

Subject: More DK's Experiences Of Being Controlled.

From: "John Winston" <johnfw@mlode.com>

Date: 23/11/2011, 15:37

Newsgroups: alt.conspiracy.area-51

Subject: More DK's Experiences Of Being Controlled.
2011.

Nov. 22,

This probably should be called "DK's Experiences Of Being Contolled"
Part 5 but I will now call it "More DK's Experiieces Of Being Controlled.

.....
.....

This is speculation, but it strikes me as a reasonable explanation of why they chose to let me hear them. They were having second thoughts about their participation in the mind-control experiment after hearing me cu-sing them repeatedly and with an unexpected intensity. Maybe they really did feel bad about it.

I am not sure they knew I could hear them, but it really seemed that way. And it seemed like this was a somewhat improvised and unfamiliar experience for them. One explanation could be that the regular mind controllers, the ones who 'pressed the buttons', wanted to cover their backs by having their peers participate actively.

Curiously, about a week after I wrote the lines just above, I read the article Of C-nspiracies and Co-spiracy Theories: The Truth Buried by the Fantasies by Robin R-msay in 1996, see the references. There he actually says he has got written statements from 2 alleged mind control victims, who claim to "hear..voices of teams of psychologists and i-telligence personnel monkeying around with their brains."

(Robin Ra-say also says he has not yet seen any convincing evidence that there are any people with implants.)

In the mental hospital, I talked to some other people. Two said they were d-ug addicts and they seemed genuine enough to me. One of them told me, spontaneously, that p-lice recruits in a particular swedish city would pick up drug addicts and transport them to a place where they were experimented on, and this person also expected me to be already informed about similar things. Since I hadn't told anybody about anything of this nature ever, and since I had never even hinted on the true reason why I was there, it seemed strange that I would be expected to beleive such things. I said I didn't beleive that such things happened, and I wondered if that person was some kind of infiltrator/provocateur or whether this only reflected the shocking realities of Sweden in the 1990's.

Later that year, the mind controllers, let me hear a female voice, telling me something like: "You should watch out, we are very fed up with you, and we can do much worse than this". I didn't know exactly what she was referring to, since they hadn't been particularly busy right then.

But with my previous experience, I didn't take this for an empty threat.

The voice was talking in the local idiom, and I thought, that maybe the mind controllers had recorded the voice of some local po-ice officer and, probably against the regulations, let me hear it. (I am not insinuating that such a po-ice officer would have to be involved with the high-tech part of the mind-control operation, but I was being surveyed at the time, and it didn't seem inconceivable that some poli-e officers, who, most unwillingly, had to take some part in it, could have felt that way.) Normally, the mind controllers avoided that sort of obvious harassment, and preferred more easily deniable methods, such as pinching me in the ear (simulating it in the brain), when they thought I was trying to write some kind of code. I mean when I wasn't writing simply formatted text on the computer, but was fiddling around with the size and kerning of characters in a nontrivial manner. Then they seemed to think that I was trying to conceal something from them. It was obvious that they were able to see everything I wrote on the computer instantly. Nothing of this really surprised me. It seemed more like the Big Brother manners, that you might expect.

I still have no idea why they started the whole thing. I have naturally never been accused of anything. Only punished. But there are some circumstances from back in 1983-84 or thereabout, when I actually did something involving an electronic device that wasn't illegal, but that nevertheless could have caused some suspicion and that later could have been combined with other loose ends to arrive at some kind of potential te-rorist profile. I want to emphasize that I have never threatened anyone or ever had any intention to do any harm to others. I am thinking that it might have been used as an excuse for experimenting on me. If you combine several loose ends from a persons life by breaking into his home, while he is gone and start drawing superficial conclusions, without checking with the person or anyone who knows him, you may easily come to very misleading conclusions. I beleive this is what actually happened. Either the po-ice or some 'vigilante' group broke into my home se-retely and went through my possessions, and among other things they might have found a box full of legal vitamine pills, that they may have taken for d-ugs.

If they had taken a sample and had given it to some forensic chemical analysis, the misunderstanding would have been sorted out.

Apparently this wasn't done, and instead, the loosely founded suspicions were presented as facts, by somebody who was considered a reliable source by the local p-lice.

There was also a syringe, which I used for lubrication and therefore it was contaminated with o-l. Again a forensic chemical analysis would have made it improbable that it was used for dr-gs.

I didn't throw any of the above-mentioned objects in the waste until long after that, so there is no way they could have known about them without breaking in.

I also had a small-caliber r-file of fixed length, much to large to be hidden in the bag I used to bring along when I went out, so it couldn't have been the reason why the poli-e would expect me to be prone to sh-ot at them. There was a case of a-munition and that particular type of amm-nition was named 'Laser'. It wasn't a laser wea-on, it was just arbitrarily named that way. Those who thought they found dru-s in my home, may also have thought that they found a laser we-pon.

At the time, I beleive the p-lice were chasing a person, known as the 'laser-man', who k-lled and maimed immigrants with a laser we-pon and he got caught later. On the wall I had a cork-panel pinboard, on which I would pin various notes. I had so many such unused pins that I arranged them in the shape of a cross. When the cross reached the pin board frame, there were still many unused pins left. So I put the rest of the pins at an angle, which created a s-astika. It wasn't intentional, it was just a convenient arrangement, so I let it remain that way for quite a long time. But those who broke into my home and thought they found dr-gs, may well have thought that the guy living there had n-zi sympathies. A right-wing te-rorist who planned to s-oot people with a laser wea-on and more. Could that be the way they pictured me? This is how I thought about it, at the time, when I was trying to understand on what grounds they chose me.

According to several sources there appears to be a pattern, where e.g. right-wing e-tremists are subjected to mind control, and then they are used as programmed ki-llers and as scapegoats for actions that are in reality the work of the in-elligence agencies. The preference for right-wing ext-emists is due to the expectancy, that it will then be easier to gain wide acceptance for infringements on const-tutional rights. But the general idea is to create the impression that the perpetrator is acting on his own initiative and that there is no consp-racy.

The m-rder of Olof P-lme appears to fit in with that pattern.

If you learn more about the post-w-r history from u-censored sources, you will find things of that nature mentioned there. See the reference list.

I have told you these details above because this illustrates why the protection of peoples privacy, is considered so important by many. If you don't know a person when you illegally break into his home, it may lead to serious misunderstandings. When the poli-e has a search warrant and openly enters a persons home, there is some room to sort out such misunderstandings. But when there is never any open accusation and everything is done in a deniable way, there is great risk that everything runs out of control.

Issuing search warrants more easily will probably be viewed by many as a development towards a t-talitarian state, but if the alternative is to have them acting c-vertly, perfecting all sorts of deniable methods, systematically covering up crimes committed in the process, all silently approved by the authorities, ('no questions asked'

hypocritical mentality), I prefer the former method, where you know who you are dealing with.

I am not so much offended by individual polic- officers, 'improvising' a bit when they try to recon on the bad guys, as I am offended by what I suspect to be a hypocritical attitude among the people further up in the system, who don't want to get dirt on their hands, but who don't give a da-n about what's really going on, as long as it's deniable.

Part 1.

John Winston. johnfw@mlode.com