

**Subject:** Ancient Speech. Part 2 of 2.

**From:** "John Winston" <johnfw@mlode.com>

**Date:** 05/06/2011, 06:22

**Newsgroups:** alt.conspiracy.area-51

Subject: Ancient Speech. Part 2 of 2.  
June 4, 2011.

This talks about Osiris, a person I like.

.....  
.....

In these Egyptian texts we are brought face to face with prophecies concerning a Messiah who was to come.

It was held that ♦the teacher awaited since the creation of the world♦ had not yet been manifested on earth. His coming was to end in his sacrifice and bring about the regeneration of mankind.

The ancient Egyptian messianic prophecies refer to the Messiah as ♦The L-rd of D-ath and Resurrection♦.

In course of time these prophecies of the Messiah became paganised by the substitution of Osiris (the go- of Vegetational Decay and Regeneration) and other deities.

Osiris then usurped the attributes and functions of the promised Messiah that had been known in earlier Egyptian prophecy.

Only in the late Egyptian literature (from late in the 18th and early in the 19th Dynasty) did Osiris and other -ods usurp the functions and attributes of the Promised One.

That was after re-igious degeneration had set in well and truly.

But originally, the position of Osiris was not messianic. Osiris was at first no more than an allegorical figurehead, a patron of corn, a personification of the agricultural seasons. (Stewart The Witness of the Great Pyramid, 1928, pp. 64,65)

Much, much later he became ♦a g-d who had been originally a mortal and had risen from the de-d.♦ (E.A. Wallis Budge, The Book of the D-ad. London: British Museum Publications, 1920)

And only then were the added attributes of the Promised One given to him.

Noted archaeologist Professor B-dge points out:

"The beliefs which were conceived by the Egyptians in their lowest states of civilization were mingled with those which reveal the existence of high spir-tual conceptions [at first]." (Bu-ge The -ods of the Egyptians, 1969 Vol. I, p.32)

#### PURER F-ITH AT THE BEGINNING

Although modern Hinduism recognises a multitude of go-s (possessing different influences on human affairs), yet the Indian sa-red books show that originally it had been far otherwise.

Speaking of the Supreme Go- (known in their language as Brahm):

- \* Of Him whose glory is so great, there is no image. (Veda)
- \* [He] i-lumines all, delights all, whence all proceeded; that by which they live when born, and that to which all must return. (Veda)
- \* He whom the mind alone can perceive; whose essence eludes the external organs, who has no visible parts, who exists from eternity♦. The s-ul of all beings, whom no being can comprehend. (Institutes of Menu)

In these passages there is a trace of pantheism beginning to emerge, but the very language employed bears testimony to the existence among the Hindus at one period of a far purer fa-th.

Brahm (the one infinite and eternal -od) is just the original Hebrew

Rahm with the **rb** prefixed, which is very frequent in Sanscrit words derived from Hebrew or Chaldee.

The Hebrew Rahm means the merciful, or compassionate One. But Rahm also means the Womb, or the Bowels as the seat of compassion.

Now we find such language applied to Brahm, as cannot be accounted for, except by realising that Brahm had the very same meaning as the Hebrew Rahm.

Thus we find the g-d Krishna, in one of the Hindu sac-ed books (when asserting his high dignity as a div-nity, and his identity with the Supreme) using these words:

The great Brahm is my Womb, and in it I place my foetus, and from it is the procreation of all nature. The great Brahm is the Womb of all the various forms which are conceived in every natural womb.

It is very clear that Brahm comes from Rahm which means Womb or Merciful One. Brahm is just the same as Er-Rahman (the all-merciful One), a title applied by the Turks to the Most High.

Thus the Hindus, despite the deterioration of understanding seen today, once knew the Creator as the Go- of mercy, that is, a just -od and a rescuer.

He was also called in India the victim-man. (And among the Buddhists he was commonly addressed as Sa-ior of the world. (Mahawanso, xxxi apud Poccoke's India in Greece, p. 185)

Furthermore, the meaning of Deva (the generic name for -od in India) is similar. Deva originally came from the Chaldean Thav (Good).

Thav is also legitimately pronounced They and in the emphatic form is Theva or Thevo (the Good). Th is frequently pronounced Dh. Hence Deva.

The **v** is frequently dropped (just as **novus** in Latin is **neos** in Greek). Dheva, or Theva, or Thevo becomes Deva. It also becomes Deo or Devs (-od in Latin) and Theos (G-d in Greek), or Theo-s or Thevo-s.

This reflects the passage in the B-ble that says, There is none good but One, that is Go- [Theos the Good]. (M-tthew 19:17)

## GRADUAL INCREASE IN G-DS

Ancient textual evidence shows that the trend was to increase the number of go-s as time passed, rather than decrease them. (Siegfried H. Horn, Records of the Past Illuminate the Bib-e, 1975, p. 12)

It was only the later nations who were POLYtheists (worshipping many -ods).

As an example of how far this trend has developed over the past 4,000 years, it is calculated that today the Hindu religion has 600,000 gods.

Now, are you ready for this? The story is fascinating.

Something BIG occurred - and so earth-shaking, that highly civilized people who believed in one great -od - the Creator - radically changed their thinking so as to venerate planets, cats, hawks, snakes and beetles.

What spectacular event brought this about?

And how could an original, healthy, beneficent relig-ous system degenerate into practices such as human sa-rifice?

In an email as brief as this, it is impossible to reveal very much and also provide evidence, but if you are interested, there is a whole more available. Please go to <http://www.beforeus.com/stolen-id.php>

You are about to discover one of the most amazing dramas of history. It reads almost like thriller.

Have you ever wondered:

1. Why there are so many languages?
2. Why there are so many relig-ons?

I should warn you. This may upset a few applecarts. But, after all, if you want to know the truth...

Are you ready for a scandal?

Oh boy, I'm in for it now!

Best wishes  
Jonathan G-ay

DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?  
Please email me your questions. I am here to help you with any questions on ancient mysteries. Just email me at [info@archaeologyanswers.com](mailto:info@archaeologyanswers.com)

-----  
PLEASE TELL YOUR FRIENDS  
-----

You are welcome to put it on your own website exactly as it is, without change, including our email address.

=====

Pacific Coast Hwy  
PO Box 785  
Thames New Zealand

John Winston. [johnfw@mlde.com](mailto:johnfw@mlde.com)