

Subject: Why MacArthur Was Removed. Part 2.

From: "John Winston" <johnfw@mlode.com>

Date: 23/04/2012, 21:43

Newsgroups: alt.conspiracy.area-51

Subject: Why MacArthur Was Removed. Part 2.
July 23, 2012.

This states when MacArthur was relieved of his command.

.....
.....

"For this 'positive action', General Douglas MacArthur was relieved of his command of the U-nited Nations fighting forces in Korea by the traitor P-esident Harry S. T-uman, who met with MacArthur on the Island of Guam. MacArthur had figured out the whole picture OF T-EASON and had to be relieved of his job.

"Truman feared MacArthur so much that just prior to General Douglas MacArthur returning to the Uni-ed States from Korea, the tra-tor Truman, hid out at Camp David for over three weeks in fear of being arrested by General MacArthur who was a Five Star General and in command of all m-litary forces in

the Unit-d States... THE SAME MAN WHO HAD JUST SAVED THE LIVES OF THOUSANDS OF THEIR SONS WITH DARING MILI-ARY MOVES AGAINST THE WISHES OF THE UNI-ED NATIONS COMMAND, IN ROUTING THE RED CHINESE AND NORTH KOREANS AT INCHON, SOUTH KOREA.

Now you know the real truth as to what really happened 'behind the scenes' during the Korean Conflict between the 'tra-tor' Truman and General Douglas MacArthur, THE REAL HERO!! Robert W. Lee, in his book entitled 'THE UNI-ED NATIONS TODAY' (CPA books., 33836 SE Kelso Rd, #6., P.O. Box 596., Boring, OR 97099), states on pages 20 and 21:

"'I (General MacArthur) was...worried by a series of directives from Washington (Truman) which were greatly decreasing the potential of my air force. First I was forbidden 'hot' pursuit of enemy planes that attacked our own. Manchuria and Siberia were sanctuaries of inviolate protection for all enemy forces and for all enemy purposes, no matter what depredations or assaults might come from there. Then I was denied the right (by Soviet General in Un-ted Nations) to bomb the hydroelectric plants along the Yalu River. The order was broadened to include every plant in North Korea which was capable of furnishing electric power to Manchuria and Siberia.'" Most incomprehensible of all according to MacArthur was the REFUSAL to let him bomb a supply target which was NOT in Manchuria or Siberia, "'but many miles from the border, (it) forwarded supplies from Vladivostok for the North Korean A-my. I FELT THAT STEP- BY-STEP MY WEAPONS WERE BEING TAKEN AWAY FROM ME.'" "

"This is exactly the same type of 'tre-son' that occurred against our mili-ary forces in Viet Nam. But Viet Nam was far more vile and dirty in the length or time that our soldiers were betrayed. MacArthur continues on page 21:

"That there was some LEAK IN INTELLIGENCE was evident to everyone. (Brigadier General W-lton) Walker continually complained to me that HIS OPERATIONS WERE KNOWN TO THE ENEMY IN ADVANCE through sources in Washington... information must have been relayed to them, assuring that the Yalu River bridges would continue to enjoy sanctuary and that their bases would be left intact..."

"General MacArthur then referred on page 21 to an official leaflet PUBLISHED IN RED CHINA BY CHINESE GENERAL LIN PIAO:

"'...I would never have made the attack and risked men and milit-ry reputation if I HAD NOT BEEN ASSURED THAT WASHINGTON (Truman and U.S. Co-gress) WOULD RESTRAIN GENERAL MACARTHUR from taking adequate retaliatory measures against my lines of supply and communication.' "

"J. Ruben C-ark Jr., former Undersecretary of S-ate and Ambassador to Mexico, who was widely recognized as one of the nation's foremost international lawyers, stated on page 27 of the book entitled: 'THE UNI-ED NATIONS TODAY':

"Not only does the Charter Organization NOT prevent future w-rs, but it

makes it practically certain that we shall have future wars, and as such -ars it takes from us (The United States) the power to declare them, to choose the side on which we shall fight, to determine what forces and military equipment we shall use in the war, and to control and command our sons who do the fighting.'

"In fact, A Soviet General in the United Nations still writes the plans for employment of United States troops all over the world, even in the Persian Gulf today. Former President John F. Kennedy also felt the wrath of the United Nations Charter (that Truman and the U.S. Congress signed) during the 'Bay of Pigs' invasion of Cuba against the Communist Castro. The Soviets in the United Nations would not allow Kennedy to directly use the United States military forces available in destroying Castro. The Soviets stated that in using United States military forces at the Bay of Pigs WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE SOVIETS in the United Nations, would be a violation of the United Nations Charter THAT THE UNITED STATES HAD SIGNED AND HAD TO ABIDE WITH...

"By now you should have come to realize 'why' there were so many restrictions on our soldiers during combat in Korea and Viet Nam and 'why' WE WERE NOT ALLOWED TO WIN... not allowed TO BOMB CERTAIN TARGETS.

"...The Viet Nam war was also allowed to continue to weaken the resistance of the people in the United States against any type of war or fight against communism. To accept ANYTHING would be better in the minds of the masses... than (to accept) war and having their sons killed. Even if it meant the 'merging' of our entire government with that of the Soviet Union."

Other evidence which tends to confirm this Masonic-Jesuit connection, as exposed by Dr. John Cleman and others, comes from an essay titled: 'THE TWIN PINNACLES: MASONRY AND CATHOLICISM', written (understandably) anonymously. The manuscript stated:

Thirty-five years BEFORE the Jesuits were kicked out of France by a Roman Catholic king, Pope Clement XII issued his Bull against Masonry. The Bourbon French King, Louis XVI and his queen Marie Antoinette, were headed by an Illuminati inspired mob of masons and terrorists. Why was this Catholic family, the Bourbons, so opposed to the Jesuits? It is, by the way, very interesting that a family like the Bourbons who had opposed the JESUITS, were brought down eventually by the French Revolution's ILLUMINATI-MASONIC reign of terror, pointing to the almost obvious fact that the Jesuits ordered the Masons to carry out the revolution.

Why did... Frederick (the Great of Prussia) REFUSE to have the (so called) enemies of masonry, the Catholic Order of Jesuits, banned in Prussia in 1773? Could the insiders of the Jesuits ALSO BE Masons?

(Note: Mackey's ENCYCLOPEDIA OF FREEMASONRY states that Frederick '...was initiated as a mason, at Brunswick, on the night of the 14th of August, 1738...')

The Roman Catholic 'Stuarts' WERE masons from SCOTLAND... the Stuart, James II, tried to set up the Jesuits in positions of power when he became King of England in 1685...

Between the STUARTS and the Jesuits at Lyons, France, and the Jesuit college of Clermont, in Paris... the RITE OF PERFECTION evolves; out of which, the Masonic authorities have quoted, trace today's Ancient and Accepted SCOTTISH RITE OF FREEMASONRY.

Rebold (a Masonic authority) and Mackey do not exactly agree on the part Chevalier de Bonneville played in THE RITE OF PERFECTION.

Bonneville may not have been a Jesuit... but when we look at the part he played in the RITE OF STRICT OBSERVANCE... we see many indications that he was a Jesuit."

According to Burke McCarty's book 'THE SUPPRESSED TRUTH ABOUT THE ASSASSINATION OF ABRAHAM LINCOLN', several U.S. presidents who had come out in opposition against Jesuit-Masonry had been targeted by the illuminati for assassination. McCarty states:

(1) President William Henry Harrison.

In 1841, General Wm. Henry Harrison of Ohio, was elected Pre-ident by a large majority. The loyalty of the Union (toward) General Harrison was above question, and it was (the aim) of the power of Leopoldines, a great Jesuit Spy S-stem, to defeat him.

"In his inaugural address... President Harrison... said: 'We admit of no go-ernment by di-ine right, believing that so far as power is concerned, the beneficent Creator has made no distinction among men; that all are upon an equality, and that the only legitimate right to govern, is upon the express grant of power from the governed.'

"With these unmistakable words, Pres-dent Harrison made his position clear; he hurled defiance to the 'divin- right' enemies of our Popular Gove-nment. Aye, he did more - for those words signed his de-th warrant. Just one month and five days from that day, Pre-ident Harrison lay a corpse in the W-ite House. He died from arsenic p-isoning, administered by the tools of Rome. The Jesuit oath had been swiftly carried out."

For a copy of the Jesuit Oath, refer to pp. 99-102 of William Co-per's book 'BEHOLD A PALE HORSE', c/o Light Technology Publishing., P.O. Box 1495., Sedona, AZ 86336. The oath appears in chapter 3- 'Oath of Initiation of an Unidentified Sec-et Order'. Although Coo-er 'suspects' the oath might have originated from the Jesuits or the Knights of Malta, he is uncertain. However, Dennis Pa-sero and other researchers have published exact duplicates of the oath which appears in Coop-r's book, and state that it IS the Jesuit oath, thus confirming Coope-'s suspicions.

Part 2.

John Winston. johnfw@mlode.com