (from the Latin root fluo, meaning 'to flow').

Once again this is no coincidence and the rabbit hole goes so deep because 'they' are working with levels of knowledge that are kept from the public by a firewall of s-crecy and suppression.

But there is another vital aspect to the imposition of fluorescent lighting - its vibrational effect. The base state of everything in this reality is vibration or waveform. The chemical, electrical, digital and holographic levels are but expressions of the waveform information construct.

So disrupt that and you disrupt everything else down the line. This is what these bulbs are designed to do - create a distorted vibrational environment in every home and workspace where these lights shine.

The bulbs emit a disharmonious vibration with some people more sensitive to this than others. Most of the photons released from the mercury atoms are in ultraviolet (UV) radiation wavelengths and there are increasing reports of people becoming ill after they start using them.

UV light can affect and fade sensitive paintings, not least watercolors, and also many textiles. So using these bulbs requires such artwork to be protected with, according to some reports, acrylic sheets.

Fluorescent lamps flicker causing problems for people who are sensitive to this and the less sensitive are affected in ways that are not so obvious. The flicker also has the potential for disrupting photography and video recording.

The significance of the vibrational impact can be seen with the ability of these lights to cause televisions to take on a life of their own, switching channels by affecting the infra-red sensors on remote control receivers.

P-tilips Electronics has confirmed this phenomenon. We are vibrational beings and thus are affected by other vibration influences that can cause mental, emotional and physical dis-ease, disharmony. These are some of the health problems being reported by people after using fluorescent bulbs, as compiled at

www.renewableenergygeek.ca

: Mild to severe headaches (migraines); skin irritation, redness, burning sensations, and or itchiness; dizziness and nausea; tinnitus (ringing in the ears) and earaches; numbness and tingling sensations; tired, weak and fatigued; difficulty sleeping / restlessness; chest pains / heart problems; poor memory and concentration; irritability; feelings of stress and anxiety; depression and mood swings; difficulty breathing; muscle and joint pain; and pain and pressure in the eyes.

These are the multiple consequences of exposure to what is called 'Dirty Electricity' - imbalanced electromagnetic fields that disrupt the electromagnetic balance of the body, not least the electrical circuits of the brain.

I would encourage you to watch the video after this article headed Dirty Electricity - the health effects of 'save-the-world' light bulbs to see just some of the damage these lights do to people.

Horrendous skin damage caused by exposure to 'environment-friendly' lighting.

Even the utterly useless Health Canada, which has been covering up most of the health effects so not to contradict government policy, has said:

'... the CFLs are not provided with a prismatic diffuser that filters ultraviolet radiation out. Therefore, there may be skin sensitive issues, especially in people with certain skin diseases.'

Dermatologist Dr John Hawk told the BBC that some people already find it difficult to tolerate the fluorescent-strip lighting widely used in schools and offices and this operates the same way as these bulbs. Hawk said:

'Fluorescent lights seem to have some sort of ionising characteristic where they affect the air around them. This does affect a certain number of people, probably tens of thousands, in Britain, whose ailments flare up just by being close to them. Certain forms of eczema, some of which are very common, do flare up badly anywhere near fluorescent lights, so these people have to just be around incandescent lighting.'

Aah, but very soon they will not be able to 'just be around incandescent

lighting' because that is being banned and these health-destroyers imposed by law. Some people say that fluorescent lights should carry a warning about their potential health effects, but, once again, what is the use of a warning if you have to use them or sit in the dark?

'You are under arrest - how dare you use lights that don't harm you and your children when it's the law that you must use those that cause you serious harm?'

What do you think this is, a free country?'

So take a deep breath and find a chair as we sum up the consequences of forcing the population to use these horrific lighting systems:

They are potentially lethal when they break and the mercury is released, especially for children and fetuses; their mercury content can have a catastrophic effect on brain and body function; they have to be disposed of - billions of them - as hazardous waste and if they are dumped in the trash or at landfill sites, as they are and will be in ever-greater numbers, it creates a highly toxic environment and this poison seeps into underground water supplies and rivers; the vibration and radiation effects trigger serious and potentially deadly health problems, so much so that scientists have warned people, especially children, to 'stay at a distance' and 'avoid being in contact with them for more than an hour'. They also fade pictures and textiles and affect television and other transmissions.

From any perspective of rational thought the very idea of introducing this blatant nightmare to replace something that has worked for so long is beyond i-sanity. Well, any rational perspective if you care in any way about human wellbeing, that is.

The people passing the laws to impose these lights are largely just the puppet-fodder repeaters who will simply repeat the party line they have been programmed to believe about both the problem ('global warming') and the solution ('low-energy light bulbs').

Part 2.

John Winston. johnfw@mlode.com