


OTTAWA, Ontario, February 11, 1952

## Unidentified Flying Object

22-12-29  
Secret

1. We are advised that an unidentified flying object was seen in the Prince Rupert area at approximately 5.00 a.m. on the morning of December 3, 1952. We are particularly anxious to obtain as much information as possible on such object or objects.
2. I am enclosing for your information a copy of the "Project Second Storey" and six copies of the "Sighting Report" forms. After studying the guide please arrange to interview as many observers as can be located. The names which have been referred to us are Fred Ramsey, Draughtsman, Columbia Cellulose Company, Miss Nina Youngman, Watson Island Pulp Mill, and Miss Jean Pavlikis, Canadian National Telegraphs. You might enquire from the local newspaper office for any other names which they may have in their files.
3. As soon as the "Sighting Reports" have been completed they should be forwarded in double envelope to Headquarters.



(G.C.W. Browns)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. John A. Simpson,  
Officer-in-Charge,  
Prince Rupert Ionosphere Station,  
P.O. Box 697,  
Prince Rupert, B.C.



MEMORANDUM

8003

173

ANSWER

To:

To:

520 1211  
FEB 10 1953  
22-12-29  
Transport Dept.

Dear Mr. Smith:

During my recent trip to Prince Rupert I heard there had been a flying saucer sighted over the town.

Ralph Erickson obtained this information for me and I am enclosing the same to you.

Trusting it will be of some interest, I remain,

Yours sincerely,

*E.E. Stevens*

E.E. Stevens.

To  
MR W B SMITH  
Room 1211  
DEPT OF TRANSPORT  
No 3 TEMPORARY Bdg  
OTTAWA

Dec 2/52

# 'Mystery' Ball Streaks Across Rupert Harbor

## 'Flying Saucer' Reported By Early Morning Witnesses

A shiny, spherical object "the size of a plane" reported streaking across a pre-dawn sky today over Prince Rupert harbor started a flurry of conjectures ranging from weather balloons to visitors from Mars, or a speedy reconnaissance by an unidentified aircraft.

At least three persons saw the object which they said was "traveling very fast." Two saw it go in a northeasterly direction at 8 a.m.

A third reported seeing the "shiny ball, which I thought was a comet" streaking across the city from the west, then arcing to the south at 7:50 a.m.

All three witnesses said the object was flying at cloud level and described it as a "round shiny object about the size of a plane."

A meteorological observer said later that the height of clouds this morning was about 2,000 feet.

**Fred Ramsay, a draughtsman at Columbia Cellulose Co. Ltd. pulp mill, told a reporter he saw the object at exactly 8 a.m. while standing on a downtown street.**

"I was waiting for my ride in front of the theatre on Third Avenue.

"I saw this object, a white ball about the size of a plane and it appeared to be trailing something.

"It was traveling at about 1,000 miles an hour, I would say, or three times the speed of a fast plane.

"I believe it was one of those flying saucers.

"It was going in a northeasterly direction, across the harbor. I watched it pass out of sight among the buildings.

"I had a witness, too."

Standing beside him, also waiting to go to work at the Watson Island pulp mill was Miss Nina Youngman. She corroborated Ramsay's description, only she didn't think the object traveled with such speed.

"It was going very fast, though, and it appeared to have a haze trailing behind it."

She confirmed the time was 8 a.m. "because I looked at my watch at the time."

But according to a third witness, Miss Jean Pavlikis, Canadian National Telegraph Worker, she saw a similar object at 7:50 a.m. on her way to the downtown office.

"It was traveling very fast and going in a southerly direction, after appearing coming out of the west.

"It looked to me like a comet, falling awfully close. It looked a little hazy, as though partially covered by cloud or mist."

A weather observer at Digby Island said he doubted whether the reported object could have been a comet, but said it might have been an ionospheric balloon, released from a Queen Charlotte Island weather station.

"But a balloon would not

travel anywhere as fast as these people say.

"It could travel no faster than the speed of the wind, which was negligible at that time."

The observer explained that some times balloons sent up for weather forecasting purposes develop a leak and therefore come down slowly after attaining a certain height.

No such balloons are released from the Digby Island station, across the harbor from Prince Rupert.

This is not the first report of unexplained flying objects in this area. Three years ago, a similar object was described, speeding across the harbor.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

171  
5-51

Parry Sound, Ont. February 5, 1953  
PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE 22-12-29 (R.B.M.) SECRET	SUBJECT Sighting of Unidentified Flying Objects	OUR FILE SECRET
--	--	--------------------

■ S144

Mr. H. V. Anderson,  
Director of Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
O t t a w a, Ontario


SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
FEB 17 1953  
Transport Dept.

Further to your letter of January 19th, I have studied the outline of procedure for questioning and reporting on unknown flying objects. These guides will be kept here, while our field officers will be given an outline of what is required in the way of reporting, so that information may be conveyed in the form desired.

We have had nothing to report in this regard, which seems strange to me since our installations are wide spread and concern some installations of great strategic importance.

It is noted that extra forms are available if required.

*Noted  
HH*

  
(F.K. McKean)  
District Marine Agent

DFR

170

OTTAWA, Ontario, February 5th, 1953.

22-12-29

SECRET AND CONFIDENTIAL

The District Marine Agent,  
Department of Transport,  
Victoria, British Columbia.

As requested in your letter dated January 29th, 1953, there has been forwarded to you, under separate cover, 100 copies of Sighting Report and 5 copies of the Guide referred to in our circular letter.

(Norman Wilson)  
Chief of Aids to Navigation.

169



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

2  
9-50

Victoria, B.C. 29th January, 1953.  
PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
22-12-29(R.B.M.) SECRET	Sighting of Unidentified Flying Objects Circular Letter M.S. 476	1200-1 (S)

7992

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
FEB 7 1953  
Transport Dept.

Director of Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
OTTAWA, Ontario.

Please forward 100 copies of Sighting Report and  
5 copies of the Guide referred to in the a/m circular.

*T. E. Morrison*  
T. E. MORRISON,  
District Marine Agent.

*Mr. Elliott*  
Understand a Mr Smith can  
Rarris has such forms.

KD:mvs

*Aids*  
# 3/1

*Forms requested sent  
on 5-2-53.  
to Jan  
Aids*

168

Ottawa, January 22nd, 1953.

Unidentified Aerial Objects

SECRET  
22-12-29

District Controller, Air Services, VANCOUVER  
*attention DS 17* EDMONTON  
WINNIPEG  
MONTREAL  
TORONTO  
MONCTON.

1. As you probably are aware there have been reported from time to time sightings of unidentified aerial objects. A committee has been established, on which committee this department has a representative, for the purpose of studying the various sightings reported, and in view of the fact that Airport Traffic Controllers have occasion to scan the sky from time to time in the course of their duties it has been suggested that these employees would be in a favourable position to see and report upon any flying objects which might be apparent in their area.
2. The information which is specifically requested is as follows:
  - a) General shape of the object;
  - b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour;
  - c) Maximum dimensions as compared with a dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length;
  - d) Approximate bearing and angle above the horizon when first sighted;
  - e) Approximate bearing of path;
  - f) Accompanying or delayed sound, if any;
  - g) Approximate time, in seconds, taken to travel through a certain arc of approximate degrees;
  - h) Exact time, date and weather conditions.
3. In addition to the foregoing, it is desired that a running description of the sighting be appended, including as many pertinent features as possible. Where possible, accurate determinations of angle subtended, bearing and elevation are of considerable value.

ATC  
To note please  
RC.

.....

4. There are enclosed copies of the information guide for the interrogator, or for the person making the observation, and copies of a sighting report form. It is requested that you distribute these to the towers in your district with appropriate instructions.

5. It should be noted that the entire matter is to be treated with appropriate reserve. In this connection note particularly Paragraph 2 of Part 1 of the guide enclosed, which stresses that the information obtained is not to be made public.

(J. R. Robertson)  
Superintendent, Airways.

JRR#FG

*Done  
CBS*



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

22-12-29  
5-52

TORONTO 5, Ont., January 21, 1953

166

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT <b>Project Second Storey</b>	DATE 05954-27
-----------	---	------------------

CONFIDENTIAL

W.R. Fryers, Esq., Aviation Forecast Office,  
P.O. Box 297,  
Regina, Sask.

5170

1. The recent reports forwarded by Regina of unidentified aerial objects in the Regina region has created considerable interest amongst those who are making a thorough study of all sightings of unidentified aerial objects. It appears that the reports submitted during the past month by the Regina Forecast Office have been substantiated by other reports. These reports would appear to indicate that some unknown objects are landing and taking off and that the activities seem to be concentrated in the Regina-Moose Jaw general area. We have been requested to arrange to have our personnel in that area pay particular attention to this project and to develop a program whereby all reports of unidentified aerial objects are given immediate attention and will be fully documented.

2. It is suggested that the Regina Forecast Office act as the clearing house for all reports of unidentified aerial objects in the Regina-Moose Jaw general area. In order to do this, Mr. Fryers is requested to take the following action:

2.1. Alert all weather observers at Regina to the fact that they should give special attention to this project for the next few weeks and to keep on the lookout for any strange objects during their tours of duty. It is suggested that the airport control officers and others on the airport or in the Regina vicinity who may have occasion to work outdoors, particularly during night-time hours, be asked to co-operate in this project. They should be warned, however, that the matter is confidential and it is most desirable that the newspapers do not get hold of any information in regard to our interest in this matter.

2.2. Request the co-operation of the CPA Agent who handles the weather observations at Moose Jaw. Fortunately, Mr. W.A. Andrews, Assistant to the Operations Manager of Canadian Pacific Air Lines, visited this Head Office just the other day and he said that CPA would be very glad to co-operate to the utmost. He concurred with our suggestion that you telephone the CPA Agent at Moose Jaw and give him all the necessary background on this project and request that he telephone you immediately he has a report of a sighting in the Moose Jaw area.

165

2.3. All pilots operating through or at Regina should be brought into the picture insofar as circumstances will permit. They should be urged to report promptly any sighting of unidentified aerial objects and particularly if an object should be sighted on the ground. Mr. W.A. Andrews said that he would issue instructions to the pilots, operating in the Regina-Moose Jaw area, to give you complete reports on any sightings. The pilot personnel should be urged to try to determine the exact location of any such object seen on the ground or where such an object may have landed and taken off.

2.4. Every effort should be made to obtain a personal interview with the person who made the actual sighting. Attached are two copies of a guide and a supply of Sighting Report Forms which should be used for interviewing. The guide is for the information of the person doing the interrogating. The Sighting Report Form should be filled out with respect to each individual sighting and one form for each observer. While these forms bear no classification, they are to be considered as confidential and under no circumstances should they be released to the press. It is suggested that you have a form prepared for each of the sightings reported during the past month by Messrs. E.J. Mowbray and W.A. Hawk and if at all possible it would be desirable to have a form completed on the sighting reported by Miss Linda Powley.

3. As soon as a sighting has been reported, it is suggested that the following steps be taken:

3.1. Locate as many witnesses as possible.

3.2. Interview each witness and forward completed sighting report to this office as soon as possible. The interrogation should, whenever possible, be conducted by you or Mr. Bairnes.

4. The wholehearted co-operation of your staff and all others collaborating with you will be most sincerely appreciated.

Original Signed by  
P. D. McTAGGART-COWAN

Andrew Thomson  
(Controller)

PM:RG  
Attach.

cc: D.M. Robertson, Esq., Winnipeg; D.B. Kennedy, Esq., Ottawa  
R.W. Langley, Esq., Suffield; H.V. Tucker, Esq., Ottawa  
E.W. Ryan, Esq., CPA, Vancouver; Controller of Telecommunications, Ottawa



**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT**  
**INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE**

164  
2

OTTAWA, January 19, 1953.

PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE DATE (R.B.M.) SECRET
	Sighting of Unidentified Flying Objects Circular Letter M.S. 476	22-12-29 (R.B.M.) SECRET

Referring to Circular letter M.S. 441 of 1st May, 1952, in connection with the reporting of sightings of unidentified flying objects, I wish to advise that information is now available for guidance in the reporting of these sightings. I am enclosing, for your information, 1 copy of the guide and 2 copies of the sighting report.

The sighting reports and guide should be retained at a suitable place to be used as required. The guide is for the information of the person doing the interrogating. The sighting report form should be filled out with respect to each individual sighting and one form for each observer. While these forms bear no classification, they are to be considered as Confidential and, under no circumstances, should they be released to the Press.

Extra forms are available, if required.

Enc.

(H. V. Anderson)  
 Director of Marine Services.

**NOTED**  
*WBS*  
 RADIO U.S. COAST  
 & AIR SERVICES



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

2  
4-22  
163

OTTAWA, Ontario, January 16, 1953.

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT Unidentified Aerial Objects	OUR FILE 22-12-29 (R.B.M.) SECRET
-----------	--	---

8172

MEMORANDUM TO THE CONTROLLER OF CIVIL AVIATION:

1. As you may be aware there have been reported from time to time sightings of unidentified aerial objects. A Committee has been established by the Defence Research Board on which this Department has a representative, for the purpose of studying the various sightings reported in an effort to identify these objects, their source and purpose.
2. In view of the fact that airports under your direction usually have staff on duty who, as a matter of course, have occasion to scan the sky, we feel that such people would be in a favourable position to see and report upon any flying objects which might be apparent in their area.
3. It would be greatly appreciated if you could request your airport staffs to report promptly any sighting of an unidentified aerial object. The information in which we are particularly interested is as follows:
  - a) general shape of the object
  - b) luminosity or brilliance and colour
  - c) maximum dimensions as compared with a dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length
  - d) approximate bearing and angle above the horizon when first sighted
  - e) approximate bearing of path
  - f) accompanying or delayed sound if any
  - g) approximate time in seconds taken to travel through a certain arc
  - h) approximate degrees
  - h) exact time, date and weather conditions.
4. In addition to the foregoing we would appreciate a running description of the sighting including as many pertinent features as possible. It should be pointed out that where possible accurate determinations of angle subtended, bearing and elevation are of considerable value.
5. Attached hereto for your information is a sample sighting report form and the information guide for the interrogator assigned the job of interviewing the observer.

BAW

for your action please.

D  
17.1.53

*G.C.W. Browne*  
(G.C.W. Browne)

Controller of Telecommunications.

162

PROJECT SECOND STOREY

Part I - Information for guidance in reporting on unknown flying objects.

In collecting data on unknown flying objects, accuracy of observation and record is of prime importance. The observer should report carefully and precisely what he sees and hears with a minimum of private personal interpretation. Accurate numerical data to the best of the observer's ability are most desirable. Confirmation of the observation by others is also desirable, particularly if other observers are located some distance away so that they may have a slightly different view of the object.

The sighting report is for the purpose of obtaining specific information regarding a particular sighting. Most of the questions are straightforward and call for an obvious answer. Some questions, however, may require a certain amount of explanation so that the required information may be forthcoming. It should be noted that the information obtained will not be made public.

The following headings refer to numbered questions on the Project Second Storey Sighting Report Form.

A. (3) Occupation and Previous Relevant Experience

Note: State if the observer has had any previous experience, for example, as an observer in the Air Force or as an amateur astronomer, or as an employee at a Government Weather Station.

B. (7) Date and Local Time

The exact date and time, whether Local, Standard, or Daylight Saving Time of a sighting should be specified. Where possible the accuracy of the time piece should be determined.

B. (8) Position of observer as accurately as possible

The exact position of the observer during the sighting should be noted as accurately as possible, with particular reference to nearby objects such as buildings, trees, etc. Where possible the exact latitude and longitude of the point of observation should be given. If this is not known the point should be indicated on a convenient map.

B. (9) General description of sighting

In answer to this question it is hoped to obtain a general description of what the observer actually saw and the circumstances under which he observed it.

B. (12) Position in which first seen

The position of the object seen may be described conveniently by bearing and elevation. By bearing it is meant the direction from the observer towards the object in terms of the cardinal points of the compass, or if possible, more accurately in the terms of degrees East or West of true North. It is useful to give the direction from the observer to the object in relation to the roads or concession lines. The level horizon is taken as zero degrees, the point directly overhead as 90 degrees.

B. (13) Position in which last seen

Note: See remarks under B. (12). This description should be as full and complete as possible. If there was any change in shape during the course of the observation, such change in shape should be indicated. The average man's left hand, with arm fully stretched out gives the following measurements:

- |   |     |
|---|-----|
| (a) Between the first and second knuckles                                       | 3°  |
| (b) Across the knuckles   | 8°  |
| (c) With fingers extended, from point of index finger to point of little finger | 12° |
| (d) With fingers extended from tip of thumb to tip of little finger             | 19° |

Note: See Fig. (1) at foot of page 2.

Because of the distance from the observer, the three dimensional form cannot generally be determined. However, the object will have an apparent shape in two dimensions, circular, oval, rectangular, triangular, etc. The two dimensional shape of the outline should be reported, not as an assumed three dimensional form.

B.(16) Detailed description of apparent brightness

It is realized that a description of apparent brightness is extremely difficult. However, if the object is seen at night or after sundown it might be compared to the brightness of the moon, planets, or stars.

B.(17) Detailed description of colour

In describing colour the simplest terms possible should be used such as red, green, white, etc.

B.(18) Apparent size (angle subtended)

The same technique for determining the apparent size of the object could be used as under B. (12) for determining its elevation. For information purposes the full moon subtends an angle of approximately  $\frac{1}{2}$  degree which is the angle subtended by a  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch object held at arm's length. Since it is quite impossible to form even a rudimentary estimate of the size in feet or inches unless the distance is known, the size should be stipulated only in terms of the angle subtended by the object as seen by the observer.

B.(24) Other contributory evidence (photographic, electronic, etc.)

An effort should be made to uncover any evidence of a photographic, electronic, magnetic or radioactive nature which might have some association with the sighting. No unusual happenings at the time or place of sighting should be overlooked.


B.(25) Any other details

Under this heading a sketch of the path, would be extremely helpful. This need only be a line drawing showing the position and orientation of the object in relation to visible land marks. If the observer should happen to be close enough to the object to form an opinion as to its shape and construction, a sketch to an approximate scale would be extremely valuable.

O. (27) Date and place of interrogation

An interview which takes place at the point from which the observer saw the object is the most valuable, since position bearings, elevation, etc., may be established more accurately in this way. It should be specified if the interview was conducted at the observation point.

Fig. (1)



PROJECT SECOND STOREY

Part II - Descriptions of Normal Phenomena which might cause reports of unidentified aerial objects.

Balloons

Ceiling Balloons and unlighted Pilot Balloons are used in daytime. These balloons are about two feet in diameter. Red or White colours are employed.

At night, pilot balloons carry either a paper lantern lighted by a candle or a small battery-powered electric light. These are not likely to be visible to any great height by the naked eye, but a balloon with a slow leak might carry a light across the sky for a considerable distance at a relatively low level.

Radiosonde balloons are about five or six feet in diameter, coloured white, and carry a small box at the end of a cord about twenty feet below the balloon. Sometimes a radar reflector is also tied to the balloon; this is a reflector of many faces and it is possible that some unusual reflections of light may occur from this attachment. Radiosonde balloons normally ascend to about 60,000 feet.

Skyhook Balloons are used occasionally for special high-altitude observations which takes them up to 100,000 feet. These balloons are about 75 feet in diameter and an instrument box tied beneath. Because of their size, there is a good possibility that such balloons are the basis of some reports of unusual aerial objects.

In the daytime with blue skies, good visibility and bright sunshine, balloons may be seen at considerable heights once they are located by the eye. The balloons stand out against the blue of the sky as sharp pin points of light.

Aircraft

Aircraft seen in this country should conform to the well known silhouettes, but, in view of developments in neighbouring countries, triangular (delta wing) and tailless types, possibly flying at great heights, may be seen. Also due to distances and aircraft attitudes in flight, the true plan forms may not be observed. A change in shape during the observation may well indicate the existence of these circumstances.

Effects of Screens, Glass, Etc.

Common objects when viewed through screens may be distorted out of all recognizable shapes; often single objects may appear as several. As commercial sheet glass (window panes) may contain defects causing similar optical phenomena, observers should be wary of such conditions.

Nacreous or Mother of Pearl Clouds

These rare clouds are most likely to be seen just before sunrise or just after sunset when illuminated by sunshine from below the horizon. They may also appear in daytime. The clouds occur at heights of 15 to 20 miles and have iridescent colours which resemble the colours seen in mother of pearl. It is possible that a small detached mother of pearl cloud might give the appearance of a hovering object high in the sky.

Noctilucent Clouds

Noctilucent or night-luminous clouds are seen only at night made visible by reflected light from the sun when the sun is about  $10^{\circ}$  to  $18^{\circ}$  below the horizon and visibility conditions are very good. They usually appear about an hour after sunset, low on the horizon. Their colour may be white or they may be a shade of colour such as bluish-white, golden, or reddish-orange, but they do not display the brilliant iridescent colours that are characteristics of mother of pearl clouds. Noctilucent clouds occur about 50 miles high in the atmosphere, as determined by simultaneous photography from different points on the earth's surface. Their speeds have been calculated to be as high as 400 miles per hour, but because of their great height they appear to move slowly.

#### Clouds Reflections

Reflections of light from cloud banks are also a possible source of reports of illuminated objects in the sky at night. The source of light may be any kind of a searchlight, such as ceiling projectors, defence units, aircraft landing-lights, etc. Usually the beam of the light is visible from the source up to illuminated spot, so this condition is not likely to deceive a careful observer.

#### Optical Phenomena

Rainbows are common optical phenomena caused by a refraction and reflection of light from the sun by water drops in the atmosphere. A small portion only of a rainbow may be seen at times which might give the appearance of a small object in the sky. However, because rainbows are fairly common occurrence, they are unlikely to deceive anyone.

Optical phenomena caused by reflections of light from ice crystals suspended in the atmosphere may result in the appearance of unusual lighting effects in the sky. The halo around the sun or moon is the most common of this class of phenomena. The halo is usually seen as a ring of  $22^\circ$  radius around the sun or moon, but under some conditions it is possible for only part of the ring to be formed. Sundogs or mock-suns may appear at an angle of  $22^\circ$  either to the right or left of the sun - these appear as bright spots of light in the sky. Mock-suns sometimes are seen at angles of  $46^\circ$  or  $90^\circ$  from the sun. The possibility of halo phenomena should always be considered when any bright spot is seen in the sky - such spots will remain relatively fixed in position. Halo phenomena are most commonly caused by the sun because of the large amount of light available from this source, but the complete halo ring is frequently seen around the moon at night and it is possible that under unusual conditions other halo phenomena may also be seen at night.

#### Meteors

A meteor, or shooting star, always pursues a nearly straight (or great circle) path across the sky. Faint meteors last about half a second, brighter ones rarely more than two or three seconds. Bright meteors may burst and shower sparks or may leave a faint luminescence in their wake that is sometime visible for several minutes. Bright meteors may appear of almost any colour and in exceptional cases produce detonations and rumbling sounds. When coming head on a meteor seems to have almost no motion across the sky but when moving perpendicular to the line of sight its apparent velocity is rapid.

#### Stars and Planets

Stars and planets can generally be recognized without difficulty but on certain occasions appear with unusual brilliance thus exciting comment. In any case they never move rapidly but have a slow general motion from the east to the west part of the sky, except for the stars in the north where the motion is counter-clockwise about the pole star. Venus at its greatest brilliance can appear in the sunlit-sky as a faint white dot visible to the naked eye. It either precedes or follows the sun on these occasions.

#### The Aurora

The aurora, or northern light, produces various luminous forms of numerous pastel shades. In most parts of Canada northern lights may appear in any part of the sky though they are seen most frequently in the north. Although sharp rays may appear as part of the display most of the illumination is of a very diffuse type and is subject to rapid motion and change of intensity reminding one of the great flickering flames or searchlight playing over clouds. Sometimes small, restricted auroral glows remain almost stationary in one place for some period of time. In general their outlines are diffuse.

PROJECT SECOND STOREY

Sighting Report

(A Separate form is to be used for each observer).

A. Details of observer.

1. Name of observer:

Surname:.....Initials.....

2. Address of observer:

.....  
Number Street City

.....  
Province

3. Occupation and previous relevant experience:

.....  
.....

4. Age Group:.....

5. Has observer seen "flying objects" before, and if so, briefly, when, where, and circumstances:

.....  
.....  
.....

6. Was observer wearing glasses?

.....

B. Details of Observation

7. Date and local time:

.....

8. Position of observer as accurately as possible:

.....  
.....  
.....

9. General description of sighting:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

10. Number of objects:.....

11. Length of time observed.....

12. Position in which first seen:

Bearing:.....

Elevation.....

.....  
.....

13. Position in which last seen:

Bearing.....

.....

Elevation.....

.....

14. General description of any changes in the direction of motion.

.....  
.....

15. Detailed description of apparent shape:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

16. Detailed description of apparent brightness:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

17. Detailed description of colour.

.....  
.....  
.....

18. Apparent size (e.g. angle subtended)

.....  
.....  
.....

156

19. Description of exhaust or vapour trails, if any.  
.....  
.....  
.....

20. Description of noise, if any:  
.....  
.....

21. Weather conditions:  
    (a) Clouds.....  
    (b) Visibility.....  
    (c) Precipitation:.....  
    (d) General remarks:.....  
        .....

22. Was the object flying above, below or in and out of cloud?  
.....

23. Did anyone else see the object? If so, names and addresses:  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

24. Is there other contributory evidence:  
    (Photographic, or electronic, etc.)  
.....  
.....  
.....

25. Any other details: (including sketch if possible)  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

C. Details of Interrogator

26. Interrogator:

Surname:.....

Initials.....

Position held:.....

27. Date and place of interrogation:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

28. Interrogator's opinion of the reliability of the observer.

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

(Signature of Interrogator)



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

2  
4-52  
154

OTTAWA, Ontario, January 12, 1953.

PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT Sighting of Unidentified Flying Objects	OUR FILE 22-12-29 (R.B.M.) SECRET
-----------	--	---

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
JAN 13 1953  
Transport Dept.

7384

- In connection with the reporting of sightings of unidentified flying objects, I wish to advise that information is now available for guidance in the reporting of these sightings. I am enclosing for your information 10 copies of the guide and 25 copies of the sighting report.
- The sighting reports and guides should be retained at a suitable place to be used as required. The guide is for the information of the person doing the interrogating. The sighting report form should be filled out with respect to each individual sighting and one form for each observer. While these forms bear no classification, they are to be considered as Confidential and under no circumstances should they be released to the Press.
- We wish to thank you for your co-operation in reporting sightings which have come to your attention during the past several months and we will appreciate greatly your continued co-operation in this respect.

*Mr. Dehaines*  
*For distribution!*  
*[Signature]* 13/11

*[Signature]*  
(G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Encls.

Mr. H.V. Anderson,  
Director of Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
Hunter Building,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

*Copy sent to 10 Agents' M.D.*



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

3  
9-52  
153

Toronto 5, Ontario, January 8, 1953 DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
	Unidentified Aerial Phenomenon at Regina December 27th and 31st, 1952	C-5964-27

22-12-28

8171


CONFIDENTIAL

Memorandum: G. C. W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Telecommunications,  
Department of Transport, Ottawa.

Attention: W. B. Smith, Esq.

1. Enclosed is a copy of a letter from our Regina forecast office giving details of unidentified aerial phenomena sighted on December 27th and 31st, 1952.
2. If you wish further information in connection with these sightings, we shall be pleased to request it from our Regina office.

encl.

  
(Andrew Thomson)  
Controller.



C O P Y

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT

INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

AVIATION FORECAST OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 297, REGINA, SASK.

Jan . 2nd 1953

3  
1-52

152

YOUR FILE

SUBJECT

REPORT OF UNIDENTIFIED  
AERIAL OBJECTS

OUR FILE

CONTROLLER,  
METEOROLOGICAL DIVISION,  
DEPT. OF TRANSPORT,  
315 BLOOR ST. W.,  
TORONTO 5, ONT.

C O N F I D E N T I A L

1. IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR CIRCULAR LETTER OF MAY 8TH, 1952, FILE 05964-27, WE MAKE THE FOLLOWING REPORTS OF THE SIGHTINGS OF UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL OBJECTS ON DEC. 27TH AND DEC. 31ST, 1952 BY REGINA AIRPORT STAFF, AS REPORTED TO US:

DEC. 27th, 1952, 1934 MST TO 1942 MST.

THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION HAS BEEN SUPPLIED BY AIR TRAFFIC CONTROLLER

R. J. MOWBRAY OF THE REGINA AIRPORT CONTROL TOWER STAFF, WHO MADE THE SIGHTING:

- A. GENERAL SHAPE OF OBJECT: CIRCULAR
- B. LUMINOSITY OR BRILLIANCE AND COLOUR: OBJECT WAS LUMINOUS WITH BRILLIANCE AND INTENSITY OF A HARVEST MOON AND SIMILAR COLOUR (AMBER OR LIGHT ORANGE).
- C. MAXIMUM DIMENSIONS: ONE-THIRD SIZE OF FULL MOON IN DIAMETER.
- D. BEARING AND ANGLE FROM OBSERVER WHEN SIGHTED: WSW FROM REGINA CONTROL TOWER, STEADY AT ABOUT 5 DEGREES ABOVE HORIZON.
- E. APPROXIMATE BEARING OF PATH: STRAIGHT DOWN TO DISAPPEAR BEYOND HORIZON.
- F. SOUND: NONE OBSERVED.
- G. 5 DEGREES DOWN IN 1½ MINUTES (TIME TO TRAVEL A CERTAIN ARC)
- H. TIME, DATE & WEATHER: 1934 TO 1942 MST DEC. 27th, 1952.

WEATHER AT 1930 MST- @154 117/11/9 → 14 973.

SPEED - UNKNOWN

DISTANCE - 5-10 MILES AS A GUESS

HEIGHT - 300 FT. ABOVE HORIZON, AS A GUESS.

GENERAL - MR. MOWBRAY WAS A NAVIGATOR IN WORLD WAR II WITH OVERSEAS SERVICE.

EMPLOYED AS ASSISTANT CONTROLLER AND CONTROLLER FOR ABOUT 4 YEARS. FAMILIAR WITH NORMAL AIRCRAFT LIGHTS, APPEARANCES, ETC., AND WITH GLASS REFLECTIONS, MIRAGES, AND SUCH. BELIEVES IT WAS NONE OF THESE. HE CALLED THE WEATHER OFFICE AND THE RADIO RANGE OFFICE BY INTERPHONE BUT BOTH WERE BUSY WITH THE PILOT BALLOON OBSERVATION WHILE OBJECT WAS VISIBLE. NO ONE ELSE WAS AVAILABLE. AN RCAF BEECHCRAFT WAS ON DOWNWIND LEG FACING AWAY FROM OBJECT MOST OF TIME. ASKED LATER IF THEY SAW ANYTHING THEY SAID "NO". MR. MOWBRAY WATCHED OBJECT FROM SITTING AND STANDING POSITIONS, WITH AND WITHOUT FIELD GLASSES (7 X 50). ONLY ADDITIONAL DETAIL VISIBLE WITH GLASSES WERE TWO FLASHING LIGHTS, RED ONE AT TOP, GREEN ONE AT BOTTOM. WITH GLASSES THE OBJECT APPEARED SLIGHTLY SMALLER THAN THE MOON. THE MOON, AT THE FULL WAS QUITE HIGH AND SLIGHTLY TO THE SOUTH AT TIME OF OBSERVATION.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

3  
5-52

151

REGINA SASK. JAN 2nd 1953

DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
	REPORTS OF UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL OBJECTS- PAGE 2.	

DEC. 31ST, 1952, 0310MST - 0317MST.

MR. R. J. MOWBRAY, AIR TRAFFIC CONTROLLER, REGINA, REPORTS AS FOLLOWS:

- A. GENERAL SHAPE OF OBJECT: CIRCULAR
  - B. LUMINOSITY OR BRILLIANCE AND COLOUR: SAME AS ABOVE FOR DEC. 27TH.
  - C. MAXIMUM DIMENSIONS: ONE-QUARTER DIAMETER MOON.
  - D. BEARING AND ANGLE FROM OBSERVER WHEN SIGHTED: WNW AT 9-10 DEGREES ABOVE HORIZON.
  - E. APPROXIMATE BEARING OF PATH: STRAIGHT DOWN, SLOWLY.
  - F. SOUND: NONE OBSERVED
  - G. TIME TO TRAVEL A CERTAIN ARC: FIRST 5 DEGREES IN 4 MINUTES  
LAST 5 DEGREES IN 3 MINUTES.
  - H. TIME, DATE & WEATHER: 0310MST TO 0317MST, DEC. 31ST, 1952.  
WEATHER: AT 0330MST: @15+124/-6/-8K8 FROIN.
- SPEED: UNKNOWN  
HEIGHT: 1000 FT. OR BETTER, ASSUMING THAT-  
DISTANCE: 15 MILES, AS A GUESS.

GENERAL - OBSERVATION WAS MADE FROM SITTING AND STANDING POSITIONS, WITH AND WITHOUT FIELD GLASSES (7 x 50 POWER), FROM INSIDE AND OUTSIDE GLASS-ENCLOSED CONTROL ROOM. NO CHANGE IN DETAIL WAS VISIBLE WITH THE GLASSES. THE WEATHER OBSERVER ON DUTY WAS CALLED BY INTERPHONE TO CONFIRM THE OBSERVATION, WHICH HE DID, AS SET FORTH BELOW. A TCA DC-3 DOWN AT 119 WAS IN THE CIRCUIT AT THE TIME OF THE OBSERVATION BUT WAS NOT REQUESTED FOR ANY INFORMATION.

MR. E. A. HAWK, MET. ASST. GR. 2, METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE, REGINA AIRPORT, REPORTS AS FOLLOWS:

- A. GENERAL SHAPE OF OBJECT: CIRCULAR, EDGE NOT AS SHARP AS MOON'S EDGE.
  - B. LUMINOSITY OR BRILLIANCE AND COLOUR: SIMILAR TO A HARVEST MOON. TOUCH OF ORANGE WAS MENTIONED.
  - C. MAXIMUM DIMENSIONS: WIDTH OF LEAD IN LEAD PENCIL AT ARM'S LENGTH. SEEMED TO INCREASE SLIGHTLY IN APPARENT SIZE AS IT APPROACHED HORIZON.
  - D. BEARING AND ANGLE FROM OBSERVER WHEN SIGHTED: NEARLY DUE WEST, MOVING A BIT NORTHWARD AS IT SANK, DISAPPEARING SLIGHTLY NORTH OR WEST.
  - E. APPROXIMATE BEARING OF PATH: DESCENDED TO HORIZON AT 60 DEGREE ANGLE.
  - F. SOUND: NONE OBSERVED.
  - G. TIME TO TRAVEL A CERTAIN ARC: EST. 3 DEGREES TO HORIZON IN 2 MINUTES.
  - H. TIME, DATE & WEATHER. APPROX. 0315 MST, DEC. 31ST, 1952. WX AS ABOVE
- SPEED - UNKNOWN BUT APPARENTLY VERY FAST IF DISTANCE ESTIMATE CORRECT.  
HEIGHT - 2 to 3000 FT. AS A GUESS, ASSUMING THAT-  
DISTANCE / APPEARED ABOUT 15 MILES.  
GENERAL - OBSERVED BY EYE AND BY TOWER'S FIELD GLASSES. WITH GLASSES OBJECT



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

150  $\frac{3}{5-52}$

REGINA SASK JAN 2nd 1953

DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT REPORTS OF UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL OBJECTS - Page 3	OUR FILE
-----------	--	----------

WAS ABOUT SIZE OF FULL MOON, BUT NO FURTHER DETAIL EVIDENT. WHEN ASKED BY TOWER TO OBSERVE STRANGE LIGHT, MR. HAUKE WENT IMMEDIATELY TO CONTROL TOWER.

DEC. 1st, 1952, AT ABOUT 0335MST

MR. E. J. MOWBRAY WAS THE FIRST OBSERVER IN THIS CASE ALSO. THE DETAILS ARE THE SAME AS FOR THE EARLIER SIGHTING THE SAME NIGHT, EXCEPT FOR POSITION AND TIME. THE OBJECT SIGHTED THIS TIME WAS WSW OF THE CONTROL TOWER AT 9-10 DEGREES ABOVE HORIZON, AND SANK BELOW THE HORIZON WHILE EDGING NORTHWARDS SLOWLY. THE TIME IN THIS CASE IS ESTIMATED TO BE ABOUT 0335MST. THE TCA PLANE MENTIONED ABOVE WAS OUT AT :40, AT WHICH TIME THE OBJECT HAD DISAPPEARED. MR. MOWBRAY AGAIN CALLED THE WEATHER OBSERVER IN TO CONFIRM THE OBSERVATION.

THE FOLLOWING IS MR. HAUKE'S REPORT OF THIS SIGHTING:

- A. GENERAL SHAPE OF OBJECT: CIRCULAR
- B. LUMINOSITY OR BRILLIANCE AND COLOUR: LUMINOUS, FLUCTUATING IN INTENSITY ABOUT EVERY 5 SECONDS. FAINT TO SEE WITH UNAIDED EYE WHEN BRILLIANCE EBBED. SEEMED LARGER WHEN BRIGHT. COLOUR SAME AS OTHER SIGHTINGS.
- C. MAXIMUM DIMENSIONS: ABOUT WIDTH OF LEAD IN LEAD PENCIL AT ARM'S LENGTH. COMPARED IN SIZE TO A LARGE WATER TOWER VISIBLE IN REGINA CITY AT A DISTANCE OF NEARLY 3 MILES. WITH GLASSES APPEARED SLIGHTLY SMALLER THAN MOON-SIZE.
- D. BEARING AND ANGLE FROM OBSERVER WHEN SIGHTED: WSW at 10 to 15 DEGREES.
- E. APPROXIMATE BEARING OF PATH: DESCENDED OBLIQUELY TO HORIZON SLIGHTLY NORTH OF ORIGINAL POSITION DISAPPEARING CLOSE TO WEST.
- F. SOUND: NONE OBSERVED.
- G. TIME TO TRAVEL A CERTAIN ANG: OBLIQUELY THROUGH 15 DEGREES IN 3-4 MINUTES.
- H. TIME, DATE & WEATHER: ABOUT 20-30 MINUTES AFTER FIRST ONE, DEC. 1st, 1952. WEATHER AS ABOVE.

SPEED - SAME.

HEIGHT - SLIGHTLY HIGHER AT START.

DISTANCE - AS ABOVE

GENERAL - OBSERVED BY EYE AND FIELD GLASSES (7 X 50 POWER ). NO CLOUD OR STARS CLOSE TO THIS OBJECT NOR TO FIRST OBJECT SIGHTED.

2. THE ABOVE STATEMENTS WERE TAKEN AS FOLLOWS: FROM MR. MOWBRAY ON THE EVENING OF JAN. 1st. FROM MR. HAUKE ON THE MORNING OF JAN. 2nd, 1953.

W. R. Fryers  
Officer-in-Charge.

WRE/00

149

OTTAWA, Ontario, January 12, 1953.

Sighting of Unidentified Flying Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)  
SECRET

1. In connection with the reporting of sightings of unidentified flying objects, I wish to advise that information is now available for guidance in the reporting of these sightings. I am enclosing for your information 10 copies of the guide and 25 copies of the sighting report.
2. The sighting reports and guides should be retained at a suitable place to be used as required. The guide is for the information of the person doing the interrogating. The sighting report form should be filled out with respect to each individual sighting and one form for each observer. While these forms bear no classification, they are to be considered as Confidential and under no circumstances should they be released to the Press.
3. We wish to thank you for your co-operation in reporting sightings which have come to your attention during the past several months and we will appreciate greatly your continued co-operation in this respect.

Original Signed by  
F. G. NIXON

(G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Encls.

Mr. H.V. Anderson,  
Director of Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
Hunter Building,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

*WAS*

WBS/CC

148

OTTAWA, Ontario, January 12, 1953.

Sighting of Unidentified Flying Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)  
SECRET

1. In connection with the reporting of sightings of unidentified flying objects, I wish to advise that information is now available for guidance in the reporting of these sightings. I am enclosing for your information 10 copies of the guide and 25 copies of the sighting report.

2. The sighting reports and guides should be retained at a suitable place to be used as required. The guide is for the information of the person doing the interrogating. The sighting report form should be filled out with respect to each individual sighting and one form for each observer. While these forms bear no classification, they are to be considered as Confidential and under no circumstances should they be released to the Press.

3. We wish to thank you for your co-operation in reporting sightings which have come to your attention during the past several months and we will appreciate greatly your continued co-operation in this respect.

Original Signed by  
F. G. NIXON

for (G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Encls.

Mr. Andrew Thomson,  
Controller of Meteorological Services,  
Department of Transport,  
315 Bloor Street West,  
Toronto, Ontario.

WBS



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

147 2  
4-52

P.O. Box E 1286  
St. John's Nfld Dec 29th 1952

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
-----------	---------	----------

DEC 29 11 15 AM '52  
 22-12-29  
 JAN 15  
 Transport Dept

*RBM*  
*Lin #1*

Confidential

7430

Mr. H. V. Anderson,  
Director Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
Hunter Building,  
Ottawa

Enclosed is a copy of a telegram received from Peckford's Island through J.T. Roberts, Principal Keeper of the Twillingate Station. As latter is in close proximity to a telegraph office he receives all the messages from Island light stations in his vicinity.

It will be noted that on September 20th a confidential sighting report was forwarded from this station, apparently peculiar and puzzling lights have been sighted by the keeper in the vicinity of the island.

*R. J. Randell*

R. J. Randell  
District Marine Agent

Encl:

*Refer to C.T.*  
*3/1/53.*



EXCLUSIVE CONNECTION WITH WESTERN UNION CABLE SERVICE

FORM 6107

# CANADIAN NATIONAL

W. M. ARMSTRONG, GENERAL MANAGER  
TORONTO

# TELEGRAPHS

CLASS OF SERVICE DESIRED

FULL RATE

DAY LETTER

NIGHT MESSAGE

NIGHT LETTER

PLACE X OPPOSITE  
SERVICE DESIRED

146

CHECK

RECEIVERS NO.

TIME FILED

CHARGE TO  
DEPT. OR  
ADDRESS

Send the following message, subject to the terms on back hereof, which are hereby agreed to

COPY

To: R. J. Randell  
District Marine Agent  
Dept of Transport  
St John's Nfld

Dec 27th 1952

PECKFORD'S ISLD REPORTS SIGHTING RED LIGHT ANGLE 25 DEGREES BEARING W BY  
N SEVEN FORTY PM TWENTY THIRD WEATHER CLEAR ALSO 730 PM TWENTY SIXTH BRIGHT  
RED LIGHT ANGLE ABOUT 75 DEGREES BEARING SOUTH OVERCAST SAYS NOT AIRCRAFT  
NOR STAR SEEN FOR 5 MINUTES JUST DISAPPEARED.

J.T. ROBERTS

TWILLINGATE

IS YOUR MESSAGE COMPLETE? EXTRA WORDS COST ONLY A FEW CENTS.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

145 <sup>2</sup>/<sub>4-52</sub>

Toronto 5, Ontario, Canada, December 29, 1952.

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
	Unidentified Aerial Phenomenon at White River, Ontario, December 17, 1952.	05964-27

SECRET FILE  
22-12-29  
JAN 15  
Transport Dept.

7131  
CONFIDENTIAL

Memorandum: G. C. W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Telecommunications,  
Department of Transport, OTTAWA.

Attention: W. B. Smith, Esq.

1. Enclosed is a copy of a letter from our White River Meteorological Observing Station giving brief details of an unusual aerial phenomenon that was reported by a CPR employee. If you feel that this case warrants further investigation, we shall be pleased to aid you in any way possible.

*Andrew Thomson*  
(Andrew Thomson)  
Controller.

encl.

COPY

AIR SERVICES  
METEOROLOGICAL DIVISION

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT

White River, Ont. December 17/52

Mr. Andrew Thomson,  
Controller Met Services,  
Toronto, Ont.

In connection with the reporting of unusual aerial objects and so on I have the following to report:

At 4.45 AM standard time date a carman was about to put his head out of the window of the yard office to see if a train he was awaiting had arrived. At that moment a very great bright green flash occurred in the sky about midway between the horizon and the zenith which he explained on inquiry blinded him momentarily. The other men in the office jumped with the surprise of the bright light which illuminated their office. It was only an instantaneous flash which he said did not seem to be away up in the sky such as a star, it had no tail that he noticed and no sparks or other rays seemed to come from it.

Mr. Brown did not see anything of this nor did other CPR men whose quarters face east. This flash was slightly south of west, about West south west and as Mr. Brown was in our office at the time he would not notice it since our window faces the east also.

R. G. Mealey  
OIC



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

143 <sup>2</sup>/<sub>4-52</sub>

TORONTO 5, Ont., December 9, 1952

PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT Unidentified Aerial Phenomenon at Regina December 1, 1952	OUR FILE 05964-27
-----------	---	----------------------

C O N F I D E N T I A L

7432

G.C. W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Telecommunications,  
Department of Transport, OTTAWA

Attention: W.B. Smith, Esq.

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
JAN 15  
Transport Dept.

1. Enclosed is a copy of a letter from our Regina forecast office giving details of a sighting that was reported by a member of the general public.

*Andrew Thomson*  
Andrew Thomson  
(Controller)

Attach.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT

INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

Aviation Forecast Office Y

P.O. Box 297, Regina, Sask. Dec. 4th, 1952.

C  
O  
P

142<sup>3</sup>  
AT

YOUR FILE

SUBJECT

OUR FILE

C5964-27

Report of  
Unidentified Aerial Object

Controller,  
Meteorological Division,  
Dept. of Transport,  
315 Bloor St. W.,  
Toronto 5, Ont.

1. In accordance with your Circular Letter of May 8, 1952, file C5964-27, we make the following report of the sighting of an unidentified aerial object as reported to us:

2. Mrs. R. W. Powley of 1401 Elphinstone St., Regina, in a telephone call to this office at 12 o'clock noon Monday Dec. 1st, 1952, reported that her 12-year old daughter, Linda, had just observed two bright star-like objects each with cloud-like tails, crossing the sky high over Regina from north to south at about 11:45 MST. Her daughter was on her way home from school with three other schoolmates, all of whom were reported to have seen the objects. After the first telephone call, Mrs. Powley called at least two of the other girls and got similar stories and descriptions from them. When she called this office a second time to report this, we spoke directly to her daughter and obtained a reasonably explicit description of what she had seen. It was definitely not in the shape of aircraft. The following details were obtained:

- a. No detail of shape. About size and appearance of a bright star. Each of the two had tails described as 'bright like a cloud'.
- b. Bright and star-like against blue sky background. Silvery colour. Tail bright white and cloud-like.
- c. Similar in size and shape to a shooting star.
- d. Near azimuth. Height estimated as similar to cloud (Cirrus).
- e. 180°. (Course)
- f. No sound.

- g. No estimate on time to travel through a given arc. Speed described as 'slow'. Motion seemed fairly regular but the two objects alternated in taking the lead position.
- h. Time was given as 11:45 MST. Linda apparently watched the two objects crossing the sky as she walked home, losing them as she walked around to the back of her house. The date, given above, was Dec. 1st, 1952. The weather was sunny, with scattered cirrus in bands and tufts. Objects were noticed crossing a large patch of blue sky toward a cloud formation.
3. We checked with the Control Tower to ascertain if there were any known aircraft over this area at the time in question. They checked with Winnipeg ATC who reported that a Lancaster flying westward over Regina at 12 noon was the only known a/c in the vicinity.

(Sgd.) W.R. Fryers

---

W. R. Fryers,  
Officer-in-charge.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

140 <sup>3</sup>/<sub>5-51</sub>

Prince Rupert, B. C., December 8, 1952.  
PLACE DATE


YOUR FILE 22-12-29	SUBJECT Unidentified Aerial Objects	OUR FILE Confidential
-----------------------	--	--------------------------

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
DEC 11 1952  
Transport Dept.

Assistant Director of Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
Hunter Building,  
OTTAWA, Ontario.

6862

In compliance with confidential circular letter M.S. 441, I herewith submit "flying saucer" report observed by the Chief Officer of the C.G.S. "Alexander MacKenzie".

  
(N. A. Beketov)  
Agent.

NB:ms  
Enc.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

139 <sup>2</sup>/<sub>9-49</sub>

Prince Rupert, B.C. Dec. 3, 1952.

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
	Unidentified Aerial Objects,	

District Marine Agent,  
Prince Rupert, B.C.

Dear Sir,

The following are my observations of an object sighted over the harbour this morning:

- (a) Object was spherical in appearance.
- (b) It was about as brilliant as a major planet ( Jupiter or Venus ) when viewed under similar sky conditions, and was white with a slight bluish tinge to its light. It did not leave a trail behind as is common with meteorites and comets.
- (c) The size of the object was about the same as the planet Jupiter appears to the earthly observer.
- (d) The object was first sighted bearing about 350 degrees true at an angular altitude of about 20 degrees which it maintained until it disappeared behind the clouds bearing about 060 degrees true.
- (e) The direction of the path appeared to be easterly.
- (f) No sound was noticeable.
- (g) The time of observation between the two bearings was about six seconds.
- (h) Date; Dec. 3, 1952. at Prince Rupert, B.C.

Time: 0800 Pacific Standard Time.

Weather at time of observation;

Barometer 2920

Temp. 35 degrees F.

Wind Southerly 10 MPH.

Cloud and sky conditions: Thin high cirrus haze in the west with the moon visible; Low lying cumulus over the mountains in the east; height of cumulus clouds about 1800 to 2000 feet.

At time of observation the sky ~~was~~ was not fully light but still a state of morning twilight.

The height of cloud was determined by height of hills and the bearings were determined by landmarks.

*Roland E.S. Armstrong*

Roland E.S. Armstrong  
Chief Officer C.G.S. Alexander MacKenzie

*Mr. W.B. Smith*  
138  
DRBO260-4-43 (Aero)

311  
DEFENCE RESEARCH BOARD  
"Project Second Storey."

12 November 1952

To Distribution.

The Chairman has called a meeting of the above noted Committee for 0900 hours, 17 November 1952, in the D.R.B. Board Room, "A" Building, Ottawa. The proposed agenda is as outlined below.

A copy of the draft minutes of the 3/52 meeting is attached for your comments.

*H.C. Oatway*  
H.C. Oatway  
Secretary,  
Committee for Project Second Storey.

A G E N D A

- 1 - Approval of the Minutes of the 3/52 Meeting.
- 2 - Business arising from the minutes of the previous meeting.
  - (a) Reply to letter from Netherland's Military Attache.
  - (b) Report from Mr. W.B. Smith re: "weighting factor system".
- 3 - Card Indexing System
- 4 - Visit of Lt. E. Ruppelt.
- 5 - Any other business .

Distribution

Dr. P.M. Millman - Dominion Observatory  
Lt. Cdr. J.C. L. Annesley - D.N.I.  
F/L W. Birch - D.R.B.  
Maj. D.M. Grant - D.M.I.  
S/L E.L. Howey - D.A.I.  
Major F. B. Perrott - D.M.O. & P.  
Mr. W.B. Smith

DEFENCE RESEARCH BOARD  
 Project Second Storey  
 Minutes of the 3/52 Meeting  
 DRB Board Room, Ottawa  
 31 July 1952

Chairman: Dr. P. M. Millman Dominion Observatory

Members Present:

Major D. M. Grant	D. M. I.
Mr. W. B. Smith	D. O. T.
Lt. Cdr. K. A. Stone	D. N. I.
Lt. Col. E. H. Webb	D. M. I.

Members Absent:

F/Lt V. L. Bradley	D. R. B.
S/L G. A. White	D. A. I.

Secretary:

Mr. H. C. Oatway	D. R. B.
------------------	----------

AGENDA ITEM # 1 - Minutes of the 2/52 Meeting

The Minutes of the second meeting of the Committee 19 May 1952, were considered and, with some minor changes, approved. These have been reproduced and distributed.

AGENDA ITEM #2 - Draft Interrogation Form

The interrogation form was given a final check by the Committee. There were a few minor revisions suggested relating to re-wording and spacings of some items. This form was finally approved, entitled "Project Second Storey" Sighting Report.

AGENDA ITEM #3 - Instructions and Description Complementing Interrogation Form

The Committee then reviewed the data collected which are considered complementary to the interrogation form (now officially known as a "Sighting Report" form).

These were considered, and are so to be set up, in two parts; viz;

PART I - Information for guidance in reporting on unknown flying objects.

PART II - Description of normal phenomena which might cause reports of unidentified aerial objects.

The data presented were carefully re-worded, rearranged, and formally approved.

The Secretary was instructed to have the Sighting Report form and the complementary pamphlet (copies of which are attached) containing the information instructions and descriptions produced in quantity. Distribution of Sighting Report forms, with one complementary information pamphlet for each five Sighting Report forms, is to be as follows:

- |                     |       |
|---------------------|-------|
| (1) ARMY ( D.M.I. ) | --100 |
| (2) DOM. OBSERV     | -- 50 |
| (3) D.O.T.          | --100 |
| (4) RCAF (D.A.I.)   | --100 |
| (5) RCN (D.N.I.)    | -- 50 |

AGENDA ITEM #4 - Letter from Netherland's Military Attache

A letter received by D.A.I. from the Netherland's Air, Military, and Naval Attache relative to DRB interest in "flying Saucers" was tabled for discussion. It was agreed that the nature of the reply should be at the discretion of the Chairman of the Defence Research Board. The Secretary was instructed to refer this matter to CDRB, and reply to the letter accordingly.

AGENDA ITEM #5 - Items Contained in a Letter from Mr. W. B. Smith

A letter received by the Secretary from Mr. W. B. Smith dated 21 July, 1952 containing items for consideration at this meeting, was tabled for discussion. These items were considered by the Committee as follows:

Item (a) A motion that the Committee or some member group subscribe to a press clipping service on Canadian sightings of unidentified flying objects and that such clippings be made available to the Committee promptly, so that promising sightings may be followed up.

It was pointed out that the business of the Committee is not to undertake the actual interrogation of persons who have seen unidentified flying objects. Therefore, in so far as the Committee was concerned, there could be no direct action taken to follow up a promising sighting. Additionally no such service exists on a well organized basis in Canada. It was agreed, however, that were it desired to get reports on all sightings, such a reference method should be recommended. Mr. Smith stated that the D.O.T. library maintains a restricted press clipping service and, providing the following motion was approved and appeared in the minutes, it would be possible to extend this press clipping service and make it available to the parties concerned.

Motion - (Mr. Smith) "It is moved that a press clipping service be made available for the benefit of the agencies collecting sighting reports".

This motion was carried.

Item (b) A motion that where a sighting appears not to be readily explicable in terms of normal factors a special effort be made to obtain as many reports as possible, in order to construct a suitable geometry and obtain a reasonably complete description.

It was agreed that such action may be desirable. However, the preparations necessary for the analysis of sightings was in such a very early stage that the motion could not be applied at this time. It was agreed to reconsider this at some future date.

Item (c) A proposed experiment whereby the accuracy of public observations may be checked and from which suitable weighting factors may be determined for use in the assessment of various sightings.

This was discussed in some detail. The Chairman noted that many reports related to this subject were available, and should be reviewed prior to the action contemplated. Additionally it was felt that this motion was a matter for consideration by persons, as yet unspecified, who would be responsible for the evaluation of sighting reports. The Committee rejected the motion but expressed no objection to having any person, or group of persons, carry out such an experiment independently and without public reference to the Committee or the Services.

Item (d) A system of evaluating individual sightings prior to their card indexing or other filing, so that we may attach to them a weighting factor to be used in their ultimate statistical consideration.

This was briefly discussed and considered desirable and subsequently approved in principle. Mr. Smith agreed to pursue the matter in more detail, and prepare a "weighting factor system" for future discussion.

Item (e) A communication received from Civilian Saucer Investigations, Los Angeles, California.

The Committee took note of the "Civilian Saucer Investigations" organization, but agreed that no formal contact could be established for the Second Storey Project. The post Card addressed to Mr. Smith was tabled for inclusion in the Committee files. Mr. Smith indicated he would be prepared to keep the Committee advised of any pertinent information personally received through this source.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 1245 hours.

Part I - Information for guidance in reporting on unknown flying objects.

In collecting data on unknown flying objects, accuracy of observation and record is of prime importance. The observer should report carefully and precisely what he sees and hears with a minimum of private personal interpretation. Accurate numerical data to the best of the observer's ability are most desirable. Confirmation of the observation by others is also desirable, particularly if other observers are located some distance away so that they may have a slightly different view of the object.

The sighting report is for the purpose of obtaining specific information regarding a particular sighting. Most of the questions are straightforward and call for an obvious answer. Some questions, however, may require a certain amount of explanation so that the required information may be forthcoming. It should be noted that the information obtained will not be made public.

The following headings refer to numbered questions on the Project Second Storey Sighting Report Form.

A. (3) Occupation and Previous Relevant Experience

Note: State if the observer has had any previous experience, for example, as an observer in the Air Force or as an amateur astronomer, or as an employee at a Government Weather Station.

B. (7) Date and Local Time

The exact date and time, whether Local, Standard, or Daylight Saving Time of a sighting should be specified. Where possible the accuracy of the time piece should be determined.

B. (8) Position of observer as accurately as possible

The exact position of the observer during the sighting should be noted as accurately as possible, with particular reference to nearby objects such as buildings, trees, etc. Where possible the exact latitude and longitude of the point of observation should be given. If this is not known the point should be indicated on a convenient map.

B. (9) General description of sighting

In answer to this question it is hoped to obtain a general description of what the observer actually saw and the circumstances under which he observed it.

B. (12) Position in which first seen

The position of the object seen may be described conveniently by bearing and elevation. By bearing it is meant the direction from the observer towards the object in terms of the cardinal points of the compass, or if possible, more accurately in the terms of degrees East or West of true North. It is useful to give the direction from the observer to the object in relation to the roads or concession lines. The level horizon is taken as zero degrees, the point directly overhead as 90 degrees.

B. (13) Position in which last seen

Note: See remarks under B. (12). This description should be as full and complete as possible. If there was any change in shape during the course of the observation, such change in shape should be indicated. The average man's left hand, with arm fully stretched out gives the following measurements:

(a) Between the first and second knuckles	3°
(b) Across the knuckles	8°
(c) With fingers extended, from point of index finger to point of little finger	12°
(d) With fingers extended from tip of thumb to tip of little finger	19°

Note: See Fig. (1) at foot of page 2.

Because of the distance from the observer, the three dimensional form cannot generally be determined. However, the object will have an apparent shape in two dimensions, circular, oval, rectangular, triangular, etc. The two dimensional shape of the outline should be reported, not as an assumed three dimensional form.

B.(16) Detailed description of apparent brightness

It is realized that a description of apparent brightness is extremely difficult. However, if the object is seen at night or after sundown it might be compared to the brightness of the moon, planets, or stars.

B.(17) Detailed description of colour

In describing colour the simplest terms possible should be used such as red, green, white, etc.

B.(18) Apparent size (angle subtended)

The same technique for determining the apparent size of the object could be used as under B. (12) for determining its elevation. For information purposes the full moon subtends an angle of approximately  $\frac{1}{2}$  degree which is the angle subtended by a  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch object held at arm's length. Since it is quite impossible to form even a rudimentary estimate of the size in feet or inches unless the distance is known, the size should be stipulated only in terms of the angle subtended by the object as seen by the observer.

B.(24) Other contributory evidence (photographic, electronic, etc.)

An effort should be made to uncover any evidence of a photographic, electronic, magnetic or radioactive nature which might have some association with the sighting. No unusual happenings at the time or place of sighting should be overlooked.


B.(25) Any other details

Under this heading a sketch of the path, would be extremely helpful. This need only be a line drawing showing the position and orientation of the object in relation to visible land marks. If the observer should happen to be close enough to the object to form an opinion as to its shape and construction, a sketch to an approximate scale would be extremely valuable.

O. (27) Date and place of interrogation

An interview which takes place at the point from which the observer saw the object is the most valuable, since position bearings, elevation, etc., may be established more accurately in this way. It should be specified if the interview was conducted at the observation point.

Fig. (1)



PROJECT SECOND STOREY

Part II - Descriptions of Normal Phenomena which might cause reports of unidentified aerial objects.

Balloons

Ceiling Balloons and unlighted Pilot Balloons are used in daytime. These balloons are about two feet in diameter. Red or White colours are employed.

At night, pilot balloons carry either a paper lantern lighted by a candle or a small battery-powered electric light. These are not likely to be visible to any great height by the naked eye, but a balloon with a slow leak might carry a light across the sky for a considerable distance at a relatively low level.

Radiosonde balloons are about five or six feet in diameter, coloured white, and carry a small box at the end of a cord about twenty feet below the balloon. Sometimes a radar reflector is also tied to the balloon; this is a reflector of many faces and it is possible that some unusual reflections of light may occur from this attachment. Radiosonde balloons normally ascend to about 60,000 feet.

Skyhook Balloons are used occasionally for special high-altitude observations which takes them up to 100,000 feet. These balloons are about 75 feet in diameter and an instrument box tied beneath. Because of their size, there is a good possibility that such balloons are the basis of some reports of unusual aerial objects.

In the daytime with blue skies, good visibility and bright sunshine, balloons may be seen at considerable heights once they are located by the eye. The balloons stand out against the blue of the sky as sharp pin points of light.

Aircraft

Aircraft seen in this country should conform to the well known silhouettes, but, in view of developments in neighbouring countries, triangular (delta wing) and tailless types, possibly flying at great heights, may be seen. Also due to distances and aircraft attitudes in flight, the true plan forms may not be observed. A change in shape during the observation may well indicate the existence of these circumstances.

Effects of Screens, Glass, Etc.

Common objects when viewed through screens may be distorted out of all recognizable shapes; often single objects may appear as several. As commercial sheet glass (window panes) may contain defects causing similar optical phenomena, observers should be wary of such conditions.

Nacreous or Mother of Pearl Clouds

These rare clouds are most likely to be seen just before sunrise or just after sunset when illuminated by sunshine from below the horizon. They may also appear in daytime. The clouds occur at heights of 15 to 20 miles and have iridescent colours which resemble the colours seen in mother of pearl. It is possible that a small detached mother of pearl cloud might give the appearance of a hovering object high in the sky.

Noctilucent Clouds

Noctilucent or night-luminous clouds are seen only at night made visible by reflected light from the sun when the sun is about  $10^{\circ}$  to  $18^{\circ}$  below the horizon and visibility conditions are very good. They usually appear about an hour after sunset, low on the horizon. Their colour may be white or they may be a shade of colour such as bluish-white, golden, or reddish-orange, but they do not display the brilliant iridescent colours that are characteristics of mother of pearl clouds. Noctilucent clouds occur about 50 miles high in the atmosphere, as determined by simultaneous photography from different points on the earth's surface. Their speeds have been calculated to be as high as 400 miles per hour, but because of their great height they appear to move slowly.

Clouds Reflections

Reflections of light from cloud banks are also a possible source of reports of illuminated objects in the sky at night. The source of light may be any kind of a searchlight, such as ceiling projectors, defence units, aircraft landing-lights, etc. Usually the beam of the light is visible from the source up to illuminated spot, so this condition is not likely to deceive a careful observer.

Optical Phenomena

Rainbows are common optical phenomena caused by a refraction and reflection of light from the sun by water drops in the atmosphere. A small portion only of a rainbow may be seen at times which might give the appearance of a small object in the sky. However, because rainbows are fairly common occurrence, they are unlikely to deceive anyone.

Optical phenomena caused by reflections of light from ice crystals suspended in the atmosphere may result in the appearance of unusual lighting effects in the sky. The halo around the sun or moon is the most common of this class of phenomena. The halo is usually seen as a ring of 22° radius around the sun or moon, but under some conditions it is possible for only part of the ring to be formed. Sundogs or mock-suns may appear at an angle of 22° either to the right or left of the sun - these appear as bright spots of light in the sky. Mock-suns sometimes are seen at angles of 46° or 90° from the sun. The possibility of halo phenomena should always be considered when any bright spot is seen in the sky - such spots will remain relatively fixed in position. Halo phenomena are most commonly caused by the sun because of the large amount of light available from this source, but the complete halo ring is frequently seen around the moon at night and it is possible that under unusual conditions other halo phenomena may also be seen at night.

Meteors

A meteor, or shooting star, always pursues a nearly straight (or great circle) path across the sky. Faint meteors last about half a second, brighter ones rarely more than two or three seconds. Bright meteors may burst and shower sparks or may leave a faint luminescence in their wake that is sometime visible for several minutes. Bright meteors may appear of almost any colour and in exceptional cases produce detonations and rumbling sounds. When coming head on a meteor seems to have almost no motion across the sky but when moving perpendicular to the line of sight its apparent velocity is rapid.

Stars and Planets

Stars and planets can generally be recognized without difficulty but on certain occasions appear with unusual brilliance thus exciting comment. In any case they never move rapidly but have a slow general motion from the east to the west part of the sky, except for the stars in the north where the motion is counter-clockwise about the pole star. Venus at its greatest brilliance can appear in the sunlit-sky as a faint white dot visible to the naked eye. It either precedes or follows the sun on these occasions.

The Aurora

The aurora, or northern light, produces various luminous forms of numerous pastel shades. In most parts of Canada northern lights may appear in any part of the sky though they are seen most frequently in the north. Although sharp rays may appear as part of the display most of the illumination is of a very diffuse type and is subject to rapid motion and change of intensity reminding one of the great flickering flames or searchlight playing over clouds. Sometimes small, restricted auroral glows remain almost stationary in one place for some period of time. In general their outlines are diffuse.

PROJECT SECOND STOREY

Sighting Report

(A Separate form is to be used for each observer).

A. Details of observer.

1. Name of observer:

Surname:.....Initials.....

2. Address of observer:

.....  
Number Street City

.....  
Province

3. Occupation and previous relevant experience:

.....  
.....

4. Age Group:.....

5. Has observer seen "flying objects" before, and if so, briefly, when, where, and circumstances:

.....  
.....  
.....

6. Was observer wearing glasses?

.....

B. Details of Observation

7. Date and local time:

.....

8. Position of observer as accurately as possible:

.....  
.....  
.....

9. General description of sighting:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

10. Number of objects:.....

11. Length of time observed.....

12. Position in which first seen:

Bearing:.....

Elevation.....

.....

.....

13. Position in which last seen:

Bearing.....

.....

Elevation.....

.....

14. General description of any changes in the direction of motion.

.....

.....

15. Detailed description of apparent shape:

.....

.....

.....

.....

16. Detailed description of apparent brightness:

.....

.....

.....

.....

17. Detailed description of colour.

.....

.....

.....

18. Apparent size (e.g. angle subtended)

.....

.....

.....

- 19. Description of exhaust or vapour trails, if any.
  - .....
  - .....
  - .....
- 20. Description of noise, if any:
  - .....
  - .....
- 21. Weather conditions:
  - (a) Clouds.....
  - (b) Visibility.....
  - (c) Precipitation:.....
  - (d) General remarks:.....
  - .....
- 22. Was the object flying above, below or in and out of cloud?
  - .....
- 23. Did anyone else see the object? If so, names and addresses:
  - .....
  - .....
  - .....
  - .....
- 24. Is there other contributory evidence:
  - (Photographic, or electronic, etc.)
  - .....
  - .....
  - .....
- 25. Any other details: (including sketch if possible)
  - .....
  - .....
  - .....
  - .....

C. Details of Interrogator

26. Interrogator:

Surname:.....

Initials.....

Position held:.....

27. Date and place of interrogation:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

28. Interrogator's opinion of the reliability of the observer.

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

(Signature of Interrogator)

NOV 14 AM 10 32

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

.....  
.....  
.....



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

125 <sup>2</sup>/<sub>4-52</sub>

Toronto, November 25, 1952

PLACE

DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
	Unidentified aerial phenomenon at Alert, N.W.T.	6754-1388

30096

TRANSPORT-RECORDS  
22-12-29  
NOV 29 AM.  
~~1954-761~~  
File

G.C.W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Telecommunications, Department of Transport, #3 Temporary Building, Ottawa, Ontario.

Attention: Mr. W.B. Smith

The following message which was received from Alert, N.W.T., on November 25 is forwarded for your information.

"Fairly bright illumination observed by undersigned at two five zero eight three two GMT, NNE to ENE horizon approximately thirty degrees above horizon for period of time one to two seconds. Phenomena similar to lightning within cloud. Weather observation at time above observed as follows: overcast stratus, light snow, overcast fairly thick as no stars observed, ceiling balloon two thousand, visibility five miles. Droine. "

*Andrew Thomson*

RWR/MS

Andrew Thomson,  
Controller.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

ENTERED  
ON CARDS



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

1242  
4-52

22-12-29  
DEC 3 1952

TORONTO 5, Ont., October 30, 1952

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
22-12-29 (R.B.M.)	Unidentified Aerial Objects - Macdonald, Man.	C5964-27

CONFIDENTIAL

G.C.W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Telecommunications,  
Department of Transport, OTTAWA

6710

1. Reference is to your letter of October 7, 1952 requesting complete sighting reports on the occurrence of an unidentified aerial object over Macdonald, Manitoba, on August 27, 1952.
2. I regret to inform you that the two RCAF meteorological observers who made the report have been posted overseas. It has, therefore, not been possible to question these two observers.
3. However, if you feel that the trouble is justified, we could forward the sighting forms to Gros Tenquin in France and have the observers interrogated by our meteorologist there. We have not taken any further action on this until we hear from you whether you feel this would be justified.

*Andrew Thomson*

Andrew Thomson  
(Controller)

ENTERED  
ON CARDS



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

123  
2  
8-45

St. John's, Newfoundland, Nov 4, 1952

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
22-12-29 (K.B.M.)	Unusual Ionospheric Records	SJI-1-10

6268

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
NOV 12 1952  
Transport Dept

SECRET

Controller of Telecommunications,  
Telecommunications Division,  
Air Services Branch,  
Department of Transport,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

1. Some difficulty was experienced in obtaining the information requested in paragraph 3, due to a high security imposed by the United States Forces who have a considerable amount of equipment in the area.

2. It is common knowledge that there is at least one high powered, long range Radar unit within two miles. It is reported that there are others. We know of several establishments using some form of radio equipment, possibly pulsed, the details of which remain under security. GCA air to ground radar is used on the Airport, located about a mile from us. We understand from remarks made by some U.S. Personnel that, some form of training is carried on from time to time in one of the hangars, which covers Loran and Radar. We intend to obtain more information on this.

3. To obtain some more concrete details, we obtained through RCAF Personnel the name of the Officer Commanding the 108th squadron AC & W unit (US). Capt Garren referred me to Cpt Murdock whose official position deals with maintenance of the U.S. equipments of the type that might produce the trace that we are interested in. Cpt Murdock appears to be a scientist at heart, thus he was very interested in our problem.

4. Cpt Murdock could offer no explanation or hint that any U.S. equipment in the area could synchronize with our locally generated 60 cycle recurrence, and at the same time with the sweep of the spectrum, as has been proven by the last traces submitted.

.....2

ENTERED  
ON CARD

He suggested that certain antennae might have a varying resonant point with frequency. However there are no long wire antennae in the area.

5. Since this phenomenon occurs only when general communications are extremely good, we wonder if the echo producing the trace might be started from a previous sweep, since our recurrence frequency would allow a time of signal flight of 2500 KMs, so that any return from a point beyond that distance would appear one trace late.

6. We have been watching for this unusual trace for over a month; intending to use 16 mm continuous equipment and change such things as the recurrence frequency, markers, pulse length, sweep range etc.

*C. F. Robinson*  
Officer-in-Charge  
St. John's Ionosphere Stn.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

12<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>  
9-30

Victoria, B.C., October 2, 1952  
PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
22-12-29 SECRET	Unidentified Aerial Objects	1200-1 (S)

5736

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
OCT 14 1952  
Transport Dept.

Director of Marine Services  
Dept. of Transport,  
Ottawa, Ontario

As requested in your letter of the 12th instant,  
please find Sighting Report completed by Mr. G. L. Smith,  
Lightkeeper at Nootka Station, in connection with unidentified  
aerial object.

*T.E. Morrison*  
T.E. MORRISON, Agent

D  
Encls.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

10

OTTAWA, Ontario, October 7, 1952.

Unusual Ionospheric Records

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

SECRET

1. Thank you for calling to our attention the unusual traces on the Ionospheric records of last July and August.
2. It is noted from your records and also from the regular film traces from your station that a number of inclined approximately straight lines frequently appear on the traces. Consultation with experts in the fields of pulse transmissions indicate that these may be due to a nearly synchronous pulse transmission in the vicinity of your station.
3. In order to prevent any future confusion between such spurious traces and any other unusual phenomena which might be present it is suggested that you enquire as to the possible operation of pulse equipment in your general area which would have a pulse recurrence frequency approximately the same as that of the LQ17.

Original Signed by  
**F. G. NIXON**

for  
(G.C.W. Brown)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. G.F. Robinson,  
Officer-in-Charge,  
St. John's Ionosphere Station,  
P.O. Box 164, Harvey Road Post Office,  
St. John's, Newfoundland.



WEB/CO

115

OTTAWA, Ontario, October 7, 1952.

Unidentified Aerial Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

SECRET

1. Thank you for your letter of September 24, 1952 and the enclosed report from Mr. Holbrook of the sighting of an unidentified aerial object over Macdonald, Alberta on September 3.

2. As this sighting appears to be particularly interesting we would appreciate having the enclosed sighting reports completed in as much detail as possible. Particular attention should be given to an accurate determination of the angle subtended by the object and any other factors which might serve to establish its distance, altitude, size, etc.

Original Signed by  
F. G. NIXON

for  
(G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Encls.

Mr. Andrew Thomson,  
Controller of Meteorological Services,  
Department of Transport,  
315 Bloor Street West,  
Toronto, Ontario.

*Handwritten signature/initials*

OTTAWA, Ontario, October 7, 1952.

Unidentified Aerial Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

SECRET

1. Thank you for your letter of September 22, 1952 reporting upon the alleged sighting by Mr. Gabriel Durocher of an unidentified aerial object.
2. Your efforts in this matter are much appreciated but we agree that any information which Mr. Durocher might be able to supply would be of extremely doubtful value considering the circumstances reported in your letter.

Original Signed by  
 F. G. NIXON

for (G.C.W. Browne)  
 Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. R.G. Gooding,  
 Radio Inspector,  
 609 Dominion Public Building,  
 Windsor, Ontario.

*WBS*



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

2  
4-22

TORONTO 5, Ont., October 1, 1952.

PLACE DATE

113

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT Aerial Object - Halifax, June 15, 1952	OUR FILE 05964-27
-----------	---	----------------------

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
OCT 14 1952

CONFIDENTIAL

G.C.W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Air Transport Communications,  
Department of Transport, OTTAWA

5707

*note: Photos 10.9 to 11.2 removed for ABM files*

1. Attached is a sighting report with entries completed on the aerial object sighted in the vicinity of Halifax on June 15, 1952.
2. No other person has been located by our Halifax office who has sighted this object. The form has been completed with the information supplied by the observer who first reported the sighting.

*[Signature]*  
Andrew Thomson  
(Controller)

Attach.

RECORDED  
INDEXED



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

108 <sup>2</sup>/<sub>8-45</sub>

St. John's, Newfoundland, Sept 25, 1952.

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
22-13-29	UNUSUAL IONOSPHERIC RECORDS	SJI-01-7

5441

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
SEP 29 1952  
Transport Dept.

Mr. W.B. Smith,  
Room 2195,  
#3 Temporary Building,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

After a gap of about a month, the unusual traces mentioned in our report of August 17 have returned.

The period during which this type of trace was not noted was a period of very poor communication conditions as noted by sub-normal WWV readings, WWV disturbance notices and by our own communications problems.

This trace was noted as follows:


Sept 23 rd 7:30 PM AST  
24 24 th 6:00 to 7:30 PM each sweeps showed this trace.  
24 th 9:30 PM  
24 th 11:00 PM

The enclosed prints show several new aspects which will be referred to in our analysis. However the main value of these, to my way of thinking, is that the operator on duty (Mr. H. Moore) was able to obtain 3 sweeps at 6:00 PM, two of these are enclosed. The three sweeps show that the unusual trace is exactly uniform during the 48 seconds required for the three. Thus whatever is causing this type of record changes its characteristics quite slowly.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

107

-2-



Sept 24 06:00 PM

06:00 PM

06:15 PM

06:30 PM.

(AST)

Analysis:

In each of the above traces, the "F2" layer appears at a frequency critical of around 6.8 Mc/s, short wave broadcast stations more or less covering the critical. The "X" trace is normal 0.8 Mc/s higher in frequency. Height of the F2 layer is "L" since it is lost in the height of the "F1" layer which is about 230 Kms. At a height of 130 Kms extending in a broken line from 1.3 Mc/s to 2.5 Mc/s is the E Sporadic layer.

The unusual trace is not very definite at 6:00 PM, however it is clearly noted that every characteristic of the two are the same.

The 6:15 and 6:30 pm sweeps are much more definite. It is noted that the 6:30 trace shows two new aspects:

1. The traces show evidence of a "split" or "fork".
2. Each multiple is not exactly symmetrical.

In each case the vertical separation corresponds to between 230 and 250 Kms; criticals in each case appears about 5.0 Mc/s.

C. J. Robinson  
Officer-in-Charge  
St. John's Ionosphere Stn.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

5708

2  
4-22

TORONTO 5, Ont., September 24, 1952

PLACE

DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT Aerial Object - Macdonald, Manitoba	OUR FILE 05964-27
-----------	--	----------------------

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
OCT 14 1952  
Transport Dept.

G.C.W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Telecommunications,  
Department of Transport, OTTAWA

- Attached is a copy of a letter received from the Officer-in-Charge of the Meteorological Office at Macdonald, Manitoba. The letter is more or less self-explanatory but if you would like any more details, I will try to obtain them.
- I note from your letter of July 17, 1952, that a short manual on sightings is being prepared. We are looking forward to receiving copies of this publication and will carry out the distribution as you direct.

*Andrew Thomson*  
Andrew Thomson  
(Controller)

Attach.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS



SECRET FILE 320.  
 DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT

INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

2  
2-50

SEP 23 1952

22-12-29  
 Transport Dept.

WINDSOR, Ontario, September 22, 1952

104

YOUR FILE  
 22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

SUBJECT  
 Unidentified Aerial Objects

OUR FILE  
 1650

SECRET

5330

Mr. G.C.W. Browne, Controller of Telecommunications, OTTAWA, Ont.

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 6th instant and I wish to advise that I have been unsuccessful in obtaining any additional information for you on the above subject.

Upon receipt of your letter, I immediately contacted the Windsor Daily Star and obtained a copy of the item which appeared in their newspaper and I also discussed the matter with a Mr. Dewar who wrote up the article and he informed me that three or four other calls had been received concerning this strange object on the same night but little attention was paid to these calls until Mr. Gabriel Durocher, 1110 McKay Avenue, Windsor called in and then Mr. Dewar went out to interview him at the site. The information I obtained from Mr. Dewar seemed to indicate that Mr. Durocher is a psychopath and usually after heavy drinking steals a bicycle and then gets himself mixed up with the law. He has appeared in court a number of times on charges of theft but always seems to get off on suspended sentence.

I personally tried to interview Mr. Durocher but was unable to find him at home at any time and I called repeatedly by telephone leaving my office and residence number but Mr. Durocher did not call. Finally on my last call to his residence I was talking to Mrs. Durocher who had taken my original calls and she suggested that I forget the whole matter because in her opinion her husband did not see anything on the night in question and she stated quite definitely that Mr. Durocher would not be contacting me and as there were no other persons who could be interviewed, I had to consider the matter closed.

*R. G. Gooding*  
 R. G. Gooding,  
 Radio Inspector - Gr. 2

ENTERED  
 ON CARD



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT**  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

C  
0 3  
P 12-49  
Meteorological Office, RCAF Station, Y  
Macdonald, Manitoba, 3 Sep 52  
PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
05964-27	Unidentified Aerial Objects	105

Memorandum: Mr. Andrew Thomson, O.B.E.,  
Controller, Meteorological Division,  
Toronto, Ontario.

1. The following information is as requested in your circular letter of May 8th, on the a/n subject.
2. An unidentified aerial object was sighted at this station on the evening of August 27, 1952, by two RCAF Met Observers (AC1 DD McAlpine, and AC1 WJ Mauchline). Their description of the object is as follows:
  - (a) It was a disc shaped object with shadows as if it had an irregular surface.
  - (b) It was very shiny of an aluminum or silver color.
  - (c) It's horizontal dimension was two knuckles of a forefinger held at arm's length. It's vertical dimension considerably smaller.
  - (d) The observers were standing just outside the east end of the Control Tower building and the object was due north of them when first sighted. It was about 30 degrees above the horizon, and seemed to be right over the airport.
  - (e) It made two complete ellipses towards the earth and then rapidly shot off toward the northwest and out of sight within a second.
  - (f) No sound whatsoever.
  - (g) Not known.
  - (h) It was sighted at 0445Z on August 28th, 1952.  
The weather at the time was:  
M100@15 /14 Ac10 BINOVC
3. The object appeared well below the overcast of Ac, probably within the vicinity of 5000 feet.
4. The object was seen, then the rotating beacon on the Tower hit the object and within 10 seconds the object had disappeared. It shone in the light from the beacon.
5. It is felt, by the two observers, that the object could not have been a bird nor a conventional aircraft.

(Sgd.) R.K. Holbrook

(RK Holbrook)  
Officer-in-Charge.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

2  
4-52

P.O. Box E 1286  
St. John's Nfld Sept 20th 1952  
PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
22-12-29 Secret		103

Confidential

5301

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
SEP 20 1952  
Transport Dept.

*Note: Sales 95-10102 removed for RBM. file.*

Mr. H. V. Anderson,  
Director of Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
Hunter Building,  
Ottawa

With reference your letter of August 21st, please find enclosed confidential sighting reports AR-9-17 completed by J. Steele, Principal Keeper of Peckford's Island and L.G. Sturge, Lightkeeper Assistant.

It is noted that only two copies of the report have been returned although three were forwarded to be filled out. It is to be hoped that the enclosed are satisfactory.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

*R. J. Randell*  
R. J. Randell  
District Marine Agent

Encls:

*Refer to telecommunication*  
*[Signature]*

22-12-29

CONFIDENTIAL

DRBC 260-4-43(Aero)

94

DEFENCE RESEARCH BOARD

PROJECT SECOND STOREY

Minutes of the 2/52 Meeting

CGS BOARD ROOM, OTTAWA  
19th May, 1952

6267

Chairman:	Dr. P.M. Millman	Dom. Observ.
Members:	Lt. Cdr. J.C. Annesely	DNI
	S/L L.P.S. Bing	JIS
	F/L V.L. Bradley	DRB
	Maj.D.M. Grant	DNI
	Lt.Col. E.H. Webb	DMO&P
	Mr. W.B. Smith	DOT
Secretary:	Mr. H.C. Oatway	DRB

The Minutes of the first meeting of the Committee 24 April 1952 were considered and, with some minor changes approved. These have been reproduced and distributed.

A correction to the minutes of the general meeting 22nd April 1952 was tabled as follows:

Page 2, last para to read:

"It was decided that a Committee should be formed to give a lead in this activity and to standardize procedures, etc. Accordingly the following were nominated and agreed to act: Dr. Millman (Chairman) G/C Edwards, Lt. Col. Webb, Cdr Pratt, F/L Bradley, and Mr. W.B. Smith, and Mr. Oatway (Secretary).

This committee was to prepare a brief of instructions for observers; examine interrogation procedures and to get a consolidated and pertinent series of questions: "

With reference a communication from the Chairman of the Defence Research Board, Dr. Millman cautioned the members with respect to dealing with the press and public. The committee and all deliberations are classified as Confidential and must be so treated. Contacts with the press or public are not to be made.

The last meeting considered that the name Project Theta would be desirable for this project and Committee, providing it would not duplicate an existing project name, or contravene regulations. The Secretary pointed out that the word "theta" has not been assigned to Canada under tri-partite agreements. Additionally, if a single word name were used, it as well as the Committee deliberations, would be classed as Confidential and could not appear on interrogation forms which would be used to obtain data from the public. Any two-word name may be used openly and as such is known as a nickname. Nicknames may be used for classified projects. The Committee then agreed to the name "Project Second Storey".

CONFIDENTIAL

93

-2-

The Chairman reviewed the theories and experiments presently being conducted by Dr. Menzel of the Harvard College Observatory. Dr. Menzel considers that many sightings can be explained as an unusual optical effect (similar to a mirage) and as yet unrecognized. Further details may be found in the June 17th issue of the Look Magazine.

The draft interrogation form was reviewed and some changes recommended. The revised form will be formally approved at the next committee meeting together with instructions and descriptive data. Lt. Col. Webb submitted copies of draft instructions for the use of the interrogation form.

The means for the collection of data were discussed. The Army, Navy and Air Force, and other Government agencies will follow up particular sightings provided that personnel and time are available.

F/L Bradley reviewed his discussions with Lt. E. Ruppelt of the Air Technical Intelligence Center of Wright Patterson Air Force Base (USA) on "Project Blue Book". It was agreed that, an attempt should be made to have Lt. Ruppelt visit Canada and describe the U.S.A. F. project to the Committee.

There being no further discussion, the meeting was adjourned.

CS/100

92

OTTAWA, September 12, 1952.

22-12-29 R.B.M.  
SECRET

Unidentified Aerial Objects

22-12-29  
SECRET


The District Marine Agent,  
Department of Transport,  
Victoria, B.C.

I refer to your letter of August 8th,  
concerning the report of the Lightkeeper at Nootka station  
of sighting unidentified aerial objects.

Your report has been referred to the  
Controller of Telecommunications and he has forwarded the  
enclosed Sighting Report forms, which are to be completed and  
referred to Headquarters.

Would you please have this matter attended  
to and return the forms as soon as possible.

Encl.

  
(H.V. Anderson)  
Director of Marine Services.

99/128

91

OTTAWA, September 12, 1952.

22-12-29  
SECRET

Unidentified Aerial Objects.


22-12-29  
SECRET

The District Marine Agent,  
Department of Transport,  
Quebec, P.Q.

I would refer to your letter of August 19th, 1952  
in which you enclosed information received from the lightkeeper  
at Caraquet of sighting an unidentified aerial object.

This matter was referred to the Controller of  
Telecommunications and he has asked that the enclosed forms  
be completed. Would you please have this matter attended to  
and return these forms as soon as possible.

Encl.

  
(H.V. Anderson)  
Director of Marine Services.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

903  
5-51

OTTAWA, Ontario, September 6, 1952.

PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT <b>Unidentified Aerial Objects</b>	OUR FILE 22-12-29 (R.B.M.) SECRET
-----------	---	---

5107

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
SEP 11 1952  
Transport Dept.

MEMORANDUM TO THE DIRECTOR OF MARINE SERVICES:

1. Thank you for your reference of the letter of August 8, 1952 from Mr. T.E. Morrison, Agent at Victoria, B.C. and Mr. G.E. Gaudreau, acting Agent at Quebec, describing unidentified aerial objects.
2. It would be appreciated if you could have the attached sighting report forms completed and referred to Headquarters.
3. We wish to thank you for the co-operation shown by your various agents in reporting unidentified aerial objects.

*G.C.W. Browne*  
for (G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Encls.

*Aids  
Please!  
9/9/*

WBB/CC

89

OTTAWA, Ontario, September 6, 1952.

Unidentified Aerial Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)  
SECRET

1. We are in receipt of a newspaper clipping from the Montreal Star dated August 28, 1952 carrying a Windsor, Ontario dateline and concerning a luminous disc shaped object seen by a Mr. Gabriel Derocher at Windsor.
2. I am enclosing a number of sighting report forms and would request that you interview Mr. Derocher as well as any other observers who might have seen this object. It is important that all the questions on the form be completed to the best of your ability. Specific information as to numerical value of angles, bearings, etc. is required.
3. It is understood that further information may be obtained from the Windsor Daily Star who apparently forwarded this news item via Canadian Press. The observers may be assured that all information given will be held in strictest confidence. Your early co-operation in obtaining these interviews is requested.

Original Signed by

F. G. NIXON

for

(G.C.W. Browne)

Controller of Telecommunications.

Encls.

Mr. R.G. Gooding,  
Radio Inspector,  
609 Dominion Public Building,  
Windsor, Ontario.

AUBS



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

88  $\frac{2}{8-11}$

St. John's, Nfld. Aug 17, 1952  
PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE 22-12-29	SUBJECT UNUSUAL IONOSPHERIC RECORD TRACING	OUR FILE SJI--1-6
-----------------------	---	----------------------

**SECRET FILE SEC.**  
22-12-29  
AUG 22 1952  
**Transport Dept.**

1709

Mr. W.B. Smith,  
Room 2195,  
#3 Temporary Building,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

Enclosed is a poor print from two sweeps dated August 11, 0800 and 0815 AM (Atlantic Standard Time).

Similar sweeps were noted Aug. 14, 7:00 PM to 08:00 PM,  
Aug 15 11:15 PM to Aug 16 01:45 AM

All equipment details are similar to that reported July 27.

The following analysis is presented:

1. The prints show the normal F2 layer height 270 Kms, critical frequency not seen but determined from the "X" trace to be approximately 5.2 mc/s (normal spacing between "X" and "O" being 0.8 mc/s) Two multiples of this normal trace can be seen.

2. Lower than the F2 trace is another which is the unusual trace being considered.

3. Two distinct characteristics have been noted, the print shows what I shall call type 2.

Type 1. Lowest trace disappears in the minimum height of the LG17 (about 70 Kms), but is definitely approaching the horizontal, thus appearing to have a height about 50 Kms. Critical of all traces approximately the same.

Type 2. Similar to above, but (as print) has an additional trace reaching the minimum height of equipment at a more nearly vertical angle. Also the critical of this extra trace is about 0.75 mc/s higher than the other traces.

....2

**ENTERED  
ON CARDS**



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

87  $\frac{2}{8-45}$

		PLACE	DATE
YOUR FILE	SUBJECT		OUR FILE

-2-

4. Following are some details of the tracing<sup>s</sup> referred to.
- Aug 11; 08:00 AM- (Print) Type 2  
Critical of second trace & multiples 3.5 Mc  
Lowest trace critical 4.2 mc/s  
vertical spacing of traces 230 Kms
- 08:15 (print) Critical second trace 3.5 mc/s (type 2)  
Lowest trace 4.2 mc/s  
vertical spacing about 160 Kms.
- Aug 14, 07:00 AM Type 2, Critical of second sweep and multiples 5 mc/s  
" " lowest trace about 6 mc/s  
spacing vertically 180Kms
- 07:30 Type 1 Criticals 4.2 Mc/s  
vertical spacing 250 Kms  
3 multiples
- 08:00 Type 1 Criticals 4.5 mc/s  
vertical spacing 300 Kms
- Aug 15, 11:15 PM Type 1 Criticals 3.0 Mc/s  
vertical spacing covered by normal traces.
- 11:30 Type 1 Criticals 2.7 Mc  
vertical heights spacing 300 Kms  
3 multiples
- 11:45 Type 1 Criticals 3.0 Mc/s  
spacing 300 Kms
- 1200 (Midnight) Type 1, critical 2.3 Mc/s?(indefinite due to noise)
- Aug 16, 01:00 AM Type 2 Critical of second and multiples 2.3  
spacing vertically 280 Kms

....3



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

86  $\frac{2}{8-43}$

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	PLACE	DATE	OUR FILE

-3-

Aug 16 01:45 AM Type 1 Criticals 2.5 mc/s  
height (spacing) 250 Kms

02:00 Type 2 Critical<sup>s</sup> 2.5 Mc/s  
spacing, 225 Kms

-02:02 Type 2 Critical<sup>s</sup> about 2.0 mc/s  
spacing 220

02:15 Indefinite due to noise and scatter.

5. Each of these traces have appeared during periods of low absorption, and good reception.

6. We had hoped that these were multiples from some reflecting medium below the minimum height of the equipment, but the spacing of the multiples would not substantiate this.

7. This is not like noise when it locks into a sweep, a sample of which has not appeared for some months.

*C. J. Robinson*  
Officer-in-Charge  
St. John's Ionosphere Stn.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

2  
2-30

QUEBEC, P.Q., 19 August, 1952.

PLACE

DATE

84

YOUR FILE 22-12-29	SUBJECT Unidentified Aerial Objects.-	OUR FILE 8117-2
-----------------------	--	--------------------

4708

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
AUG 22 1952  
Transport Dept.

The Director of Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
OTTAWA, Ont.

With reference to Circular Letter M.S.441, dated May 1st, 1952, the following information has been received from Mr. J.G. Gionet, lightkeeper at Caraquet, N.B.-

- (a) cone-shaped object.
- (b) brilliant white (aluminum).
- (c) same size as a 45-gallon drum.
- (d) 45° above horizon.
- (e) from South to East.
- (f) Nil
- (g) Not reported.
- (h) Between 1800 and 1900 hrs July 30th, 1952.

*G. E. Gaudreau*  
(G.E. Gaudreau),  
Acting District Marine Agent.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS



2370

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

2  
9-50

Victoria, B.C., August 8/52

83

YOUR FILE 22-12-29	SUBJECT CONFIDENTIAL	OUR FILE 1200-S
-----------------------	-------------------------	--------------------

SECRET FILE SEC.  
AUG 11 1952  
22-12-29  
Transport Dept.

4529

Director of Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
Ottawa, Ontario

I wish to refer to your Circular Letter M.S. 441, May 1st, 1952, with reference to the sighting of unidentified aerial objects. The following report was submitted by the lightkeeper at Nootka Station, Mr. G. L. Smith:

"On July 30th at 1.37 A.M. P.S.T. I noticed a luminous object high overhead, traveling fast, flight horizontal, silent. The fog had cleared overhead, stars were visible, the object was in sight about three seconds and disappeared in the fog heading N.W. by N."

His note: "It was a smooth job whatever it was"!

The above is respectfully submitted.

*T.E. Morrison*  
T.E. MORRISON, Agent

*Referred to  
Controller of Telecommunications  
A.M.D.  
12/8/52*

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
Telecommunications Division

WBS/IR

22- 12- 29

82



OTTAWA, Ontario, August 6, 1952.

CONFIDENTIAL

Dear Sir:

1. Thank you for your letter of July 14, 1952 advising of the sighting of an object above Cove Island on July 12, 1952.
2. From the description of this object we conclude that it most probably was a weather balloon carrying radiosonde equipment. These balloons are released frequently and carry equipment aloft which sends back by means of radio transmissions information on temperature and humidity.
3. We thank you for your consideration in reporting this sighting.

Yours very truly,

W. E. CONNELLY  
FOR (G. C. W. Brown)  
Controller of Telecommunications

Mr. James C. Nagel,  
Cove Island Lighthouse,  
Tobermory, Ontario.

*WBS*



**SECRET** FILE J-U.  
**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT**  
 INTRA DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE  
 22-12-29  
 Transport Dept.  
 4544  
 8/29-50


YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
1	Aerial Objects - Vancouver, July 3, 1952.	05964-27

TORONTO 5, Ont., July 29, 1952.  
PLACE DATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L

G.C.W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Telecommunications,  
 Department of Transport, OTTAWA

1. Attached is a copy of a self-explanatory letter regarding a sighting of an unidentified aerial object at Vancouver on July 3, 1952 and also a copy of a press clipping on this subject forwarded by our office at Vancouver. We have no information on the identity of Mr. E. MacKay.

  
 4 Andrew Thomson  
 (Controller)

Attach.

ENTERED  
 IN CARD

C  
O  
P  
Y

80

778 W. 24th Ave.  
July 5, 1952.

Dear Sir,

On Thursday, July 3, about five minutes to twelve at night I was looking out a western window and saw a bright, round, amber light moving from the south in a northwesterly direction. When it was about due west it turned at an angle of about  $45^{\circ}$  and headed in a south westerly direction.

I watched it for about a full minute before I ran to notify other members of the family. It appeared to be larger than any star or planet and neither twinkled nor wavered in its slow, steady move across the sky.

There were no "tails" or vapors and no noise accompanying it.

Yours truly,

"E. MacKay"

C  
O  
P  
Y

79

**MOUNT PLEASANT AREA  
'SAUCERS' DEBUNKED**

Reports that flying saucers had been sighted over the Mount Pleasant district were debunked today by air force and Department of Transport officials.

B.C. Electric drivers claimed to have spotted one saucer at 10:45 p.m. Thursday and a second one at two o'clock this morning over the city hall.

The second one was described as being "like a falling star but much slower."

The RCAF said it had not received any reports of so-called flying saucers over the city, and the Department of Transport said no unusual phenomena were recorded.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

162  
8-45

St. John's, Newfoundland, July 27, 1952

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
22-12-29	UNUSUAL IONOSPHERIC RECORD TRACING	SJI-01-2

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
JUL 31 1952  
Transport Dept.

1340

Mr. W.B. Smith,  
Room 2195,  
#3 Temporary Building,  
Ottawa, Ontario

Your letter was here when I returned from part of annual leave.

Answers to your paragraph 2 are as follows:

- a. Time for each frame- 16 seconds,
- b. Pulse recurrence frequency 60 cycles per second (Crystal controlled)
- c. Pulse length 50 microseconds, determined by factory set kipp (one shot multivibrator) circuit.
- d. Height markers are 100 (one hundred) Kilometers. Frequency markers are spaced one megacycle apart, beginning at one megacycle and reaching a maximum of 15 mc/s.

Notation to d. should be made. RPL object to wide frequency markers. Width of the markers is determined by output voltage from a crystal controlled unit which mixes the variable frequency oscillator output with a 1 mc/s crystal. The variable frequency oscillator varies with tuning between 30.4 and 45 megacycles. Thus to restrict the marker output voltage and thus keep the markers narrow, causes markers above about 6 megacycles to become indefinite, since the vfo frequency is getting farther away from the crystal frequency.

Also you will notice a wide line near the high frequency end of sweep. This is caused by the calm which varies the vfo tuning. The sweep ends right on the 15 mc/s marker (the wide line) and starts back down in frequency.

....2

ENTERED  
IN CARDS



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

77 2  
8-43

YOUR FILE		PLACE	DATE
	SUBJECT		OUR FILE

W.B. Smith

-2-


While I was away, the film for the first half of the month was sent to RPL, thus, I am afraid, I cannot answer your paragraph 8, regarding the duration of high frequency disturbance.

If you wish we could have this part returned by RPL so that we may gather this information.

Since we have been using 60 cycle recurrence frequency which though independent of the local power line, being crystal controlled from a precision 100 kc crystal, there are times when noises from the power line lock in giving unusual sweeps. I am looking for this type of sweep that you might compare it with the one we sent July 4.

*C. F. Robinson*

(C.F. Robinson)  
Officer-in-Charge  
St. John's Ionosphere Stn.



OTTAWA, Ontario, July 21, 1952.

CONFIDENTIAL

Dear Mr. Catway:

1. In connection with the Project Second Storey considerations I would like to bring to the attention of the Committee at their next meeting the following items:

(1) A motion that the Committee or some member group subscribe to a press clipping service on Canadian sightings of unidentified flying objects and that such clippings be made available to the Committee promptly, so that promising sightings may be followed up.

(2) A motion that where a sighting appears not to be readily explicable in terms of normal factors a special effort be made to obtain as many reports as possible, in order to construct a suitable geometry and obtain a reasonably complete description.

(3) A proposed experiment whereby the accuracy of public observations may be checked and from which suitable weighting factors may be determined for use in the assessment of various sightings.

(4) A system of evaluating individual sightings prior to their card indexing or other filing, so that we may attach to them a weighting factor to be used in their ultimate statistical consideration.

(5) A communication received from Civilian Saucer Investigations, Los Angeles, California.

2. It would be appreciated if you could arrange for those items to appear on the agenda for the next meeting of the Project Second Storey Committee.

Yours very truly,

Mr. H. C. Catway,  
Headquarters Scientific Staff,  
Defence Research Board,  
"A" Building,  
125 Elgin Street,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

(W. B. Smith)  
Senior Radio Engineer.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

P.O. Box 217,  
Halifax, N.S., July 23, 1952.

3  
5-51  
75

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
22-12-29 (RBM)	Unidentified Flying Objects	

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
AUG 12 1952  
Transport Dept.

CONFIDENTIAL

1545

ATTENTION: Mr. W.B. Smith  
Broadcast and Measurements Section

We enclose completed form AR-9-17 covering an interview with Mr. J.A.V. MacRobert of Armdale, Halifax Co., N.S., who reported observing an unidentified flying object at approximately midnight July 18th.

With reference to the last paragraph of our letter of July 3rd, the Halifax Mail-Star published the enclosed editorial as promised, but received no further communications concerning the May 25th sighting.

*G.F. Harris*  
G.F. Harris  
DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT

Controller of Telecommunications,  
OTTAWA,  
Ontario.

ENCLOSURES RETAINED IN Radio Broadcast & Measurements	WBS 28/7/52
---	----------------

22-12-29 74


### "Flying Saucers" Here?

THAT splatter of burning star dust or whatever it was that recently lighted the skies over parts of this province was no mere flash in the pan, it seems. The incident, which excited people from River John, through Bass River and right across Nova Scotia to the Halifax shore, has not been ignored by scientists.

In other times, prophets would have been out in force after the appearance of such a heavenly object. In the latest centuries on several occasions, men, who now seem to have been little more than hysterical, gathered hosts about them and went into the hills to await the world's end. It came for them all in the usual course but not in the way they had expected; the earth spun on with no measurable shift from her usual orbit when they made their exit.

Now, when meteors fall—or are they meteors?—people fear the world's end for another reason. Fearful weapons are in the making, and, perhaps, even in the testing. This possibility is being seriously explored in all countries, and there is no way of measuring what happened except by discussions with persons who see the unusual events. That is why, even now, more than a month after the incident here, there still is curiosity about who saw it happen and exactly what did happen.

WDS/IR



73

OTTAWA, Ontario, July 17, 1952.

AERIAL OBJECT

22-12-29

CONFIDENTIAL

1. Thank you for your letter of July 8, 1952 and the enclosed report from Mr. C. B. Wilson, concerning the sighting of an unusual aerial object while taking pibal observations.
2. The information contained in your letter and Mr. Wilson's report is much appreciated and is precisely the type of information which we require in our investigations. The only thing which might be added is information from observers who may have seen the object from a sufficiently distant point to permit of triangulations for altitude and size.
3. In reference to your letter of July 11, 1952 I would advise that we have not considered it necessary to supply all offices with the sighting report forms, since there appears to be little justification for the wide distribution of these forms in order to meet the requirements of the relatively few actual sightings. As a matter of fact, it is the information pertinent to the sighting which we require, rather than the completion of the form itself and if the same information is forthcoming in the form of a letter or memorandum we consider it of equal value to a completed sighting report. The sighting report forms, however, do give an indication of the type and extent of information which we would like to obtain. A short manual on sightings is being prepared and copies will be distributed in due course.

Yours very truly,

Original signed by  
G. C. W. Browne

(G. C. W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. Andrew Thomson,  
Controller of Meteorological Services,  
Department of Transport,  
315 Bloor Street West,  
TORONTO 5, Ontario.

WDS

WDS/IR

RADIO BROADCAST  
& MEASUREMENTS

OTTAWA, Ontario, July 16, 1952. 72

UNUSUAL IONOSPHERIC RECORD TRACING

22-12-29

CONFIDENTIAL:

1. Thank you for your letter of July 4, 1952 and the enclosed strip of film on which appear unusual traces. This is precisely the type of information which we wish to obtain from the ionospheric records as and when the phenomenon occurs.

2. It would be appreciated if you could provide the following additional information to as great an accuracy as possible:

- (a). The time taken for the sweep to cover one frame.
- (b). The pulse recurrence frequency.
- (c). The length of pulse in milliseconds.
- (d). An indication of the height and frequency markers.

3. We would also like to know the approximate duration of the disturbances at the high frequency end of the normal trace, following the occurrence of the phenomenon under consideration.

Original Signed by  
G. C. W. Browne

(G. C. W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. C. F. Robinson,  
Officer in Charge,  
St. John's Ionosphere Station,  
St. John's, Newfoundland.

WDS/IR

Core Island Lighthouse  
Tobermory, Ontario 1)  
July 14 - 1952.

Canadian Weather Bureau  
Ottawa, Ontario.

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
JUL 23 1952  
Transport Dept.

Gentlemen:

Saturday evening July 12 - at 19:00 E.S.T. five adults and three children over 11 years of age observed the following object, high above Core Island. It was observed for about one hour and twenty minutes through the only available optical instrument a telescope sight for a rifle.

With the naked eye it had the appearance of a parachutist descending except that it seemed stationary in the air. Through the telescope sight it appeared "egg shaped" as a balloon with a small rectangular object suspended several feet below it. The "balloon" part was surrounded by some sort of band which extended outward like the equatorial band on a large globe

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

Reply July 23 - 1952

map. The upper ~~part~~ part appeared transparent  
as if flint glass or Cellophane, the lower 70  
part was opaque.

The first part to be lost to sight  
was the suspended object and later the  
"balloon" just vanished. There were  
no clouds in the near vicinity and  
we changed the direction to finding  
light.

I would like to know if your  
department is familiar with any  
meteorological instrument or apparatus  
which would have this description.  
In the one hour and twenty minutes  
of observation it moved only slightly  
toward the east. None of the are trained  
observers and cannot give you exact  
mathematical data,

Sincerely

James C. Hazel  
Core Island Lighthouse  
Tobermory, Ontario  
Canada.

J. C. Royal  
Cove Island Lighthouse  
Tobermory, Ontario  
Canada.



Trg Dept. of Transport.  
Canadian Weather Bureau  
Department of Meteorology

Ottawa,  
Ontario  
Canada.  
Experimental Farm.

69

O T T A W A, September 21st, 1952.

22-12-29 Secret  
AED/REG

Captain R. J. Randell,  
District Marine Agent,  
Department of Transport,  
P. O. Box 8 1286,  
ST. JOHN'S, Nfld.

This will refer to your letter of July 9th, enclosing copy of the letter of June 29th received from the Lightkeeper at Peckford's Island, in connection with sighting of unidentified aerial objects.

After consideration of this report by the Headquarters' officer concerned, it has been decided that Mr. Steele's report would warrant further investigation.

Accordingly, three copies of confidential sighting report form AR-9-17 are forwarded herewith for completion by Mr. Steele and his Assistant, and return.

Your continued co-operation under the conditions of Marine Circular 448 of May 1st, 1952, will be greatly appreciated.

Enc.

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY  
H. V. ANDERSON

(H. V. Anderson)  
Director of Marine Services.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

66 3  
5-51

OTTAWA, Ontario, July 17, 1952.

PLACE

DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
	UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT	22-12-29 (RBM)

CONFIDENTIAL

4546

I am referring to the sighting report submitted by Mr. Jesse Steele, Lightkeeper, Peckford's Island, dated June 29, 1952. From the information given it would appear that Mr. Steele's sighting would warrant further investigation and I would request, if possible, that the information requested on the attached sighting report form be supplied by Mr. Steele.

(G. C. W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Director of Marine Services,  
Hunter Building,  
OTTAWA, Ontario.



DEPARTMENT FILE SEC.  
 DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
 INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE  
 AUG 12 1952  
 22-12-29  
 Transport Dept.  
 Sighting Reports

1547

67  
 3  
 5-51

TORONTO 5, Ont., July 11, 1952.  
 DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE 05964-27
-----------	---------	----------------------

CONFIDENTIAL

G.C.W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Telecommunications,  
 Department of Transport, OTTAWA

1. Receipt is acknowledged of your letter of July 3, 1952 and Sighting Report Forms, with the request that information on the Halifax sighting of June 15th be forwarded on these forms.
2. We have forwarded six sets of these forms to Halifax with the request that Mr. Hornstein try to interview others who may have seen this particular object. We are uncertain as to whether you wish to have these forms distributed to all our stations in order that sighting reports may be turned in on these forms or whether you wish us to retain them at this Head Office and send them out only when a sighting report is forwarded to us.
3. If a supply is to be sent to each station, we would require a supply of at least 500 forms. If this is your intention we would be pleased to receive a supply of these forms from your office, although we could prepare copies of these forms here, if necessary.
4. We would appreciate your advice on how you wish to have this matter handled.

ENTERED  
 ON CARDS

*Andrew Thomson*  
 Andrew Thomson  
 (Controller)



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT

INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

P.O. Box E 1286

St. John's, Nfld. July 9th, 1952.

663  
8-51

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
	CONFIDENTIAL	

4055

SECRET FILE SER.  
22-12-29  
JUL 11 1952  
Transport Dept.

Mr. Anderson  
Director Marine Services  
Department of Transport  
Hunter Building, Ottawa.

Please refer to your Circular letter M.S. 448 dated May 1st, 1952. The enclosed is a copy of a letter received from one of the Lightkeepers. My reason for forwarding this information is because neither the Keeper nor the Assistant at Peckford's Island would report an object which could be identified. The position is that the light has been sighted by both Keeper and Assistant and they cannot satisfy themselves as to what it could be. A boat or any other floating object is not probable.

The station is equipped with a powerful telescope and could identify a floating object very easily. The direction the light was sighted is towards the land which is about 5 miles away.

*Noted by DMS.  
and referred to  
Controller of Telecommunications  
11/7/52*

*H. J. Randall*  
H. J. Randall  
District Marine Agent

ENTERED  
ON CARDS



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

65  
3  
5-51

Peckford's Island,  
PLACE

June 29th, 1952.  
DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
	COPY	

R. J. Randell  
District Marine Agent  
Department of Transport  
St. John's, Nfld.

Dear Sir:-

Wish to advise you on recent sightings of unidentified Aerial objects that on June 27th at 12-35 am my Assistant sighted a light about the size of a tea cup due south from Light station, colour of object light red, estimate speed travelling roughly 6 miles per hour in N.E. direction. Visible 10 minutes and disappeared, also on June 29th at 3-30 am I sighted one myself similar to that mentioned above. General shape of object size of a tea plate, colour light red, direction from light station S.W. approximate height 2 feet above horizon moving at a speed roughly 7 or 8 miles per hour in a N.W. direction. Time sighted around (65) seconds, weather conditions fine and clear.

Yours truly,

---

Jesse Steele  
Light keeper  
Peckford's Island



SECRET FILE SEC.  
 DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
 INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

1548

64  
5-81

AUG 12 1952  
 22-12-29  
 Transport Dept.

TORONTO 5, Ont., July 8, 1952.  
PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE 82-19-29 (HBM)	SUBJECT Aerial Object	OUR FILE 05964-27
-----------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------

C O N F I D E N T I A L

G.C.W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Telecommunications,  
 Department of Transport, OTTAWA

1. A reported sighting of an aerial object while the observer was following a pilot balloon has been forwarded from our Aviation Forecast Office at Calgary.
2. The report takes the form of a memorandum to Mr. A.F. McQuarrie, our Officer-in-Charge at Calgary, by the observer concerned. A copy of this memorandum is attached. I hope that it will be of some assistance to you in this investigation.

*Andrew Thomson*  
 Andrew Thomson  
 (Controller)

Attach.

ENTERED  
 ON CARD



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

COPY

43  
8-51

Aviation Forecast Office,  
Calgary, Alberta. July 4, 1952.


YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
-----------	---------	----------

Mr. A.F. McQuarrie,  
Officer in Charge,  
Aviation Forecast Office,  
Municipal Airport,  
CALGARY, Alberta.

1.1. Re Headquarters instructions to report sighting of unusual objects aloft:

1.2. On June 8, 1952 at 1347 MST (2047Z) an object was seen to pass across the field of vision of the theodolite while a Pibal was being taken.

2. The accompanying diagram of the object sighted is shown in scale with the size of balloon at 10,000 ft. M.S.L. (6500 ft. above surface):



In view approximately  
3 seconds estimated by  
warning buzz

..... 2.

C  
O  
P  
Y

62

- 2 -

Object first sighted just as the 5 sec warning buzz sounded for 12th reading. The balloon was in position noted in the diagram so there was a small adjustment in the period during which the object was observed before it passed out of the field of vision, at the approximate position noted in diagram, just before the buzz indicating the 12th reading had to be taken.

4.1. This object was streamlined in shape with a ratio of about 3 units long to 1 in depth.

4.2. Color: silver, probably due to reflection of the sunlight.

4.3. Height believed to be more than 50,000 feet as judged in reference to height of Balloon.

4.4. Reading on the pibal ascent for the minute before to minute after were:

		<u>AZMUTH</u>	<u>ELEVATION</u>
11th Minute	-	47.3	35.1
12th "	-	53.3	32.5
13th "	-	59.0	31.6

(Sgd.) C.B. Wilson

C. B. Wilson,  
Met. Assistant, Grade II.

CRW/MK



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

3943

61

2  
5-43

St. John's, Newfoundland, July 4th 1952

PLACE

DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
-----------	---------	----------

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-28  
JUL 7 1952  
Transport Dept.

Mr. W.B. Smith,  
Room 2195,  
#3 Temporary Building,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

We are enclosing a strip of film with the Ionosphere recorder sweeps of 06:00, 06:15, 06:30 and 06:45 AST. this morning.

From 2:30 to 6:30 the kind of oblique noted on the low frequency end of the sweeps appeared each sweep.

This is the first occurrence of this since last fall.

Possibly you will find this interesting from the angle mentioned in your letter of last winter.

Weather section told me that during this period there were no clouds, and that moist air covered the peninsula (Avalon Peninsula) with temperature reaching the frost point.

C. J. Robinson  
Officer-in-Charge  
St. John's Ionosphere Stn.

RECORDED  
ON CA 138

6:16 AM July 4

① below 100 Kms. - 1000 Kms.  
1.2 - 1.4 Kc/S.

15 sec for whole sweep 1 - 15 mcs

6:30



② below 100 - 700 Kms.

1.4 mcs back to 1.1 mcs.

③ log sweep.

3 sec. per mc. sweep  
rate at low frequencies

film sent  
to photo.  
Section  
four prints  
9/10/53  
WBS



WB/IR

RADIO BROADCAST  
& MEASUREMENTS

59

OTTAWA, Ontario, July 3, 1952.

REPORT ON AERIAL OBJECT

22-12-29 (RBH)

CONFIDENTIAL

1. Thank you for your letter of June 27, 1952 concerning the sighting of an unidentified aerial object at Halifax on June 15, last. The information contained in your letter is much appreciated.
2. I am enclosing a number of sighting report forms and would request that these forms be sent to your Halifax office with a request that any one else who might have seen this object be interviewed so that sufficient data might be forthcoming to permit of reasonably accurate triangulations to determine the exact position, size and speed of the object.
3. Mr. Harris, the District Superintendent of Radio at Halifax was provided with a Deitsgen compass on loan, to be used in such interviews for obtaining reasonably accurate bearings and elevations. You might indicate to your Halifax man that he can obtain the loan of this compass from Mr. Harris for the purpose of conducting these interviews.
4. Considerable importance is attached to accurate data on such sightings, particularly if the observers are trained in making observations of objects in the sky. We consider meteorological personnel as being particularly good observers in this respect.

Original Signed by  
**G. C. W. Browne**

(G. C. W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. Andrew Thomson,  
Controller of Meteorological Services,  
Department of Transport,  
315 Bloor Street West,  
Toronto 5, Ontario.

WAS  
RN



FILE 526.  
**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT**  
 INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE  
 22-12-29  
 Transport Dept.

1549

3  
5-51

P. O. Box 217,  
Halifax, N.S., July 3, 1952.

58

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
22-12-29(RBM)	Unidentified Flying Objects	11-2-1

C O N F I D E N T I A L

ATTENTION: Mr. W.B. Smith  
Broadcast and Measurements Section

We enclose as requested in your letter of June 23rd, three completed forms AR-9-7 covering three further interviews with Mrs. D.M. Richards of Bass River, Colchester Co., N.S. and Messrs. R.E. Mackay and D.M. Ross of River John, Pictou Co., N.S.

These are at present the only names that the Halifax-Mail Star can provide. Although many people telephoned the paper reporting the sighting, these names were not recorded. The five people so far interviewed wrote the paper reporting the sighting.

In this connection the Halifax-Mail Star has offered to co-operate by publishing an editorial on various unidentified flying objects and requesting that people who witnessed the May 25th sighting, get in touch with the Mail-Star. It is anticipated that a number of names will be available to us after publication.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

  
 G.F. Harris  
 DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT

Controller of Telecommunications,  
 OTTAWA,  
 Ontario.

ENCLOSURES RETAINED  
 IN  
 Radio Broadcast & Measurements  
 7/5/52

22-12-29

781/1A



OTTAWA, Ontario, July 10, 1952.

CONFIDENTIAL:

Dear Sir:

1. Thank you for your letter of June 20, 1952, giving particulars of a sighting by Mr. H. B. Scrimgeour, which he describes as a balloon going down in flames.
2. It is unfortunate that the object was not identified immediately by the observer by a direct inspection soon after it landed. However, it does not appear that this sighting is of particular interest in our study of aerial objects but we, nevertheless, are grateful to you for providing the particulars.

Yours very truly,

Original Signed by  
**G. C. W. Brown**

(G. C. W. Brown)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. Andrew Thomson,  
Controller of Meteorological Services,  
Department of Transport,  
315 Bloor Street West,  
TORONTO 5, Ontario.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

1550 56  
9-51

FILE SEC  
AUG 12 1952  
22-12-29  
Transp Dept  
Aerial Object

TORONTO 5, Ont., June 30, 1952.  
DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
82-19-29(R.B.M.)	Aerial Object	05964-27

C O N F I D E N T I A L

G.C.W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Telecommunications,  
Department of Transport, OTTAWA

1. The following has been forwarded by our Calgary office. The person making the sighting is not one of our employees but the information is passed on to you for what it is worth.

"We submit herewith a report of an observation identified as "a balloon going down in flames".

Further details are as follows:

OBSERVER: Mr. H. B. Scringeur,  
3209 - 2nd Street South West,  
Calgary, Alberta. - Phone 31126

LOCATION WHERE SIGHTED: Opposite Mayhughe's Nurseries,  
Midnapore (approx. 11 miles  
S.S.W. Calgary Municipal  
Airport.

Object thought to land about 400 yards "in" from the highway (westerly). (This distance not definite and given only after questioning).

TIME: 7:46 P.M. - June 13, 1952 (140240Z)  
(Established quite definitely).

ADDITIONAL REMARKS BY OBSERVER: A tremendously bright light flashed on his windshield in white flame with smoke trailing and with an orange perimeter. Thought at first to be a meteor but speed was that of a drifting or floating object. It landed in a field near a strawstack. Traffic was such that the observer could not stop immediately but as soon as possible he did so. On looking back he noted smoke was still rising from the field. Took sight of it for future reference or investigation. Later thought it might have been something released from Currie Barracks which was "upwind". The observer of his own accord, did not classify it as a "flying saucer".

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

There is no evidence to associate this with any balloon released by this station."

2. This account was submitted by the Officer-in-Charge, Mr. A.P. McQuarrie, of the Aviation Forecast Office at Calgary.

*Andrew Thomson*

Andrew Thomson  
(Controller)



**SECRET FILE SEC.**  
**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT**  
 INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

54  
5-51

JUL 1952  
 22-12-29  
 Transport Dept.

TORONTO 5, Ont., June 27, 1952.

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
22-12-29(RBM)	Report on Aerial Object	05964-27

CONFIDENTIAL

G.C.W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Telecommunications,  
 Department of Transport, OTTAWA

3916


1. A report of an unidentified aerial object has been received from the Dominion Public Weather Office at Halifax. The object was sighted by Mr. E.M.A. Swinamer, a Meteorological Assistant Grade 2 on the Halifax staff, from an Army vehicle while Mr. Swinamer was engaged on a Reserve Army exercise.
2. The observation which he made on Sackville hill (approximately nine miles north-northwest of Halifax) reads as follows:  

"June 15th, Sunday. Large silver disc southeast Halifax 0832 ADST at 5,000 to 8,000 feet moved west or southwest for thirty seconds, then ascending vertically and in two to five seconds merged into altocumulus cloud base at 11,000 to 12,000 feet. No exhaust visible. Brilliant in sunlight. Speed very rapid as compared to large standard propeller aircraft at distance (estimated approximately 800 miles per hour), viewed from hill at Sackville just north of Lucasville road."
3. On his return five days after the occurrence, the following additional information was gathered and is submitted in the form as directed in your letter of April 19, 1952:
  - a) General shape of the object - An almond-shaped disc.
  - b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour - Brilliant (silvery) in sunlight.
  - c) Maximum dimension - Big end (unsharpened end) of pencil held at arm's length would just cover object.
  - d) Approximate bearing when sighted - Southeast.
  - e) Approximate bearing of path - West or Southwest.
  - f) Accompanying or delayed sound - No sound.
  - g) Approximate time to cover a certain arc - Arc of 50° or 60° in fifty seconds.
  - h) Date, Time and Weather Conditions - June 15th, 0832 ADST. Cloudy with six- to seven-tenths of altocumulus at 11,000 to 12000 feet in the northern portion of the sky. Wind 12 to 15 m.p.h., temperature 55° (approx.).

ENTERED  
 IN CLERK

4. Apparently the altocumulus covered enough of the sky extending from the north that the object disappeared in this cloud sheet after being sighted in the southeast and moving on a course of west or southwest although we have not checked on this somewhat slight discrepancy in the report.

5. If you wish to obtain additional information on this reported sighting, please advise and we will try to obtain it for you.

  
Andrew Thomson  
(Controller)

WEB/CC

52

OTTAWA, Ontario, June 23, 1952.

Unidentified Flying Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)  
RESTRICTED

1. Thank you for your letter of June 19, 1952 and the enclosures concerning the sighting of an unidentified flying object on May 25.
2. It is noted that two only sighting reports were submitted. I would call your attention to the newspaper Halifax Mail of May 26 and 27 in which it was stated that a large number of people had seen the object. It is suggested that you get in touch with the newspaper in an effort to obtain as many as possible names of observers.
3. If we can obtain reasonably accurate data from ten to twenty observers located over a fairly extensive area we can make a fairly accurate plot of the course of the object and also form an estimate of its size and speed. This can not be done with two only sightings such as you have submitted.
4. Please make a special effort to locate additional observers and provide further reports as soon as possible.

Original Signed by  
*G. C. W. Browne*

(G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. G.F. Harris,  
District Superintendent of Radio,  
Department of Transport,  
P.O. Box 217,  
Halifax, N.S.

*MWB*  
*R.N*

*10*



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

51  
3  
D-51

P. O. Box 217,  
Halifax, N.S., June 19, 1952.

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
22-12-29 RBM	Unidentified Flying Objects	11-2-3

CONFIDENTIAL

3785

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
JUN 24 1952  
Transport Dept.

ATTENTION: Mr. W.B. Smith  
Broadcast and Measurements Section

We enclose as requested in your letter of May 29th, two completed forms AR-9-17 covering the interviews by Inspector C.M. Williams of Messrs. F.D. Johnston and V. Brown of Spryfield, Halifax Co., N.S. who sighted an unidentified flying object on May 25th.

The magnetic compass is being retained for the present, as we expect to interview another observer who is reported to have seen the object at Bass River, N.S.

*G. F. Harris*  
G. F. Harris  
DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT

Controller of Telecommunications,  
OTTAWA,  
Ontario.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

ENCLOSURES RETAINED  
IN  
Radio Broadcast & Measurements  
WBS  
21/6/52

50

CABLE ADDRESS "RESEARCH"

IN YOUR REPLY PLEASE QUOTE

FILE NO. SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
JUN 17 1952  
Transport Dept.



NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL  
CANADA

DIVISION OF PHYSICS

3664

OTTAWA.

June 16, 1952

Mr. W. B. Smith  
Room 2195  
No. 3 Building  
Department of Transport  
Ottawa

Dear Mr. Smith:

After our conversation concerning the mathematical problem raised by your model of the electron in connection with the flying saucer, I had some discussions of the problem with the men in my group here. We come to the conclusion that the mathematical problem is a very complicated one in the following sense: On starting with the Maxwell equations in which the dielectric constant and the magnetic permeability are functions of the coordinates as well as are tensors, one would get for the "wave equation" a very complicated partial differentiation containing the derivatives of these constants as well as of the field strengths. It is not sufficient to know the function  $\mu$  but must have the  $\mu$  and  $\kappa$  separately as known functions before one can proceed. Hence we have not gone any further into the problem.

I regret that we have not been able to be of much help to your problem, but I appreciate your kindness in discussing it with me.

Yours sincerely,  
*(Signature)*  
Tg-You Wu

*Discussed further with Dr. Wu to make further studies, MBB*

WB3/CC

49

OTTAWA, Ontario, May 29, 1952.

Unidentified Flying Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

RESTRICTED

1. We understand that an unidentified flying object was seen over the Halifax area in the evening of May 25 of this year.
2. In collaboration with the Defence Research Board it is planned to make a thorough study of all sightings reported in an effort to identify these objects, their source and purpose. It is quite possible that some of these objects may turn out to be quite ordinary but it is planned to investigate all reasonably authentic cases so as to obtain as much useful data as possible.
3. I am enclosing a number of Sighting Report Forms and I would request that you or some one member of your staff undertake to interview a reasonable number of observers of this May 25 sighting.
4. In using the form the information requested should be set forth as explicitly as possible with the maximum emphasis placed on accuracy of observation. So far as apparent size is concerned the punch hole in the form held at a certain distance from the observer might give some idea of the angle subtended. In any case the observer should be asked to indicate in the same manner his concept of the size of the full moon.
5. A magnetic compass with elevation scale is being sent to you on loan under separate cover to be used in interrogating the various observers so as to obtain as accurate information as possible on bearing and elevation. The duration of the sighting should be obtained accurately through having the observer re-enact his observation and timing him with a sweep second watch.
6. When the interviews are completed the original reports completed and signed by the interrogator together with the compass should be returned to Headquarters marked Attention Mr. W.B. Smith, Broadcast and Measurements Section.

Original Signed by  
G. C. W. Browne

(G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. G.F. Harris,  
District Superintendent of Radio,  
Department of Transport,  
P.O. Box 217,  
Halifax, N.S.

W.B.S.

6

48

R E C E I P T

21 May 1952

Mr. H. C. Oatway  
Defence Research Board,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

1. Acknowledging receipt of the Minutes of the Meeting of 7 May 1952. Confidential DRBS 260-4-43(Aero) Dated 20 May 1952.

(Signature) .....

(Date) .....

*Mr W.B. Smith*

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
MAY 26 1952  
Transport Dept.  
DEFENCE RESEARCH BOARD

3223

47

NRBC 260-4-43(Aero)  
CONFIDENTIAL

Minutes of the First Meeting of the Committee  
Set Up to Deal with "Flying Saucers" Sightings  
24 April 1952

Chairman: Dr. P.M. Millman Dom. Observ  
Members: Lt.Cdr. J.C.L. Annesley D.N.I.  
S/L L.P.S. Bing J.I.S.  
F/L V.L. Bradley D.R.B.  
Mr. W.B. Smith D.O.T.  
W/C N.W. Timmerman D.A.I.  
Lt.Col. E.H. Webb DMO&P.  
Secretary Mr. H. C. Oatway D.R.B.

For the benefit of those who were not present at the general meeting held on April 22nd, the Chairman opened the meeting with a brief resume of discussions leading to the formation of this Committee and the terms of reference. He then tabled three books by Kehoe, Scully and Heard dealing with Flying Saucers sightings as worthy study as they give a fairly useful summary of the most important publically recorded sightings. These can be used in addition to newspaper reports.

S/L Bing tabled a report by the RCAF relative to the USAF project on Flying Saucers. This report is to be duplicated by the RCAF and copies passed to the Secretary for distribution to the Committee members.

The question of the security classification of the work of the committee was then discussed. It was agreed that CONFIDENTIAL was sufficient, but that members should be cleared to SECRET to facilitate any exchange of information from international sources.

The Chairman stated that it would probably be better to divorce this work from the questionable title of "Flying Saucers", and thought that some name entirely without meaning such as "Project Theta" should be adopted. The Committee agreed in principle to this suggestion, with the exception that the project name is not to be used during exchange of information of an international character. Such "exchanges" are to be effected through established channels only. There being no further suggestions acceptable, the name "Project Theta" was adopted, subject to investigation by the Secretary as to the validity of the use and possible duplication of the name.

The possibility of obtaining U.S. data on a reciprocal basis was considered desirable and the Secretary was instructed to have a formal approach to this effect made through the DRB Member in Washington.

Consideration was given to the interrogation form presently in use by the RCAF. After discussion, it was agreed that the form should immediately be revised with accompanying instructions for its use. The revised form (copy attached) was drawn up and copies are to be distributed by the Secretary prior to the next meeting. The preparation of the instructions was divided among the members as follows:

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

46

- 2 -      C O N F I D E N T I A L

D.O.T.....	Balloons
	Reflections
	Halos and mirages
R.C.A.F.....	Aircraft
	Rockets and missiles
Dom.Observ....	Meteors
	Planets
	Aurora

All Members to contribute to items 12, 13  
and 18.

The Committee agreed to meet again on May  
6th to finalize the interrogation form and pertinent  
instructions.

45

CONFIDENTIAL

At all times

DEFENCE RESEARCH BOARD

PROJECT

SIGHTING REPORT

(A separate form is to be used for each observer.)

A .. Details of Observer

1. Name of observer:

Surname:-----Initials----

2. Address of observer:

Street-----City-----

Province-----

3. Occupation and previous relevant experience:

4. Age: -----

5. Has observer seen "flying objects" before, and if so, briefly, when, where, and circumstances:

-----  
-----  
-----

6. Was observer wearing glasses?

-----

B.. Details of Observation:

7. Date and local time:

-----

8. Position of observer (as accurately as possible)

-----  
-----  
-----

9. General description of sighting:

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

C O N F I D E N T I A L

10. Number of objects: -----

11. Length of time observed: -----

12. Position : *in which first seen:*

Direction:-----

Elevation:-----

13. Position in which last seen:

Direction:-----

Elevation:-----

14. General description of any changes in course:

-----  
-----

15. Detailed description of apparent shape:

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

16. Detailed description of apparent brightness:

-----  
-----  
-----

17. Detailed description of colour:

-----  
-----

18. Apparent size (e.g. angle subtended)

-----  
-----

19. Description of exhaust or vapour trails, if any:

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

43

20. Description of noise, if any:

-----  
-----

21. Weather conditions:

- (a) Clouds:
- (b) Visibility
- (c) Precipitation
- (d) General remarks:

-----

22. Was the object flying above, below or in and out of cloud?

-----

23. Did anyone else see the object? If so names, and addresses:

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

24. Is there other contributory evidence:  
(Photographic, or electronic--etc)

-----  
-----  
-----

25. Any other details: (including sketch if possible)

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

CONFIDENTIAL  
At all times

. 4 .

Details of Interrogator

26. Interrogator:

Surname: -----Initials//-----

Position held:-----

27. Date and Place of Interrogation:

-----  
-----

28. Interrogator's opinion of the reliability of the observer.

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Interrogator

C O N F I D E N T I A L

41  
O T T A W A, May 21st, 1952.

115/229

CONFIDENTIAL

F. K. McKean, Esq.,  
District Marine Agent,  
Department of Transport,  
PARRY SOUND, Ontario.

This will refer to your confidential  
letter of May 13th, acknowledging mine of May 8th.

With regard to the question raised  
in your second paragraph I would again suggest that  
keepers be given a minimum of information without  
restrictions such as you suggest, in order that no  
undue emphasis will be placed on their individual  
reports, which will have value only when co-ordinated  
by yourself.

(A. E. Dixon)  
for Director of Marine Services.

6



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

4<sup>D</sup> 2  
6-40

PRESCOTT, Ontario, May 14th, 1952.  
PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE 22-12-29	SUBJECT Unidentified Aerial Objects	OUR FILE 1651-1
-----------------------	--	--------------------

3049

SECRET FILE U.S.C.  
22-12-29  
MAY 15 1952  
Transport Dept.

The Acting District Marine Agent,  
Department of Transport,  
Prescott, Ontario.

All lightkeepers in this Division have been  
instructed in accordance with Circular Letter M.S. 441  
of the 1st instant.

*J. N. Arthurs*  
(J. N. Arthurs)  
Superintendent of Lights.

APPROVED & FORWARDED,

*[Signature]*  
Agent Prescott, Ont.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

39 <sup>3</sup>/<sub>5-51</sub>

Parry Sound, Ontario, May 13th, 1952.

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
22-12-29	Unidentified Aerial Objects.	2164

CONFIDENTIAL

3230

SECRET FILE O.L.U.  
22-12-29  
MAY 26 1952  
Transport Dept.

The Director of Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
OTTAWA, Ontario.

I acknowledge your confidential letter of May 8th and an effort will be made to have Lightkeepers and others report to me should they sight any unidentified aerial object. This without divulging the ultimate purpose of this information.

Before contacting the keepers will you please advise if they should be instructed not to discuss any object seen over the radiophone. Particularly in arriving at the bearing and speed of any such object co-ordinated reports from various points would seem to be of great value.

*W.G.G.*

*F.K. McKean*  
F.K. McKean,  
District Marine Agent.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

38

O T T A W A, May 8th, 1952.

2164

Unidentified Aerial Objects

22-12-29  
AED/MBG

CONFIDENTIAL

F. K. McKean, Esq.,  
District Marine Agent,  
Department of Transport,  
PARRY SOUND, Ontario.

This will refer to your letter of May 6th, 1952, acknowledging a confidential Marine Services Circular dated May 1st, in connection with unidentified aerial objects.

The designation "confidential" is considered to apply only to the co-ordinated reports, if any, which would be made by you, as District Marine Agent, from information received in your district. It is suggested that if a distribution of 150 copies were made of your proposed memorandum the element of security would be lost.

Accordingly, it is suggested that, in asking lightkeepers and crews of vessels to report their observations of aerial phenomena, no undue emphasis be placed on the ultimate purpose of this information. It has already been noted that other Agencies have advised the personnel concerned to report such occurrences without providing them with copies of the full information as given to you.

(A. K. Dixon)  
for Director of Marine Services.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

37

2  
2-50

Parry Sound, Ont., May 6th, 1952.

PLACE DATE

YOUR FILE 22-12-29 CONFIDENTIAL	SUBJECT Unidentified Aerial Objects.	OUR FILE 2164
---------------------------------------	---	------------------

2858

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
MAY 8 1952  
Transport Dept.

The Director of Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
OTTAWA, Ontario.

I acknowledge your confidential circular dated May 1st regarding unidentified aerial objects.

In order that we may contact everyone in the Division likely to be of service in making the needed reports could you please supply me with 150 copies of enclosed draft memorandum.

Alternatively, we could, if given authority have the copies made here at small cost.

*W.M.*

Enc.

*F.K. McKean*  
F.K. McKean,  
District Marine Agent.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

Unidentified Aerial Objects.

A number of recent sightings of unidentified aerial objects have been reported and there is reason to believe that the number of such sightings may increase over the next month or so.

The Defence Research Board has established a committee at Ottawa for the purpose of making a thorough study of all such sightings reported, in an effort to identify these objects, their source and purpose. The Department of Transport is represented on this committee by a member of the Telecommunications Division of the Air Services Branch.

It is felt that Lightkeepers, crews of vessels, and others engaged in similar occupations, are in a favourable position to note characteristic data pertaining to any unidentified objects which might come within their view.

Accordingly, in the course of your duties, you will please note and submit to me the following information regarding any unidentified aerial objects which you may sight :-

- (a) General shape of the object.
- (b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
- (c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
- (d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
- (e) Approximate bearing of path.
- (f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any.
- (g) Approximate time ( in seconds ) taken to travel through a certain arc ( approximate degrees )
- (h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.

Please make as accurate measurements as possible of any object sighted, so that the exact size, shape, and altitude may be calculated. Speed of such objects is also of interest and any characteristics which may indicate their mode of operation.

Any information obtained by yourself is to be regarded as confidential and should not be conveyed to anyone but myself.

F.K. McKean,  
District Marine Agent.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

35  $\frac{2}{9-30}$

P.O. Box 790, Halifax, N. S., May 5, 1952.

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
	UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL OBJECTS	8117-1

CONFIDENTIAL

3231

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
MAY 26 1952  
Transport Dept.

H. V. Anderson, Esq.  
Director of Marine Services  
Department of Transport  
Ottawa, Ontario

Referring to Circular Letter M.S. 441 under date of May 1st, please be advised that we have today circularized all our lightkeepers, and have advised the Masters of our ships to instruct their officers and ratings to confidentially report to me regarding any unidentified aerial objects which they might sight, which will be promptly forwarded to the Department.

*Wag*

*J. C. Theakston*  
J. C. Theakston  
District Marine Agent, N. S.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS



**SECRET FILE SEC.**  
**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT**  
 INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

22-12-29  
 Transport Dept.

34  
 2  
 9-50

TORONTO 5, Ont., May 5, 1952.

YOUR FILE  
 82-19-29 (R.B.M.)

SUBJECT  
 Descriptions of Balloons and Meteorological Phenomena

OUR FILE  
 05964-27

CONFIDENTIAL

2968

G.C.W. Browne, Esq., Controller of Telecommunications,  
 Department of Transport,  
 #3 Temporary Bldg., OTTAWA

1. In response to your letter of April 25, 1952, I am enclosing descriptions of various meteorological balloons and atmospheric phenomena which might lead to reports of unidentified aerial objects.
2. This list is attached as an Appendix to this letter. I trust that it will be suitable for the purposes intended.
3. We have prepared a circular letter to all meteorological observing personnel instructing them to make observations of any unidentified aerial objects seen. All reports received will be passed on to you as requested in your letter of April 19th.

*Andrew Thomson*  
 Andrew Thomson  
 (Controller)

ENTERED  
 ON CARDS

6

APPENDIX

Descriptions of Meteorological Instruments and Phenomena  
Which Might Cause Reports of Unidentified Aerial Objects.

1. Balloons.

1.1. Ceiling Balloons and unlighted Pilot Balloons are used in daytime. These balloons are about two feet in diameter. Red or white colours are employed.

1.2. At night, pilot balloons carry either a paper lantern lighted by a candle or a small battery-powered electric light. These are not likely to be visible to any great height by the naked eye but a balloon with a slow leak might carry the light across the sky for a considerable distance at a relatively low level.

1.3. Radiosonde Balloons are about five or six feet in diameter, coloured white, and carry a small box at the end of a cord about twenty feet below the balloon. Sometimes a radar reflector is also tied to the balloon: this is a reflector of many faces and it is possible that some unusual reflections of light may occur from this attachment. Radiosonde balloons normally ascend to about 60,000 feet.

1.4. Skyhook Balloons are used occasionally for special high-altitude observations which take them up to 100,000 feet. These balloons are about 75 feet in diameter and an instrument box is tied below the balloon. Because of their size, there is a good possibility that such balloons are the basis of some reports of unusual aerial objects.

1.5. In daytime with blue skies, good visibility and bright sunshine, balloons may be seen at considerable heights once they are located by the eye. The balloons stand out against the blue of the sky as sharp pin points of light.

2. Nacreous or Mother of Pearl Clouds.

These rare clouds are most likely to be seen just before sunrise or just after sunset when illuminated by sunshine from below the horizon. They may also appear in daytime. The clouds occur at heights of 15 to 20 miles and have iridescent colours which resemble the colours seen in mother of pearl. It is possible that a small detached mother of pearl cloud might give the appearance of a hovering object high in the sky.

3. Noctilucent Clouds.

Noctilucent or night-luminous clouds are seen only at night, made visible by reflected light from the sun when the sun is about  $10^{\circ}$  to  $18^{\circ}$  below the horizon and visibility conditions are very good. They usually appear about an hour after sunset, low on the horizon. Their colour may be white or they may have a shade of colour such as bluish-white, golden, or reddish-orange but they do not display the brilliant iridescent colours that are characteristic of mother of pearl clouds. Noctilucent clouds occur about 50 miles high in the atmosphere, as determined by simultaneous photography from different points on the earth's surface. Their speeds have been calculated to be as high as 400 miles per hour but because of their great height they appear to move slowly.

4. Cloud Reflections.

Reflections of lights from cloud banks are also a possible source of reports of illuminated objects in the sky at night. The source of light may be any kind of a searchlight, such as ceiling projectors, defence units, aircraft landing-lights, etc. Usually the beam of the light is visible from the source up to the illuminated spot so this condition is not likely to deceive a careful observer.

5. Optical Phenomena.

5.1. Rainbows are a common optical phenomenon caused by the refraction and reflection of light from the sun by water drops in the atmosphere. A small portion only of a rainbow may be seen at times which might give the appearance of a small object in the sky. However, because rainbows are a fairly common occurrence they are unlikely to deceive anyone.

5.2. Optical phenomena caused by reflections of light from ice crystals suspended in the atmosphere may result in the appearance of unusual lighting effects in the sky. The halo around the sun or moon is the most common of this class of phenomena. The halo is usually seen as a ring of  $22^{\circ}$  radius around the sun or moon, but under some conditions it is possible for only part of the ring to be formed. Sundogs or mock-suns may appear at an angle of  $22^{\circ}$  either to the right or left of the sun - these appear as bright spots of light in the sky. Mock suns sometimes are seen at angles of  $46^{\circ}$  or  $90^{\circ}$  from the sun. The possibility of halo phenomena should always be considered when any bright spot is seen in the sky - such spots will remain relatively fixed in position. Halo phenomena are most commonly caused by the sun because of the large amount of light available from this source, but the complete halo ring is frequently seen around the moon at night and it is possible that under unusual conditions other halo phenomena may also be seen at night.

6. Aurora (Northern Lights)

Aurora is a luminescence which appears at times in the night sky in many forms, such as arcs, rays, curtains, coronae, bands, luminous patches, diffuse glows, and flaming or rapidly moving forms. Many aurorae are relatively still; others flit and move about. Many aurorae are practically white; but red, yellow, and green also occur. Most observers are familiar with aurorae in their usual forms but it is possible that some unusual forms may occur and lead to reports of illuminated objects in the sky.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

30

Toronto 5, Ontario, May 8, 1952.

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
	Unidentified Aerial Objects	05964-27

C O N F I D E N T I A L

MEMORANDUM:

CONFIDENTIAL The purpose and contents of this circular letter shall not be discussed or disclosed to anyone except as required in carrying out the official duties described below. The release of information on sightings of unidentified aerial objects to the public, press or radio shall not be initiated by meteorological personnel but, in response to queries, general descriptions of such sightings may be given. The fact that official reports are to be made or the contents of such reports shall not be disclosed.

1. A thorough study is being made of reported sightings of unidentified aerial objects. Observing and other personnel of the Meteorological Division, with the advantage of their facilities and training, are requested to submit to Head Office in Toronto information in the following order on any unidentified aerial object which may be seen:


- (a) General shape of the object.
- (b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
- (c) Maximum dimensions as compared with the dimension of some object such as a finger or a pencil held at arm's length.
- (d) Approximate bearing from the observer and the angle above horizon when first sighted.
- (e) Approximate bearing of path.
- (f) Accompanying or delayed sound, if any.
- (g) Approximate time (seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (expressed in approximate degrees).
- (h) Exact time, date and weather conditions.

2. Estimates of speed and height are also desirable. If a theodolite is available and time is sufficient, accurate measurements of elevation and azimuth at timed intervals are particularly useful as these may give data from which the speed & altitudes may be calculated. The size and shape of the object relative to the field of view and to the cross-hairs should also be carefully noted.

3. Other personnel should be called if such objects are seen so that as many reports as possible will be made available. Radar installations and aircraft operators who may be able to provide additional information should also be alerted so that additional data may be obtained through facilities which are at their disposal.

4. To aid observers, an appendix listing the various meteorological instruments and phenomena which might give rise to reports of objects or lights seen in the sky is attached to this circular letter.

5. Prompt and complete reports, marked confidential, should be forwarded to this Head Office when any first-hand information on unidentified aerial objects is available.

  
Mr. Andrew Thomson,  
Controller

Att.

Distribution:

All Forecast Offices  
City Weather Offices  
Central Analysis Office, DO  
Met. Observing Stations, "A" list  
Radiosonde Stations  
G.C.W. Browne, Esq., Con. Tels., OW

FWB/BT

CONFIDENTIAL

DEFENCE RESEARCH BOARD

OTTAWA, Ontario  
30 April, 1952.

TO: Distribution.

1. The next meeting of the Committee which was set up to deal with "Flying Saucer Reports" will be held in the Defence Research Board Room at 0900 hours, May 6th, 1952.
2. Attached hereto is a copy of the questionnaire which resulted from the last meeting of the Committee.
3. With reference to the name "Project Theta" brought up at the last meeting, due to security regulations this name cannot be used in open literature. Also with this questionnaire form it will be necessary to use what is known as a nickname. Nicknames must consist of two words and cannot contain a colour. One nickname brought forth so far is "Hot Tomalley". Other suggestions are in order.



(H.C. Oatway)  
Secretary.

D I S T R I B U T I O N

Dr. O.M. Solandt	-	D.R.B.
S/L L.P.S. Bing		J.I.S.
F/L V.L. Bradley		D.R.B.
Col. G.M. Carrie		D.R.B.
G/C D.M. Edwards		D.A.I.
Mr. A.J. Langley		D.R.B.
Dr. P.M. Millman		Dom. Observ.
Cdr. J.C. Pratt		D.N.I.
Mr. W.B. Smith		D.O.T.
Lt.Col. E.H. Webb		D.M.O. & P.
Mr. H.C. Oatway		D.R.B.
Dr. Green		D.R.B.
Mr. Pope		D.R.B.
File Copy		

CONFIDENTIAL

At all times

DEFENCE RESEARCH BOARD

27

PROJECT

SIGHTING REPORT

(A separate form is to be used for each observer.)

A .. Details of Observer

1. Name of observer:

Surname:-----Initials----

2. Address of observer:

Street-----City-----

Province-----

3. Occupation and previous relevant experience:

4. Age: -----

5. Has observer seen "flying objects" before,  
and if so, briefly, when, where, and circum-  
stances:

-----  
-----  
-----

6. Was observer wearing glasses?

-----

B.. Details of Observation:

7. Date and local time:

-----

8. Position of observer (as accurately as possible)

-----  
-----  
-----

9. General description of sighting:

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

C O N F I D E N T I A L

- 10. Number of objects: -----
- 11. Length of time observed: -----
- 12. Position : *in which first seen*  
Direction:-----  
Elevation:-----
- 13. Position in which last seen:  
Direction:-----  
Elevation:-----
- 14. General description of any changes in course:  
-----  
-----
- 15. Detailed description of apparent shape:  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----
- 16. Detailed description of apparent brightness:  
-----  
-----  
-----
- 17. Detailed description of colour:  
-----  
-----
- 18. Apparent size (e.g. angle subtended)  
-----  
-----
- 19. Description of exhaust or vapour trails, if any:  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

25

20. Description of noise, if any:

-----  
-----

21. Weather conditions:

- (a) Clouds:
- (b) Visibility
- (c) Precipitation
- (d) General remarks:

-----

22. Was the object flying above, below or in and out of cloud?

-----

23. Did anyone else see the object? If so names, and addresses:

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

24. Is there other contributory evidence:  
(Photographic, or electronic--etc)

-----  
-----  
-----

25. Any other details: (including sketch if possible)

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

Details of Interrogator

26. Interrogator:

Surname: -----Initials/+/-----

Position held:-----

27. Date and Place of Interrogation:

-----  
-----

28. Interrogator's opinion of the reliability of the observer.

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Interrogator



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT  
INTRA-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

2

O T T A W A, May 1st, 1952.

23

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
<u>CONFIDENTIAL</u>	Unidentified Aerial Objects	22-12-29

Copies sent to ten Agents  
and three Sub-Agents.

*W.E.G.*

CIRCULAR LETTER M.S. 441

A number of recent sightings of unidentified aerial objects have been reported and there is reason to believe that the number of such sightings may increase over the next month or so.

The Defence Research Board has established a committee at Ottawa for the purpose of making a thorough study of all such sightings reported, in an effort to identify these objects, their source and purpose. The Department of Transport is represented on this committee by a member of the Telecommunications Division of the Air Services Branch.

Since the nature of Marine Services' operations in the field necessitates the keeping of careful lookouts at all times by personnel such as lightkeepers, lifesaving crews, and crews of Agency vessels, it is felt that such observers might be in a favourable position to note characteristic data pertaining to any unidentified aerial objects which might come within their view.

Accordingly, it is requested that instructions be issued to all lightkeepers, lifesaving crews and crews of Agency vessels, to note and submit through this office the following information regarding any unidentified aerial object which they may sight:-

- (a) General shape of the object.
- (b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
- (c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
- (d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
- (e) Approximate bearing of path.

\*\*\*\*\*

- (f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any .
- (g) Approximate time (in seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (approximate degrees).
- (h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.

All observers should be directed towards making as accurate measurements as possible of any object sighted, so that the exact size, shape and altitude of such objects may be calculated. The Committee is also anxious to know what speeds these objects attain and any characteristics which might indicate their mode of operation.

For security purposes this matter is classified as confidential, and all sighting reports to Marine Agents should be governed accordingly.

*H. V. Anderson*

(H. V. Anderson)  
Director of Marine Services.



CONFIDENTIAL

**SECRET FILE SEC.**  
**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT**

2629 2'

2  
2-50

INTRADepartmental CORRESPONDENCE

APR 28 1952  
22-12-29  
Transport Dept.

OTTAWA, Ontario, April 25, 1952.

PLACE

DATE

YOUR FILE	SUBJECT	OUR FILE
	Unidentified Aerial Objects	22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

1. There has been reported a number of recent sightings of unidentified aerial objects and there is reason to believe that the number of such sightings may increase over the next month or so.

2. The Defence Research Board have established a committee for the purpose of making a thorough study of all sightings reported in an effort to identify these objects, their source and purpose. Since the Department of Transport operate a large number of field agencies this Department is represented on the committee by an officer of this Division who will undertake the collecting and cataloguing of data on any sightings by observers in the Department.

3. In view of the fact that Marine Services are responsible for the operation of such establishments as light houses, etc. where a lookout is normally kept, it is felt that such observers might be in a favourable position to note characteristic data pertaining to any unidentified aerial object which might come within their view.

4. It would be appreciated greatly if you would instruct appropriate establishments to note carefully and submit to this office the following information on any unidentified aerial object which they may sight:

- a) General shape of the object.
- b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
- c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
- d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
- e) Approximate bearing of path.
- f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any.
- g) Approximate time (in seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (approximate degrees).
- h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.

Mr. H.V. Anderson,  
Director of Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
Hunter Building,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

- 2 -

5. Observers generally should be directed towards making as accurate measurements as possible on any object sighted towards the end that the exact size, shape and altitude of the objects may be calculated. We also wish to know what speeds they attain and any characteristics which might be indicative of their mode of operation.

*G.C.W. Browne*

(G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.



NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL  
CANADA

RADIO AND ELECTRICAL  
ENGINEERING DIVISION

2652

OTTAWA.

CABLE ADDRESS "RESEARCH" 19

IN YOUR REPLY PLEASE QUOTE

FILE NO.

SECRET FILE SEC.  
22-12-29  
APR 29 1952  
Transport Dept.

25 April, 1952.

Mr. Wilbur B. Smith,  
No. 3 Building,  
Department of Transport,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

Dear Wilbur:

Attached please find a short article on free  
flight balloons used with radar reflectors. This  
article is provided for you to use in your article  
on false flying saucer reports.

Yours very truly,

H. R. Smyth,  
Head, Radar Dev. Section.

HRS:LB  
Encl.

ENTERED  
ON CARDS

18

FREE FLIGHT BALLOONS WITH RADAR TARGETS

Radar targets or reflectors carried aloft by free flight balloons are sometimes used to observe the range performance of radar equipments. This has a practical application in meteorology for the study of upper atmospheric winds. In general these balloons have a bursting diameter of less than 10 feet.

The National Research Council has conducted experiments with a radar reflector mounted inside a 6 foot balloon. Further tests with this type of radar target are anticipated but in no case will the balloon be allowed to float freely. In general the balloon will be held captive at heights less than 100 feet. Lights will not be carried aloft by the balloon in any of these experiments although there is a possibility the balloon may carry or be covered with a material which glows with an orange-red colour in daylight. This is a common phenomena often referred to as "scotch-light" and employed in advertising signs. No instance is known where this material has been carried aloft by a balloon in the past.

The areas in which further tests with balloon targets are most likely to occur are Lake Erie, Lake Ontario, Rideau Lakes, Ottawa River and St. Lawrence River above Cornwall. The only coastal region where such tests are likely to occur is in or near Halifax harbour.

It is very unlikely if any test will be conducted where the character of the work or the equipment employed will be such that undue speculation on the part of the casual observers can produce reports significantly erroneous to justify concern.

CONFIDENTIAL

OTTAWA, Ontario, April 25, 1952.

## Unidentified Aerial Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

1. There has been reported a number of recent sightings of unidentified aerial objects and there is reason to believe that the number of such sightings may increase over the next month or so.
2. The Defence Research Board have established a committee for the purpose of making a thorough study of all sightings reported in an effort to identify these objects, their source and purpose. Since the Department of Transport operate a large number of field agencies this Department is represented on the committee by an officer of this Division who will undertake the collecting and cataloguing of data on any sightings by observers in the Department.
3. In view of the fact that Marine Services are responsible for the operation of such establishments as light houses, etc. where a lookout is normally kept, it is felt that such observers might be in a favourable position to note characteristic data pertaining to any unidentified aerial object which might come within their view.
4. It would be appreciated greatly if you would instruct appropriate establishments to note carefully and submit to this office the following information on any unidentified aerial object which they may sight:
  - a) General shape of the object.
  - b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
  - c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
  - d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
  - e) Approximate bearing of path.
  - f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any.
  - g) Approximate time (in seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (approximate degrees).
  - h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.

Mr. H.V. Anderson,  
Director of Marine Services,  
Department of Transport,  
Hunter Building,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

..... 2

MBS  
RW

16

- 2 -

5. Observers generally should be directed towards making as accurate measurements as possible on any object sighted towards the end that the exact size, shape and altitude of the objects may be calculated. We also wish to know what speeds they attain and any characteristics which might be indicative of their mode of operation.

Original typed by  
*G. C. W. Browne*

(G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

CONFIDENTIAL

OTTAWA, Ontario, April 25, 1952.

Descriptions of Balloons and Meteorological Phenomena 22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

1. Further to my letter of April 19, 1952 I wish to advise that a document is being prepared for the information of those observers who are requested to keep a lookout for unidentified aerial objects. The purpose of this document is to acquaint observers with the types of normal objects which may be sighted.

2. A number of sightings reported may be due to the presence of balloons of various types used for meteorological work or similar type of observation. There is also the possibility of certain meteorological phenomena such as sun dogs, mirages involving lights, etc. being mistaken for flying objects. In order to discourage the reporting of such ordinary objects as balloons and normal meteorological phenomena it is proposed to incorporate in the information document descriptions of the various balloons and meteorological phenomena and it would be appreciated greatly if you could draft suitable descriptive paragraphs which may be used for this purpose.

Original Signed by  
G. C. W. Browne

(G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. Andrew Thomson,  
Controller of Meteorological Services,  
Department of Transport,  
315 Bloor Street West,  
Toronto, Ontario.

WBS  
LN

14

CONFIDENTIAL

DRBS

DEFENCE RESEARCH BOARD

Ottawa, Ontario  
28 April, 1952.

TO: Distribution:

1. Herewith for your information and retention are the Minutes of the Meeting to discuss "Flying Saucers" Sighting of the 22 April, 1952.
2. Please sign receipt below and return to Room 4502 "A" Building, Defence Research Board.

*H. C. Oatway*  
 H. C. Oatway  
 Secretary.

Distribution

Dr. O.M. Solandt	-- D.R.B.
S/L L.P.S. Bing	J.I.S.
F/L V.L. Bradley	D.R.B.
Col. G.M. Carrie	D.R.B.
G/C D.M. Edwards	D.A.I.
Mr. A.J. Langley	D.R.B.
Dr. P.M. Millman	Dom. Observ.
Cdr. J.C. Pratt	D.N.I.
Mr. W.B. Smith	D.O.T.
Lt. Col. E.H. Webb	(DMO & P)
Mr. H. C. Oatway	D.R.B.
File Copy.	

-----

DEFENCE RESEARCH BOARD

Minutes of a Meeting to Discuss "Flying Saucers" Sighting, 22 April, 1952.

Chairman: Dr. O.M. Solandt(DRB)

Present: S/L L.P.S. Bing (JIS)  
F/L V.L. Bradley (DRB)  
Col. G.M. Carrie (DRB)  
G/C D.M. Edwards (DAI)  
Mr. A.J. Langley (DRB)  
Dr. P.M. Millman (Dom. Observ.)  
Cdr. J.C. Pratt (DNI)  
Mr. W.B. Smith (DOT)  
Lt.Col. E.H. Webb (DMO & P)

Secretary: Mr. H. C. Oatway (DRB)

The Chairman opened the meeting with a brief reference to the more frequent occurrence of "Flying Saucer" sightings. The frequency and persistency of the sightings would tend to discount the theory of 'hallucinations'. This, coupled with an aroused public interest in these sightings, tended to call for a more active stand on the matter. At present the gathering of reports was rather haphazard and the reaction of the Services was passive. It is thought that a more active and intensive effort should be made to obtain these data on an organized basis, and all reports investigated and analysed. The objects of the meeting were then to determine if a more serious effort is justified and, if so, ways and means of implementing an organized effort. Organizations such as the Observer Corps might be enlisted for the job of sighting. If nothing else, this could serve as a useful training for the Corps. An examination of the theories might prove useful in giving a lead to the best locations for sighting. It was fortunate that proponents of the theories of terrestrial and extra-terrestrial origins were in contact with the D.R.E. and their data could be examined first hand.

The Air Force representatives then briefly outlined the work done by the USAF up to a year or so ago. As these efforts consistently resulted in 'nil returns' their project, in so far as the press and public were concerned at least, had been discontinued. Very recently, however, this investigation was re-opened, but is now classified.

In the discussions which followed, it was pointed out that precise and realistic details were lacking in all known reports. If observers such as the Rangers, watchers on ship board and the Observer Corps, which incidentally is really still in the paper organization stage, are to be enlisted some well planned guidance would be necessary. A small booklet illustrating typical celestial phenomena would result in more intelligent observation and eliminate many erroneous impressions. It was considered desirable to obtain information from U.S. interviews obtained under proper interrogation procedure, but to avoid the U.S. analysis of these

- 2 -

interviews which was often unacceptable to some members of this Committee.

Mr. Smith briefly outlined the extra-terrestrial origin theory. A plot of the frequency and timing of sighting related to the opposition of the planet Mars to the earth was displayed. Sightings occur at approximately six week intervals, but the frequency is much higher during periods when the planets are nearing each other such as in the present month. The more reliable observations place these objects at heights of 100 to 300 miles moving with velocities in the order of 1000 - 2000 mph. Terrestrial bodies making use of airfoils could not operate at these heights. Size and power limitations also negate earthy origins. The brilliance of sighting after sunset could be explained by reflections from the body at these altitudes of the sun's illumination, or in daylight by frictional heating or other magnetic heating effects. Considering the orbital velocities of the earth and Mars (18 and 15 miles per second respectively) and their nearest positions (e.g. 52,000,000 miles on May 8th) with a continuous acceleration of 2 g for 3 or 4 days, these distances could be traversed.

Mr. Langley stated that no electronic reports had been received of flying saucers. It was generally agreed that no electromagnetic radiations had ever been found which could not be traced to terrestrial origin. If electronics are associated with these objects, their frequencies are outside the presently usable ranges. Mr. Smith then elaborated on the work of the ionosphere stations which had been asked to report any unusual findings, but with 'nil returns' to date.

The Chairman outlined in broad terms the theory of terrestrial origin, namely a new type of aircraft (presumably Russian) as expounded by Mr. Frost of the A.V. Roe Company. This theory had some discrepancies, but the aerodynamics were worth following as, even if of extra-terrestrial origin, the bodies would have to follow aerodynamic theory within the earth atmosphere. Also a new high speed aircraft design might be evolved. The theories outlined should give an impetus to the flying saucer investigations.

It was generally agreed that a more active investigation should be undertaken. The Chairman believed that the function of the D.R.B. should be mainly advisory as the collecting of reports could best be done by field organizations. The representatives of the Services agreed and will use their discretion in the choice of their more suitable sections to use for observers.

It was decided that a Committee should be formed to give a lead in this activity and to standardize procedures, etc. Accordingly the following were nominated and agreed to act: Dr. Millman (Chairman) G/C Edwards, Lt. Col. Webb, Cdr Pratt, F/L Bradley, and Mr. Oatway (Secretary) This committee was to prepare a brief of instructions for observers; examine interrogation procedures to get a consolidated and pertinent series of questions;

and to establish a standard method of recording and indexing for subsequent analysis.

Finally G/C Edwards suggested that the RCMP might prove to be a valuable addition as observers. This organization has the added advantage of having trained interrogators.

The Chairman thanked those present for attending and for their willingness to aid in these investigations.

26 April 1952  
O T T A W A .

OTTAWA, Ontario, April 19, 1952.

## Unidentified Aerial Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

CONFIDENTIAL

1. There has been reported a number of recent sightings of unidentified aerial objects and there is reason to believe that the number of such sightings will increase over the next month or so.
2. In collaboration with the Defence Research Board it is planned to make a thorough study of all sightings reported in an effort to identify these objects, their source and purpose, and the assistance of your Division is solicited in keeping a sharp lookout for unidentified aerial objects.
3. It would be appreciated greatly if you would instruct your weather observers to note carefully and submit to this office the following information on any unidentified aerial object which they may sight:
  - a) General shape of the object.
  - b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
  - c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
  - d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
  - e) Approximate bearing of path.
  - f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any.
  - g) Approximate time (in seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (approximate degrees).
  - h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.

..... 2

Mr. Andrew Thomson,  
Controller of Meteorological Services,  
Department of Transport,  
315 Bloor Street West,  
Toronto, Ontario.

ATB  
JW

Mr. Andrew Thomson

April 19, 1952

4. In view of the fact that many of your observers use theodolites, it would be further appreciated if these observers could make accurate measurements on any objects sighted, noting at the same time the behaviour of the compass needle. What we particularly wish to obtain is data from which the exact size, shape and altitude of such objects can be calculated. We also wish to know what speeds they attain and measurements towards this end would be appreciated greatly.

Original Signed by  
*G. G. W. Browne*

(G.G.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

CONFIDENTIAL

OTTAWA, Ontario, April 18, 1952.

## Unidentified Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

1. A study of some of the traces taken at our Ionosphere Stations indicates that reflections have been obtained from conducting objects approximately overhead. From the character of the traces it is concluded that these may be associated with the so called Flying Saucer phenomena and all stations are requested to keep a sharp lookout for unidentified aerial objects.
2. Any trace not conforming with the general established pattern should be regarded with suspicion and the sky promptly scrutinized for any visible object which might be responsible.
3. Where magnetic records or cosmic ray records are available they should be inspected immediately to see if any obvious correlation exists.
4. In the event of an actual sighting, the following information should be carefully noted:
  - a) General shape of the object.
  - b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
  - c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
  - d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
  - e) Approximate bearing of path.
  - f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any.
  - g) Approximate time (in seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (approximate degrees).
  - h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.
5. If at all possible the Ionospheric recorder should be put on continuous sweep throughout the period of the sighting so as to obtain distance data.
6. Reports should be sent to Headquarters as promptly as possible with an advance message by radio advising of the incident.

Original Signed by  
 F. G. NIXON

(G.O.W. Browne)  
 Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. W.D. McLeod,  
 Officer-in-Charge,  
 Baker Lake Ionosphere Station,  
 M.P.O. 1015,  
 Fort Churchill, Manitoba.

WDS

CONFIDENTIAL

OTTAWA, Ontario, April 18, 1952.

Unidentified Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.ML)

1. A study of some of the traces taken at our Ionosphere Stations indicates that reflections have been obtained from conducting objects approximately overhead. From the character of the traces it is concluded that these may be associated with the so called Flying Saucer phenomena and all stations are requested to keep a sharp lookout for unidentified aerial objects.
2. Any trace not conforming with the general established pattern should be regarded with suspicion and the sky promptly scrutinized for any visible object which might be responsible.
3. Where magnetic records or cosmic ray records are available they should be inspected immediately to see if any obvious correlation exists.
4. In the event of an actual sighting, the following information should be carefully noted:
  - a) General shape of the object.
  - b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
  - c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
  - d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
  - e) Approximate bearing of path.
  - f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any.
  - g) Approximate time (in seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (approximate degrees).
  - h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.
5. If at all possible the Ionospheric recorder should be put on continuous sweep throughout the period of the sighting so as to obtain distance data.
6. Reports should be sent to Headquarters as promptly as possible, with an advance message by radio advising of the incident.

F. G. NIXON

(G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. D.S. Terraville,  
Officer-in-Charge,  
Fort Chimo Ionosphere Station,  
c/o Eastern Arctic Patrol, R.M.S.,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

CONFIDENTIAL

OTTAWA, Ontario, April 18, 1952.

## Unidentified Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

1. A study of some of the traces at our Ionosphere Stations indicates that reflections have been obtained from conducting objects approximately overhead. From the character of the traces it is concluded that these may be associated with the so called Flying Saucer phenomena and all stations are requested to keep a sharp lookout for unidentified aerial objects.
2. Any trace not conforming with the general established pattern should be regarded with suspicion and the sky promptly scrutinized for any visible object which might be responsible.
3. Where magnetic records or cosmic ray records are available they should be inspected immediately to see if any obvious correlation exists.
4. In the event of an actual sighting, the following information should be carefully noted:
  - a) General shape of the object.
  - b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
  - c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
  - d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
  - e) Approximate bearing of path.
  - f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any.
  - g) Approximate time (in seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (approximate degrees).
  - h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.
5. If at all possible the Ionospheric recorder should be put on continuous sweep throughout the period of the sighting so as to obtain distance data.
6. Reports should be sent to Headquarters as promptly as possible with an advance message by radio advising of the incident.

P. G. NIXON

(G.G.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. L.V. Desloux,  
Officer-in-Charge,  
Resolute Ionosphere Station,  
c/o Eastern Arctic Patrol, R.M.S.,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

CONFIDENTIAL

OTTAWA, Ontario, April 18, 1952.

## Unidentified Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

1. A study of some of the traces taken at our Ionosphere Stations indicates that reflections have been obtained from conducting objects approximately overhead. From the character of the traces it is concluded that these may be associated with the so called Flying Saucer phenomena and all stations are requested to keep a sharp lookout for unidentified aerial objects.
2. Any trace not conforming with the general established pattern should be regarded with suspicion and the sky promptly scrutinized for any visible object which might be responsible.
3. Where magnetic records or cosmic ray records are available they should be inspected immediately to see if any obvious correlation exists.
4. In the event of an actual sighting, the following information should be carefully noted:
  - a) General shape of the object.
  - b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
  - c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
  - d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
  - e) Approximate bearing of path.
  - f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any.
  - g) Approximate time (in seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (approximate degrees).
  - h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.
5. If at all possible the Ionospheric recorder should be put on continuous sweep throughout the period of the sighting so as to obtain distance data.
6. Reports should be sent to Headquarters as promptly as possible, with an advance message by radio advising of the incident.

Original signed by  
F. G. NIXON(G.G.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.Mr. N. Whitaker,  
Officer-in-Charge,  
Ottawa Ionosphere Station,  
c/o Radio Physics Laboratory,  
Prescott Highway,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

CONFIDENTIAL

OTTAWA, Ontario, April 18, 1952.

## Unidentified Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

1. A study of some of the traces taken at our Ionosphere Stations indicates that reflections have been obtained from conducting objects approximately overhead. From the character of the traces it is concluded that these may be associated with the so called Flying Saucer phenomena and all stations are requested to keep a sharp lookout for unidentified aerial objects.
2. Any trace not conforming with the general established pattern should be regarded with suspicion and the sky promptly scrutinized for any visible object which might be responsible.
3. Where magnetic records or cosmic ray records are available they should be inspected immediately to see if any obvious correlation exists.
4. In the event of an actual sighting, the following information should be carefully noted:
  - a) General shape of the object.
  - b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
  - c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
  - d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
  - e) Approximate bearing of path.
  - f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any.
  - g) Approximate time (in seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (approximate degrees).
  - h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.
5. If at all possible the Ionospheric recorder should be put on continuous sweep throughout the period of the sighting so as to obtain distance data.
6. Reports should be sent to Headquarters as promptly as possible, with an advance message by radio advising of the incident.

Original signed by  
R. G. NIXON

(G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. G.E. Bulger,  
Officer-in-Charge,  
Churchill Ionosphere Station,  
Department of Transport,  
Churchill, Manitoba.

CONFIDENTIAL

OTTAWA, Ontario, April 18, 1952.

## Unidentified Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

1. A study of some of the traces taken at our Ionosphere Stations indicates that reflections have been obtained from conducting objects approximately overhead. From the character of the traces it is concluded that these may be associated with the so called Flying Saucer phenomena and all stations are requested to keep a sharp lookout for unidentified aerial objects.

2. Any trace not conforming with the general established pattern should be regarded with suspicion and the sky promptly scrutinized for any visible object which might be responsible.

3. Where magnetic records or cosmic ray records are available they should be inspected immediately to see if any obvious correlation exists.

4. In the event of an actual sighting, the following information should be carefully noted:

- a) General shape of the object.
- b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
- c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
- d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
- e) Approximate bearing of path.
- f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any.
- g) Approximate time (in seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (approximate degrees).
- h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.

5. If at all possible the Ionospheric recorder should be put on continuous sweep throughout the period of the sighting so as to obtain distance data.

6. Reports should be sent to Headquarters as promptly as possible, with an advance message by radio advising of the incident.

Original signed by  
K. O. NELSON

(G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. E. Leggate,  
Officer-in-Charge,  
Headingley Ionosphere Station,  
Headingley, Manitoba.

CONFIDENTIAL

OTTAWA, Ontario, April 18, 1952.

## Unidentified Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

1. A study of some of the traces taken at our Ionosphere Stations indicates that reflections have been obtained from conducting objects approximately overhead. From the character of the traces it is concluded that these may be associated with the so called Flying Saucer phenomena and all stations are requested to keep a sharp lookout for unidentified aerial objects.
2. Any trace not conforming with the general established pattern should be regarded with suspicion and the sky promptly scrutinized for any visible object which might be responsible.
3. Where magnetic records or cosmic ray records are available they should be inspected immediately to see if any obvious correlation exists.
4. In the event of an actual sighting, the following information should be carefully noted:
  - a) General shape of the object.
  - b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
  - c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
  - d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
  - e) Approximate bearing of path.
  - f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any.
  - g) Approximate time (in seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (approximate degrees).
  - h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.
5. If at all possible the Ionospheric recorder should be put on continuous sweep throughout the period of the sighting so as to obtain distance data.
6. Reports should be sent to Headquarters as promptly as possible, with an advance message by radio advising of the incident.

Original Signed by

F. G. NIXON

(G.C.W. Browne)

Controller of Telecommunications

Mr. G.F. Robinson,  
Officer-in-Charge,  
St. John's Ionosphere Station,  
P.O. Box #164, Harvey Road P.O.,  
St. John's, Newfoundland.

CONFIDENTIAL

OTTAWA, Ontario, April 18, 1952

## Unidentified Objects

22-12-29 (R.B.M.)

1. A study of some of the traces taken at our Ionosphere Stations indicates that reflections have been obtained from conducting objects approximately overhead. From the character of the traces it is concluded that these may be associated with the so called Flying Saucer phenomena and all stations are requested to keep a sharp lookout for unidentified aerial objects.
2. Any trace not conforming with the general established pattern should be regarded with suspicion and the sky promptly scrutinized for any visible object which might be responsible.
3. Where magnetic records or cosmic ray records are available they should be inspected immediately to see if any obvious correlation exists.
4. In the event of an actual sighting, the following information should be carefully noted:
  - a) General shape of the object.
  - b) Luminosity or brilliance and colour.
  - c) Maximum dimension as compared with the dimension of some object such as a pencil held at arm's length.
  - d) Approximate bearing, and angle above horizon when first sighted.
  - e) Approximate bearing of path.
  - f) Accompanying or delayed sound if any.
  - g) Approximate time (in seconds) taken to travel through a certain arc (approximate degrees).
  - h) Exact date, time and weather conditions.
5. If at all possible the Ionospheric recorder should be put on continuous sweep throughout the period of the sighting so as to obtain distance data.
6. Reports should be sent to Headquarters as promptly as possible, with an advance message by radio advising of the incident.

Original Signed by  
E. G. NIXON

(G.C.W. Browne)  
Controller of Telecommunications.

Mr. John A. Simpson,  
Officer-in-Charge,  
Prince Rupert Ionosphere Station,  
P.O. Box 697,  
Prince Rupert, B.C.

File No. HQ- 400- Q- 5

Volume No. ....

Name NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL - RADIO AND ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING DIVISION - UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS (SIGHTING OF)

From

To

CHARGED OUT			CHARGED OUT		
DATE	TO	C.R. CLERK	DATE	TO	C.R. CLERK
19-2-69					
5-9-69	P.S. 3 DA	MB			
	<del>CI B 8</del>	<del>RV</del>			
16 9-69	Col Hunt	BSGS RV			
19-12-69	CI B 3	PA			
9-1-70	CI B 3	F			
19 9-78	OT F Aug	MB			
30-1-79	7 PB 5	B			
82-2-25	Clara P.				
83 8 17	APB 20	MB			
86-04-29	Bruce A	MB			
87-9-1	F Din	AHD			
87-11-19	APB				
90-03-8	Sheila Holt	lv.			
90-06-28	Ops Rec.	KR			
90-11-28	Jean Duneau	L			
91-04-23	Jean "	HM			
91-08-26	Jean Duneau				

ORIGINAL  
MATERIAL HISTORIQUE

SEQUENTIAL RECORDS

DIV: HQ MUN: OTTAWA REC OFF: COR PROV: ONT  
 FILE NUMBER: HQ-400-Q-5 BREAKDOWN: (Y/N) OSR CODE:  
 CAPTION: NRC - UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS (UFOS) DATE OF ENTRY: 1991/11/28  
 VOLUMES: 7 SUPPLEMENTS: 2 MICROFILM: 0 BF DATE:  
 DISPOSITION: HISTORICAL DISPOSITION DATE: 1991/11/28  
 STORAGE LOCATION: RCMP ARCHIVES ACCESSION NUMBER:  
 SPECIAL STATUS: SEEN BY McDONALD COMMISSION

LABELS

VOLUME NUMBER: SUPPLEMENT IDENTITY:

DIVISION: HQ MUNICIPALITY: OTTAWA RECORDS OFFICE: COR  
 FILE NO: HQ-400-Q-5

RELATED FILES:

ORDER FROM RECORDS CENTRE

VOLUMES	ORDER DATE	RETURN DATE
ORDER 1:	ORD1:	RTD1:
ORDER 2:	ORD2:	RTD2:
ORDER 3:	ORD3:	RTD3:

USER B.F.S

BF 1 USER ID:	BF DATE 1:
BF 2 USER ID:	BF DATE 2:

CHARGE-OUTS

DIVISION: HQ MUNICIPALITY: OTTAWA RECORDS OFFICE: COR  
 FILE NO: HQ-400-Q-5

USER IDENTITY	VOLUMES OUT	OUT DATE	RETURN DATE
U1:	V1:	OD1:	RD1:
U2:	V2:	OD2:	RD2:
U3:	V3:	OD3:	RD3:
U4:	V4:	OD4:	RD4:
U5:	V5:	OD5:	RD5:
U6:	V6:	OD6:	RD6:

NUMBER OF OVERDUE NOTICES SENT

CHARGE OUT 1: CHARGE OUT 4:  
 CHARGE OUT 2: CHARGE OUT 5:  
 CHARGE OUT 3: CHARGE OUT 6:

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS (UFOS)  
SIGHTING REPORTS  
Assistance to National Research Council

HQ Div. - Ottawa (COR)  
HQ-400-Q-5

These records contain RCMP reports of UFO sightings from regions policed by the Force. Each report records the time of the sighting, location in the sky, witness statement, name, occupation and credibility assessment. A few investigations include sketches and drawings based on witness descriptions. Over a twenty year period more than one hundred and fifty unusual observations were reported to the Force.

Sightings were often recorded by members while on patrol. On one occasion Commissioner Lindsey and several others observed unusual objects in the sky over the Parliament Buildings in the late 1960's.

Reports were sent to the National Research Council for inclusion in their Non-Meteoritic file. Researchers at the NRC frequently determined sightings were the result of natural phenomena such as fireballs, weather balloons and meteors. Other occurrences defied explanation. These records were viewed by the McDonald Commission.

8270.AHS

HISTORICAL

DOSSIER HISTORIQUE

File / Dossier HQ-400-Q-5

Subject / Sujet NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL - RADIO AND  
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING DIVISION - UNIDENTIFIED  
FLYING OBJECTS (SIGHTING OF)

This file was accessed by the McDonald Commission of Inquiry, concerning certain activities of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. As a consequence, it is being retained as a primary source for the ultimate conclusions and recommendations of the McDonald Commission.

La Commission d'Enquête McDonald sur certaines activités de la Gendarmerie Royale du Canada a consulté ce dossier. On le conserve donc comme source principale ayant servi aux conclusions finales et aux recommandations de la Commission McDonald.

Computerized / Informatisé SD 9-11-28

Control card amended / Fiche de contrôle modifiée SD 9-11-28

Analyst's number / Numéro de l'analyste RSS,7

Date 91-11-27

KEEP ON TOP OF CORRESPONDENCE

RETAIN FILE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY  
CONCERNING ACTIVITIES  
OF RCMP

JAN/82

RECORDS MANAGEMENT - C.I.B. CLASSIFICATION AND CONTROL



RCMP - 7485

DATED FROM Feb 1959 FILE NO. HO-400-0-5

TO 21 Sept. 67 VOLUME NO. \_\_\_\_\_

**C L O S E D**  
**V O L U M E**

DO NOT PLACE ANY CORRESPONDENCE ON THIS FILE

FOR SUBSEQUENT CORRESPONDENCE SEE:

FILE NO. same VOLUME NO. 2

PLEASE KEEP ATTACHED TO TOP OF FILE **R-1**

FILE NO: HQ-400-Q-5  
(Vols 1 through 7)

NOTE TO FILE

ON 28-09-19, THIS FILE WAS  
(DATE)

REVIEWED BY: Al MacLeod  
(NAME OF RCMP COUNSEL OR COMM. OF INQ. INVEST/RESEARCHER/COUNSEL)

Sgt Robby Coard  
(MEMBER - P.A. NUMBER)

COMMENTS

HQ-400-Q-5

January 9, 1970.

ATTENTION: Dr. J.R. Keyston,  
Executive Assistant

The President,  
National Research Council of Canada,  
OTTAWA 7, Ontario.

Dear Sir:

This refers to your letter of January 2, 1970, concerning an original drawing made by Mr. Stephen MICHALAK of an unidentified flying object allegedly seen by him in 1967.

We recently queried all units of our Force that were concerned with this enquiry seeking the whereabouts of the original sketch and I regret to advise that we have no information which would suggest its present whereabouts. From perusal of our file, it appears that the original sketch never came into the possession of any member of our Force and our "D" Division Commanding Officer, Manitoba, commented that MICHALAK would not release the original sketch when requested to do so.

Yours sincerely,



for COMMISSIONER.

WAB/jmck 3  


MAR 13 90\*

98



# ACTION REQUEST - FICHE DE SERVICE

GOVERNMENT OF CANADA

GOUVERNEMENT DU CANADA

FILE NO.—DOSSIER N°

DATE

6-1-70

TO—À

O i/c C.I.B.

FROM—DE

D. C. I.

PLEASE CALL  
PRIÈRE D'APPELER

TEL. NO.—N° TEL.

EXTENSION—POSTE

WANTS TO SEE YOU  
DÉSIRE VOUS VOIR

DATE

TIME—HEURE

WILL CALL AGAIN  
DOIT RAPPELER

- ACTION  
DONNER SUITE
- COMMENTS  
COMMENTAIRES
- MAKE  
FAIRE .....COPIES
- NOTE & RETURN  
NOTER ET RETOURNER

- APPROVAL  
APPROBATION
- DRAFT REPLY  
PROJET DE RÉPONSE
- NOTE AND FILE  
NOTER ET CLASSER
- NOTE & FORWARD  
NOTER ET FAIRE SUIVRE

CALL RECEIVED BY  
MESSAGE REÇU PAR

TIME  
HEURE

597. BISAILLION

Pr.

B C I

G. H. C. B.

REAR OF BOOK - LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

FILE NO .....  
DOSSIER NO .....

DATE 24.12.69 .....

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL OF CANADA  
CONSEIL NATIONAL DE RECHERCHES DU CANADA

MEMORANDUM:-

TO Dr. J.R.G. Keyston  
A

Request from Mr. Stephen Michalak

Re your memo concerning the letter from Stephen Michalak, dated December 8, 1969, the original of the drawing he requests was not on the Michalak file when we received it from the Department of National Defence in March, 1968, nor was there any evidence that the original had ever been on the file. An 8 x 10 photographic print of the original drawing was on the file and this print is stamped on the back with the number WG 67348-2. There is also a clipping, taken from the Winnipeg Tribune of Monday, May 22, 1967, with a picture of Mr. Michalak holding the original drawing.

As you suggested in our phone conversation, we should contact other departments to ascertain whether they have knowledge of the location of the original of the drawing. The following were involved in the early investigation, commenced in May, 1967

w/c D.F. Robertson, Ops. 4, Ottawa NDHQ/R.C.A.F.

S/L P. Bissky, Training Command H.Q., Westwin, Man.

W.E. Cowie, DRB, Defence Chemical Biological and Radiation Laboratories.

S.E. Hunt, Department of National Health and Welfare, Radiation Protection Division, Brookfield Rd., Ottawa 8, Ont.

Cpl. J. Davis and Const. J. Zackarais, R.C.M.P., CID, Winnipeg

D. Thompson, Manitoba Health and Welfare Department, Winnipeg.

*Peter M. Millman*

Peter M. Millman.

PMM:ls

MAR 13 90\*


96

This is our copy of the 8 x 10 photo on  
 the Michalak file - Non-Meteoritic Sighting  
 File No. 200 Meteor Centre, UAR/REED/NRC

instrument - motor -

pkk  
 P. M. MILLMAN

- 40 ft Butcherblock  
 rotation



4 ft  
 ft

3x3  
 fast closing metal - stainless steel - silver  
 slit.

Positive  
 metal

steel body shell. Blussom  
 color - like heated stainless  
 steel. Positive metal.  
 faint lines in right light.  
 Red, green, blue light.

3-27-8

President of the Privy Council



Le Président du Conseil privé

Ottawa 4  
December 17, 1969.

Mr. E.F. Matthews,  
Special Assistant to the Minister  
of Supply and Services,  
Ottawa 4, Ontario.

Dear Ted,

With regard to your letter of December 11 and the attached copy of a letter to Mr. Richardson from Mr. Stephen Michalak, I wish to advise that we did not receive the original of this letter. At any rate, correspondence concerning Mr. Michalak was in the possession of N.R.C., so I have forwarded your letter and attached documentation to Mr. Kevin Drummond, Executive Assistant to the President of the Treasury Board, for his further action.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Wm. McWhinney".

Wm. McWhinney,  
Executive Assistant.

c.c. Mr. Kevin Drummond - You might wish to refer to Hansard for November 13, 1968, page 2685.

MAR 13 90\*

94

. TEL

RCMP 10 OTT

1 PRIORITY/FAX WPG DEC22 UNCLAS

COMMR OTT

*Handwritten:*  
3:50

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

DEC 22 3 12 PM '69

CIB5451 REUR CIB4402/3 H.Q. 400-Q-5 (10) U.F.O. ORIGINAL  
SKETCH IN POSSN OF MICHALAK WHO WOULD NOT RELEASE WHEN REQUESTED  
TO DO SO DURING THE INVESTIGATION. COPIES OF SKETCH FROM PRESS  
RELEASE BEING DISPATCHED BY FAX PHOTO WITH EXTRA COPIES IN MAIL  
D DIV

PRIORITY

#

RCMP 10 OTT

T

MAR 13 90\*

36

OFFICE OF  
MINISTER OF  
SUPPLY AND SERVICES



0-1-29  
CABINET DU  
MINISTRE DES  
APPROVISIONNEMENTS ET  
SERVICES

Ottawa,  
December 11th, 1969

Mr. W. McWhinney,  
Executive Assistant to the  
President of the Privy Council,  
House of Commons,  
Ottawa, Ontario

Dear ~~Mr. McWhinney~~:

I am enclosing a copy of a letter  
my Minister received from Mr. Stephen Michalak  
and Mr. P.F. MacKenzie concerning the U.F.O.  
drawing of Mr. Michalak.

Could you please have someone return  
the drawing to Mr. Michalak. Apparently it is  
of significant value to him.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "E.F. Matthews".

E.F. Matthews,  
Special Assistant.

Encl.


MAR 13 90\*

93

WENL

122 Kane Avenue, S.  
Winnipeg 12, Manitoba.  
November 29th, 1969.  
S Mac 69

The President, Privy Council,  
Room 710,  
Hops Building,  
OTTAWA 2, Ontario.



DEC 10 1969

MINISTER OF  
SUPPLY & SERVICES

Dear Sir:

Re: U.F.O. Drawing of Stephen Michalak, Winnipeg.  
Your Letter of November 4th.

Thank you for sending me the photo.

The Original Drawing of the U.F.O. on brown paper is wanted for the below reasons which I failed to make clear, apparently, in my previous request:

- a. This Original Drawing is wanted for sentimental reasons: It's to be a part of a collection and keepsake, grouped and prized, by his children and wife.
- b. It is believed that this Drawing was loaned to you or your representative on the clear understanding that it would be returned at Mr. Michalak's request. It is his private property. He has been heartbroken that it had been misplaced. This only has meaning to one understanding Michalak's conscientious nature and ordeal.
- c. I will gladly return the photo or pay for it in trade for the brown paper Drawing.
- d. In your files, surely, photos plus full size photocopies will serve your purposes now that various data has been tabled. Only a limited number of details can be read from a crude Drawing. Eventually it would only go to an impersonal file there to gather dust.

Please advise.

Yours truly,

*Stephen Michalak*  
Stephen Michalak  
*MacKenzie*  
P.F. MacKenzie.

- C.C. Mr. B. Mathor, N.P. Surrey, B.C.
- Mr. J. Richardson, N.P. Winnipeg South.
- Mr. J. Diefenbaker, N.P. Prince Albert, Sask.

MAR 13 90\*

92

2. Of this number, how many are French-speaking?

3. How many employees are there earning \$12,000 or more and, of this number, how many in each category or class are French-speaking?

4. During 1967, how many appointments, reclassifications and promotions were made and, of these, how many involved French-speaking employees?

5. How many employees are there at the head of section level or above and, of these, how many are French-speaking?

6. How much was spent in the provision of courses in English and French for these employees and, how many employees took courses in (a) French in 1967 (b) English in 1967?

Return tabled.

[Translation]

#### MOTION FOR PAPERS

Mr. Yves Fassin (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, would you be kind enough to call notice of motion No. 19?

I ask, Mr. Speaker, that the remaining notices of motions be allowed to stand.

[English]

SIGHTING OF UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT IN 1967

Motion No. 19—Mr. Mathers

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of all letters, reports, studies or other data in respect to an exchange by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, the Royal Canadian Air Force, the Department of National Health and Welfare, the Geological Survey of Canada and the National Research Council of Canada regarding the sighting in 1937 by Mr. Steve Michalak of an unidentified flying object.

Hon. Donald B. Macdonald (President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, I am informed by the National Research Council that on an informal basis they have been soliciting correspondence from individuals throughout Canada on these particular sightings. They have asked that this kind of correspondence not be made the subject of a return in this house. I wonder whether in the circumstances the hon. member would be agreeable to withdrawing his notice of motion.

Mr. Darryl Mathers (Durrey): Mr. Speaker, I would like the motion transferred for debate.

Mr. Speaker: Transferred for debate, pursuant to standing order 67.

#### TRADE

##### WHEAT—INQUIRY AS TO WORLD SALES PROSPECTS

On the orders of the day:

Hon. Robert L. Stenfield (Leader of the Opposition): Mr. Speaker, I had a question for the Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs, but perhaps he will be here later in the afternoon. I would therefore ask the minister of trade and commerce, upon his return to the house, whether he has any good news for the country with regard to the sale of wheat.

Hon. Jean-Luc Popin (Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): In Latin America, or elsewhere in the world?

An hon. Member: Anywhere.

Mr. Popin: Mr. Speaker, the only thing I can say now is that the government is doing its utmost to put the Canadian Wheat Board in the best possible position to compete in the world.

#### UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

##### PROTECTION OF RIGHTS OF QUALIFIED APPLICANTS

On the orders of the day:

Mr. Stanley Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, in the absence of the Minister of Labour, the acting minister of labour and the second acting minister of labour—

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): — may I direct this question to the Prime Minister. In view of the announcement that has been made of increased efforts to check abuses with respect to the unemployment insurance fund, will similar efforts be made to protect the rights of those who qualify for unemployment insurance to have their benefits continue without overzealous officials applying the availability clause too severely?

Right Hon. P.-E. Trudeau (Prime Minister): Mr. Speaker, the Minister of Labour is now attending I.L.O. meetings in Geneva. I am happy to give to this house the assurance that is demanded by the hon. member.

[Translation]

[Later:]

On the orders of the day:

Mr. Gérard Laprise (Abitibi): Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the Prime Minister a

CIB 19-12



"D" Division

YOUR NO.  
VOTRE N°

HQ 400-Q-5

OUR NO.  
NOTRE N°

DG 400-7-1

Winnipeg, Manitoba,  
December 22, 1969.

THE COMMISSIONER

RE: Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified  
Flying Object - Falcon Beach, Manitoba  
20 MAY 67

-----

Further to your telex CIB4402/3, please find attached copies of sketch prepared by MICHALAK of his version of the alleged sighting. During the investigation MICHALAK would not release the original when requested to do so.

CONCLUDED HERE

*H. E. Luross*  
H.E. Luross, Supt.,  
Officer i/c C.I.B. A.D.

*PA at Vol 1  
HQ 400-a-5*

MAR 13 90\*

90

DEC 23 1969

HQ-400-Q-5 (10)

December 24, 1969.

Mr. Pierre Choquette,  
Administrative Planning Services,  
National Research Council,  
Building M - 58,  
OTTAWA 7, Ontario.

Dear Sir:

Re: Stefan MICHALAK -  
Report of Unidentified  
Flying Object,  
Falcon Beach, Manitoba  
20 MAY 67.

---

This is further to telephone conversation between yourself and Sgt. Bisailion of my staff. Attached is a copy of telex message CIB 5451 together with photofaxed sketch mentioned in the telex. Perusal of all R.C.M.P. files has failed to reveal the presence of the original sketch and we have no record which would indicate that it ever entered into the possession of a member of our Force.

Yours truly,



R.E. Muir, S/Insp.,  
Assistant Officer in Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.

Encl. ~~2~~ 3

WAB/jmck

MAR 13 90 \*

87

Don will be held in Pretoria."

but finds this hard as he always sees

*PH  
micro film  
4/5/68  
MJS*

WINNIPEG FREE PRESS, SATURDAY, APRIL 6, 1968

*U.F.O.*

FEATURES

3


LEISURE MAGAZINE



Burn patterns on Stephen Michalak's chest

all started on a spring weekend in May 1967. Stephen Michalak, a 50-year-old cement plant worker, left Winnipeg for a weekend of relaxation. A vacation that never came. He is an amateur prospector who often went to the Whiteshell in eastern Manitoba to search for mineral deposits there. The weekend was over. Michalak witnessed an event that disrupted his life, and left him in a daze. The picture now shows this man sitting peacefully in the chair for mineral deposits.

the earth, finally landing only a few yards from him. This second craft now has taken on an oval shape. The color has changed to a glowing white, like molten steel. Mr. Michalak is fear-struck. A natural reaction under the circumstances, wouldn't you say? He says he stood there for perhaps ten minutes, unable to move, trying to get up enough courage to examine the craft more closely. He does not know what it is. He thinks it may be an American or Russian satellite. He is not a believer in the UFO — at least he wasn't then.



Actual drawing made at the landing sight

from. He sees a variety of lights and hears sounds that could be voices but not in any tongue he has ever heard. As he steps back to take a further appraisal the craft starts to make other sounds. First the whirr of an electric motor, then a sudden rush of air and the craft lifts upwards. He is standing directly behind the exhaust outlets. A sudden rush of heat hits him on the chest. And an odor, very unpleasant, like an electric fire mixed with sulphur, is left in the air. His shirt and undershirt are on fire. He rolls to the ground killing the flames.

leaves at 8 p.m. The time now is 2 p.m. Six hours to wait and suffer. He tries to rest but still the nausea continues. He can hardly see and the thirst continues, no matter how much he drinks. Eight o'clock comes. He boards the bus, all the passengers stare at him. They think he is drunk. To this point he has told no one of the incident. Earlier he had phoned home, told his wife that he was ill and would be taking the next bus home. His son was to be at the bus depot to meet him. After arriving in Winnipeg his son takes him directly to a hospital where he must stay the

By the end of the week he felt well enough to return to work. His life ran comparatively smoothly for a while. He hoped it was all over. During this period he had compiled notes on the events that had happened. These since have been published in book form explaining the complete encounter. Three months after the initial sighting, when he was at work, a strange thing happened. It brought back the memory of the agony on May 20. He was on the roof of a building. His head began to spin, his body swelled up and

89  
MAR 13 1968

ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE  
OUTGOING MESSAGE FORM

TIME OF RECEIPT	FILE NUMBER <b>HQ-400-Q-5</b>	DRAFTER'S NAME <b>BISAILLION/SGT</b>	TIME OF DISPATCH
	BRANCH, SECTION, ETC. <b>CIB - GEN</b>	ROOM NO.      TEL. NO. <b>H-436      5-6831</b>	
PRECEDENCE FOR ACTION ADDRESSES <b>PRIORITY</b>	PRECEDENCE FOR INFORMATION ADDRESSES	DATE <b>22 DEC 69</b>	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION <b>UNCLASS.</b>
FROM <b>COMMR. OTT.</b>			
TO <b>CO "D" WINNIPEG</b>			
INFO			
ORIGINATOR'S REFERENCE NUMBER <b>CIB 4402 /3</b> <b>67D 700-130</b> <b>HQ 400-Q-5 (10).</b> <b>NAT RESEARCH</b>  <b>COUNCIL URGENTLY SEEKING WHEREABOUTS OF ORIGINAL SKETCH MADE BY MICHALAK OF UFO. ONLY REFERENCE IN REPORTS ON FILE AT HQ TO ANY SKETCH IS IN PARA 4 FALCON BEACH HWAY PATROL G-237 18 JUN 67. CAN YOU HAVE PERTINENT FILES CHECKED AT DET S/DIV DIV LEVELS FOR ORIGINAL OR INFO RE SAME. PSE ADVISE RESULTS BY TELEX WITHIN 24 HRS IF AT ALL POSSIBLE.</b>			
SIGNATURE OF PERSON RELEASING MESSAGE		TIME RELEASED <b>11:30 AM</b> <b>84</b>	
I N S T R U C T I O N S	1. <b>FILE NUMBER, BRANCH or SECTION, DRAFTER'S NAME, etc.</b> - To be filled in by the originator to facilitate prompt handling of a reply or query regarding the message. This information is not transmitted. 2. <b>PRECEDENCE</b> - Indicates to COMCENTRE the relative order in which messages are to be transmitted. (a) <b>FOR ACTION ADDRESSES</b> - Enter precedence assigned to all action addressees, i.e., DEFERRED, ROUTINE, PRIORITY, OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE or EMERGENCY. (b) <b>FOR INFORMATION ADDRESSES</b> - Enter precedence assigned to all information addressees - usually DEFERRED. 3. <b>TO</b> - Enter all action addresses. Local abbreviations are not to be used if addressee is outside the Force. 4. <b>INFO</b> - Enter all information addresses. In multiple address messages (same message to more than one addressee), addressees to be designated either ACTION or INFORMATION. 5. <b>ORIGINATOR'S REFERENCE NUMBER</b> - (a) Enter originator's reference number. It will be transmitted as first word of text of message. (b) A message sent in reply to an incoming message MUST whenever available, contain a reference to and quote the Originator's number of that incoming message. i.e. HQ 127 Re Your K62.... Text.		



**ACTION REQUEST**  
**FICHE DE SERVICE**

FILE NO. — DOSSIER N°

TO — A

*C. Registry*

DATE

LOCATION — ENDROIT

FROM — DE

*C.I.B.S.*

ACTION  
DONNER SUITE

APPROVAL  
APPROBATION

COMMENTS  
COMMENTAIRES

DRAFT REPLY  
PROJET DE RÉPONSE

MAKE  
FAIRE.....COPIES

NOTE AND FILE  
NOTER ET CLASSER

NOTE & RETURN /OR FORWARD  
NOTER ET RETOURNER /OU FAIRE SUIVRE

P. A. ON FILE  
CLASSER

REPLY  
RÉPONSE

SEE ME  
ME VOIR

SIGNATURE

TRANSLATION  
TRADUCTION

YOUR REQUEST  
À VOTRE DEMANDE

*HQ 400 - Q - 5*

*Vol #1*

MAR 13 90\*

82

HQ

Vol 4 + Vol 3

HQ 400-Q-5

Mr. Choquette - NRC  
3-9263

Re Michaluk - UFO  
Orig drawing of UFO

MAR 13 90\*

23

## TRANSIT SLIP

DATE .....

TO: \_\_\_\_\_ FROM: \_\_\_\_\_  
 TO: \_\_\_\_\_ FROM: \_\_\_\_\_  
 TO: \_\_\_\_\_ FROM: \_\_\_\_\_  
 TO: \_\_\_\_\_ FROM: \_\_\_\_\_  
 TO: \_\_\_\_\_ FROM: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS                       PREPARE BRIEF                       CHECK RECORDS  
 PERUSAL - NO ACTION REQUIRED    SEE SENDER                       INSTRUCTIONS  
 EXAMINATION AND ACTION         MAKE FILE(S)                       \_\_\_\_\_  
 PREPARE REPLY                       RETURN WITH CURRENT FILE        \_\_\_\_\_

## REMARKS

*Pierre Chagnette*                      *Bureau de planification.*  
*Edifire M-58*                              *Administrative*  
*Ottawa 7*

## REPLY

*Mr. Pierre Chagnette,*  
*Administrative Planning Services*  
*Nat'l Research Council*  
*Bldg M-58,*  
*Ottawa 7, Ont.*

*re tel conv with Sgt Bismillon*  
*on Dec 19 & 22, 1969.*

MAR 13 90\*

85

MONTREAL GAZETTE

MONTREAL, P.Q.

Issue of **NOV 15 1968**.....Carding date.....

### UFO reports Ottawa secret

OTTAWA — (CP) — The government yesterday refused to make public various reports in its possession concerning the sighting of an unidentified flying object by Steve Michalak in 1967.

Barry Mather (NDP—Barvey) had asked that reports on the incident by the RCMP, Canadian air force, welfare department, geological survey

and National Research Council be tabled.

In refusing the request, Don-ald S. Macdonald, government House leader, gave no reason for doing so.

Mr. Mather moved to have the reports released later.

"I'm agnostic about flying saucers — I don't believe or disbelieve in them — but any information we can get on them is helpful."

MAR 13 90\*

81

*PAI*  
*197*  
*5*

*To-GIS*

*Mr. Mather*  
*Z*  
*5*

*from*

MONTREAL GAZETTE

MONTREAL, P.Q.

Issue of NOV 15 1968 Carding date.....

### UFO reports Ottawa secret

OTTAWA — (CP) — The government yesterday refused to make public various reports in its possession concerning the sighting of an unidentified flying object by Steve Michalak in 1967.

Barry Mather (NDP—Surrey) had asked that reports on the incident by the RCMP, Canadian air force, welfare department, geological survey

and National Research Council be tabled.

In refusing the request, Donald S. Macdonald, government House leader, gave no reason for doing so.

Mr. Mather moved to have the rejection debated later.

"I'm an agnostic about flying saucers — I don't believe or disbelieve in them — but any information we can get on them is helpful."

MAR 13 90\*

81

*To G1's*  
*MAJ. Johnson*  
*[Signature]*



MEMORANDUM

CLASSIFICATION

TO  
A

NOTE FOR FILE

YOUR FILE No.  
Votre dossier

OUR FILE No. G. 517-65  
Notre dossier HQ 400-Q-5

FROM  
De

The Departmental Secretary

DATE November 12,  
1968.

FOLD

SUBJECT  
Sujet

Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers -  
No. 19 - Mr. Mather, October 7, 1968.


Further to the Liaison Officer's memorandum of November 6th and that of the Departmental Secretary to the Deputy Comm'r (Ops.) of the same date, Deputy Commissioner Kelly discussed this topic with Mr. Griffiths and wrote the following comment to the Departmental Secretary under date of November 6th:


"Mr. Griffiths thinks he has got us out of this. He will ask again if he needs anything."

2. The Deputy Comm'r (Operations) was contacted and asked for direction with regard to any conversation the Departmental Secretary may have with Dr. John Stewart of Privy Council concerning Mr. Mather's request insofar as it applies to our reports, studies, etc. The Deputy Comm'r then made the following penned notation on the original of the memorandum submitted to him by the Departmental Secretary:

"Seeing we reported to D.N.D., we wish to leave whatever they want to do in their hands."

3. On November 8th, the Departmental Secretary contacted Dr. Stewart and told him that we would take any action D.N.D. wished to take in their hands, explaining to him that in the general course of events, any material we had on this and other incidents concerning Unidentified Flying Objects was transmitted to D.N.D. Although I believe this procedure has now changed, and we are reporting to N.R.C., I did not go into this aspect with Dr. Stewart. This information satisfied his requirements for the time being at least.

  
G.C. Cunningham, Supt.,  
Departmental Secretary.



12-11-68  
Mather  
W.H.J.

MAR 13 90\*

80

DEPARTMENTAL SECRETARY,

HQ 400-9-5  
G. 517-63

Liaison Officer,

6 NOV 68

Notice of Motion for the Production of  
Papers -  
No. 19 - Mr. MATHER - Oct. 7, 1968

A telephone call was received from Dr. John B. Stewart, advising he was with Privy Council Staff and was calling in connection with the above noted Notice of Motion. He stated that the Government felt it would not be in the public interest to table these papers, but that Mr. MATHER would agree to withdraw the motion providing he could receive assurance that a copy of all letters, reports, studies, or other data could be made available to him personally. Dr. Stewart stated he had been in contact with D.N.D. and N.R.C. who had no objection to production of papers and reports in their charge.

2. Mr. MATHER's motion was as follows:

" No. 19 -- Mr. Mather -- October 7, 1968"

"That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of all letters, reports, studies or other data in respect to an exchange by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, the Royal Canadian Air Force, the Department of National Health and Welfare, the Geological Survey of Canada and the National Research Council of Canada regarding the sighting in 1967 by Mr. Steve Michalak of an unidentified flying object."

3. I advised Dr. Stewart that this matter would be referred to our Departmental Secretary who would be in touch with him concerning this matter. Dr. Stewart's telephone number is 2-2466.

W.R. Pilkey, Insp.,  
Liaison Officer.

MAR 13 90\*

79



NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL OF CANADA  
CONSEIL NATIONAL DE RECHERCHES DU CANADA  
OTTAWA 7

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
BUREAU DU PRESIDENT

2 January 1970

Commissioner M.F.A. Lindsay,  
Commissioner's Office,  
Royal Canadian Mounted Police HQ,  
1200 Alta Vista Drive,  
Ottawa, Ontario

Dear Commissioner Lindsay,

Enclosed are copies of recent correspondence concerning a drawing of an Unidentified Flying Object, the original of which was apparently given to some government official, and is now reclaimed by its artist, Mr. Stephen Michalak.

Since your organization was involved in the investigation of this UFO, I am writing to ask if you could shed any light on the whereabouts of this drawing.

Yours sincerely,

J.R. Keyston  
Executive Assistant to the President

For attention please:  
W.L.B. 4/1/70.

U.F.O. action  
1/16/70

MAR 13 1970\*

97



**ACTION REQUEST  
FICHE DE SERVICE**

FILE NO. — DOSSIER N°

TO — A

*Dept Sec*

DATE

*15/10/68*

LOCATION — ENDROIT

*Mr. Gullough*

FROM — DE

*A/DCT*

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION<br>DONNER SUITE   | <input type="checkbox"/> P. A. ON FILE<br>CLASSER        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL<br>APPROBATION  | <input type="checkbox"/> REPLY<br>RÉPONSE                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COMMENTS<br>COMMENTAIRES                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> SEE ME<br>ME VOIR               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DRAFT REPLY<br>PROJET DE RÉPONSE                               | <input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MAKE<br>FAIRE.....COPIES                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> TRANSLATION<br>TRADUCTION       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NOTE AND FILE<br>NOTER ET CLASSER                              | <input type="checkbox"/> YOUR REQUEST<br>À VOTRE DEMANDE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NOTE & RETURN/OR FORWARD<br>NOTER ET RETOURNER/OU FAIRE SUIVRE | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 |

*See Tab #10*

MAR 13 1968 \* 76

*We haven't received a request for info on this as yet?*



**ACTION REQUEST  
FICHE DE SERVICE**

FILE NO. — DOSSIER N°

TO — A

*A.I.C.I.*

DATE

*15-10-68*

LOCATION — ENDROIT

*Re: Votes + Proceeding A/B 7 Oct 68  
Re UFO. 10 #10*

FROM — DE

*Dept. Secy.*

ACTION  
DONNER SUITE

APPROVAL  
APPROBATION

COMMENTS  
COMMENTAIRES

DRAFT REPLY  
PROJET DE RÉPONSE

MAKE  
FAIRE..... COPIES

NOTE AND FILE  
NOTER ET CLASSER

NOTE & RETURN /OR FORWARD  
NOTER ET RETOURNER /OU FAIRE SUIVRE

P. A. ON FILE  
CLASSER

REPLY  
RÉPONSE

SEE ME  
ME VOIR

SIGNATURE

TRANSLATION  
TRADUCTION

YOUR REQUEST  
À VOTRE DEMANDE

*[Handwritten signature]*

*MAR 13. 70 \* 78*

*I spoke with Mr. Gousseron today on matter of notebook No. 19 and they do not require anything from us at present.*



ACTION REQUEST  
FICHE DE SERVICE

FILE NO. DOSSIER N°

TO - À

CIB

DATE

10/10/68

LOCATION - ENDROIT

HQ 400 - Q-5 Vols

FROM - DE

DCI

ACTION  
DONNER SUITE

APPROVAL  
APPROBATION

COMMENTS  
COMMENTAIRES

DRAFT REPLY  
PROJET DE RÉPONSE

MAKE  
FAIRE COPIES

NOTE AND FILE  
NOTER ET CLASSER

NOTE & RETURN/OR FORWARD  
NOTER ET RETOURNER/OU FAIRE SUIVRE

P. A. ON FILE  
CLASSER

REPLY  
RÉPONSE

SEE ME  
ME VOIR

SIGNATURE

TRANSLATION  
TRADUCTION

YOUR REQUEST  
À VOTRE DEMANDE

*St. Plamondon*

MAR 13 1969 77

Do we have a file  
on this report of V.F.O.?  
We've got our file please  
This, I think, is The Falcon Lake Man

68HQ 400-Q-5 DG400-7-1


Re: Stefan MICHALK - Report of Unidentified  
Flying Object, Falcon Beach, Man. 20-5-67.

CONTENTS: Eleven (11) colour photographs.

MAR 13 1968\*

75





MAR 13 90 •

65



MM. 1350 =

63

Photo 10.

14

KODACHROME  
ENLARGEMENT  
Made by  


ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE - GENDARMERIE ROYALE DU CANADA

RCMP-GRC 5882

C 217  
REV. 1-4-66

OTHER FILE REFERENCES: REF. AUTRES DOSSIERS:	DIVISION	DATE	RCMP FILE REFERENCES: REF. DOSSIERS GRC:
	"D"	5 SEP 68	
	SUB-DIVISION - SOUS-DIVISION		
	C.I.B.		HQ-400-Q-5 DG-400-7-1 GIS67-700-8
	DETACHMENT - DETACHEMENT		
	Winnipeg G.I.S.		

RE:  
OBJET:

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying Object  
Falcon Beach, Manitoba 20 MAY 67

1. Reference to previous correspondence, attached are 11 coloured photos taken near to and at the site of the alleged U.F.O. landing. These photos are for furtherance to H.Q. Ottawa. The sighting by MICHALAK is reported to have happened near Falcon Beach, Manitoba on Saturday, May 20th, 1967 at about 12:15 P.M. These photographs were taken on July 28th, 1967, over 2 months after the alleged sighting.

2. The photos have been numbered 1 to 11 inclusive on the reverse and following is what each picture illustrates:

- (1) A view of the area on the rock void of moss and the investigators removing radio-active earth samples.
- (2) A closer view of the same scene showing a semi-circular area allegedly made by the U.F.O.
- (3) Similar to (2) showing personnel at the site.
- (4) Taken from where MICHALAK claims to have been standing when he saw the U.F.O. land. In the distance our members can be seen through the trees standing where the U.F.O. landed.
- (5) A view taken further back similar to (4) but showing the rock which MICHALAK was working on when he saw the U.F.O. In the distance through the trees, members can be seen standing on the landing spot.
- (6) The view taken halfway between where MICHALAK first was when he saw the U.F.O. and the actual landing spot.
- (7) A view of the landing spot taken closer to the actual spot and from along the path MICHALAK followed when he was approaching the U.F.O.
- (8) A view standing on the edge of the clearing where the U.F.O. landed.
- (9) A slide of the landing spot taken from part way up a tree. Definite outline of a circular moss-void area can be seen.
- (10) Same as (9) with the area cleared of personnel.
- (11) Slide of MICHALAK in the blue shirt with S/Leader BISSKY.

These photographs were handed to me by Civilian Member A.R. CORRIGAN of our Crime Detection Laboratory, Ottawa, on 23 AUG 68. He had received them from Headquarters Colour and Motion Picture Unit to whom the slides were forwarded on 13 SEP 67.

3. The subject of this file, Stefan MICHALAK, has recently made two trips to Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minn., for medical examination and attention. Results of these examinations are not known as the investigation is being pursued by Canadian Forces personnel. MICHALAK has complained of health problems and reoccurrence of the original burns from time to time since the alleged encounter. He claims that every few months the original burns reappear after apparently being completely healed, they reappear in the exact original shape he says.

NOTED  
I.C.S.

MAR 13 90\*

62

.. 2

CIBS

RE:  
OBJET:

PAGE

Stefan MICHALAK

HQ 400 Q-5

2

4. No further action indicated by our Force, this file is being concluded.

CONCLUDED HERE:

*C.M.W.*  
(C.M.W.) S/Sgt.  
i-c Wpg. G.I.S.

*G.J. Davis*  
(G.J. Davis) #18300  
Winnipeg G.I.S.

THE COMMISSIONER

1. FORWARDED 9 Sep 68 for your information with noted attachments.

CONCLUDED HERE

*I.C. Shank*  
I. C. Shank, C/Supt.,  
Officer i/c C. I. B.

*L*

MAR 13 90\*

61

RE:  
OBJET:

PAGE

Stefan NICHALAK

2

4. No further action indicated by our Force, this file is being concluded.

CONCLUDED HERE:

(C.M.W.) S/Sgt.  
i-c Wpg. G.I.S.

  
Cpl.  
(C.J. Davis) #18300  
Winnipeg G.I.S.

THE COMMISSIONER

1. FORWARDED 9 Sep 68 for your information with noted attachments.

CONCLUDED HERE

I. C. Shank, C/Supt.,  
Officer i/c C. I. B.

MAR 13 90\*

63

ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE - GENDARMERIE ROYALE DU CANADA

RCMP  
GRC 6880

C 237  
REV. 1-4-66

OTHER FILE REFERENCES: REF. AUTRES DOSSIERS:	DIVISION	DATE	RCMP FILE REFERENCES: REF. DOSSIERS GRC: 67D 700-130 GIS 67-700-8
	"D"	21 SEP 67	
	SUB-DIVISION - SOUS-DIVISION	H.Q. C.I.B.	
	DETACHMENT - DÉTACHEMENT	Winnipeg G.I.S.	

RE:  
OBJET:

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying Object  
Falcon Beach, Manitoba. 20 MAY 67

1. With reference to para. 19 of my report dated 10 AUG 67 wherein I stated that 13 colour slides taken at the alleged U.F.O. landing sight had been given to Mr. A. CORRIGAN of our Ottawa Laboratory for furtherance to the Colour and Motion Picture Unit, Ottawa Identification Section, the following letter dated 15 AUG 67 was received from the Officer i-c C.D.L., Ottawa, together with the slides:

"The twelve color slides, which were handed over to Mr. A.R. Corrigan for transmission to this Laboratory, are being returned herewith for the following reasons:

- (a) The color prints requested cannot be made at this Laboratory because of lack of facilities.
- (b) The N.C.O. i-c Color and Motion Picture Unit, Identification Branch, Headquarters was contacted in this regard. He advised that these prints could only be made at the Kodak plant in Toronto, and that the cost would run approximately \$250.00 or more.

2. For the above reasons, I would suggest that you forward these slides to the Headquarters Identification Branch, perhaps through your local Identification Section, with supporting details for your requirements.

3. I gather that these were submitted to this point on the assumption that this work could be done at this point, or at the Headquarters Identification Branch, with little problem or cost. Unfortunately this does not appear to be the case. I regret that we are unable to help in this matter."

In view of this, nothing further was done about having the slides developed. Subsequently, on 31 AUG 67 the following letter was received from the Officer i-c Ottawa Laboratory:

"After the return of C/M Corrigan I made a second check with our Identification Branch at Headquarters, and found that they may be able to produce the color prints from your slides. Also, that the cost should be nearer \$80.00 to \$100.00 if it has to be done commercially.

2. In view of this and if you have not already sent the slides away for printing, you could return them to this point with an explanation as to the use for each set of prints, and we will attempt to see that the prints are made.

3. If you already have made arrangements for the printing  
... 2

MAR 13 90\*

59

RE:  
OBJET.

PAGE

2

C123  
Stefan MICHALAK - Report of U.F.O.CONTINUED FROM PAGE ONE ...

of these slides, could you please forward the slides to this point when possible so we can make duplicates and return to you."

2. The slides were returned to Ottawa C.D.L. on 13 SEP 67 via registered mail (1715) together with Laboratory letter, copies of which are attached. Same have not been received back as yet. A complete set of the colour photos will be forwarded when received.

3. Report from Stuart E. HUNT, Dept. of Health and Welfare, Radiation Protection Division, Ottawa, together with forwarding letter of the Head, Safety Assessment and Control Section, Dept. National Health and Welfare was received here today. Copies of same are attached for information purposes.

4. I had a telephone call from MICHALAK last evening and during the course of our conversation he told me that he had been sick over the weekend of Sept. 15/16th and the symptoms were exactly the same as he had immediately after the alleged sighting and encounter with the U.F.O. He said that the area on his abdomen that had been burned flared up in the identical spot and shape where the burn had been but after a few hours it subsided again. He was taken to his doctor's office (Dr. GATWAY) where he blacked out and was then taken by ambulance to hospital. He said he was very sick but after a few hours his sickness disappeared as fast as it had come. S/L BISSKY was contacted by Mrs. MICHALAK in this same regard. This occurrence is not being pursued, but is merely being reported for record and information purposes.

CONCLUDED HERE:

*C.W.*  
(C.M.W.) S/Sgt.  
i-c Winnipeg GIS

*G.J. Davis*  
Cpl.  
(G.J. Davis) #18300  
Winnipeg G.I.S.

THE COMMISSIONER

Forwarded 27 Sept 67 with enclosure for your information.

CONCLUDED HERE

*I. C. Shank*  
Insp., for  
I. C. Shank, Supt.  
Officer i/c C.I.B.

MAR 13 90\*

60

"D" Division

67D 700-130  
GIS-67-700-8

Winnipeg, Manitoba,  
12th September, 1967.

S/Insp. A.M. HEADRICK,  
Officer In Charge C.D.L.,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

Dear Sir:

Re: Stefan MICHALAK - Report of  
Unidentified Flying Object -  
Falcon Beach, Manitoba

-----

We reference to your letters of August 15th and 30th on this matter, we have not made arrangements to have coloured prints done commercially and I am therefore forwarding the slides (12) to you for processing by the Colour and Motion Picture Unit, Ottawa Identification Branch.

I think we can get by with three sets of prints, one for our file, one to be forwarded through Division Headquarters for the information of Ottawa and for their file and a third copy for the R.C.A.F. investigators here (S/Leader Paul BISSKY).

The following is an outline of what each slide represents:

- SLIDE #
- (7) A view of the area on the rock void of moss and the investigators removing radio-active earth samples.
  - (8) A closer view of the same scene showing a semi-circular area allegedly made by the U.F.O.
  - (9) Similar to #8 showing personnel at the site.
  - (10) Taken from where MICHALAK claims to have been standing when he saw the U.F.O. land. In the distance our members can be seen through the trees standing where the U.F.O. landed.
  - (11) A view taken further back similar to #10 but showing the rock which MICHALAK was working on when he saw the U.F.O. In the distance through the trees, members can be seen standing on the landing spot.
  - (12) The view taken halfway between where MICHALAK first was when he saw the U.F.O. and the actual landing spot.
  - (13) A view of the landing spot taken closer to the actual spot and from along the path MICHALAK followed when he was approaching the U.F.O.
  - (14) A view standing on the edge of the clearing where the U.F.O. landed.
  - (15) A slide of the landing spot taken from part way up a tree. Definite outline of a circular moss-void area can be seen.
  - (17) Same as #15 with the area cleared of personnel.

MAR 13 1967

58

September 12th, 1967.

Re: Stefan MICHALAK - Report of  
Unidentified Flying Object -  
Falcon Beach, Manitoba.  
-----

(18) Slide of MICHALAK in the blue shirt with S/Leader BISSKY.

Would you please return the slides to me after you are  
finished with same.

Yours truly,

GJD/lmf

Cpl.  
(G.J. Davis) #18300  
Winnipeg G.I.S.

MAR 13 90\*

57

DEPARTMENT OF  
NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE



MINISTÈRE DE LA  
SANTÉ NATIONALE ET DU BIEN-ÊTRE SOCIAL

CANADA  
Radiation Protection Division  
Brookfield Road  
Ottawa 8

September 15, 1967

Our File: 1107-4-6

Commanding Officer,  
R.C.M.P. D. Division,  
Winnipeg G.I.S.,  
Winnipeg, Manitoba.  
Attention: Col. G.J. Davis

Dear Sir:

Please find enclosed a copy of Mr. S.E. Hunt's report on his investigations at Falcon Lake, Manitoba, during the period of July 26 to August 3. As you are aware, our interest in this matter arose from the fact that contamination was detected in certain samples submitted to us. It was considered necessary for us to establish that there was no hazard to public health from widespread contamination, and to ascertain if possible the origin of the radioactivity. The first objective has been achieved by Mr. Hunt; however, it was not possible to determine how Radium 226 happened to be found at the site in question.

We are always interested in all sources of ionizing radiation, and I would like to assure you of our cooperation at any time in the future if the presence of radioactivity is suspected.

Yours very truly,

A.K. DasGupta, Ph.D.,  
Head,  
Safety Assessment and Control Section.

AKDG/lm  
Encl.

MAR 13 1968\*

56

MEMORANDUM

To: D. A.K. DasGupta  
Head  
Safety Assessment and Control Section

Your File No.

Our File No. 1107-4-6

From: Mr. S.E. Hunt

Date: September 13, 1967

Subject: Determination of possible radiation hazards to the general public from the alleged landing site of an unidentified Flying Object near Falcon Lake, Manitoba

COPY

Introduction

On the 24 July 67 the Physics Section of this Division monitored on behalf of the R.C.M.P. Crime Detection Laboratory, Ottawa, samples of soil, burnt shirt and steel tape for possible radioactive contamination. A gamma-ray spectral analysis of the three samples revealed activity levels of up to approximately 0.54Ci of Ra<sup>226</sup> or its equivalent. The samples had been collected from the alleged landing site of a U.F.O. near Falcon Lake, Manitoba. The information received by this Division on the 24 and 25 July 67 supporting this particular sighting and samples were vague and disjointed. Further light was shed on the matter when the undersigned had an opportunity to review the investigation report of the U.F.O. sighting submitted by S/L P. Bissky, (R.C.A.F.) through the courtesy of Inspector Hendrick during a visit to the R.C.M.P. Crime Detection Laboratory, Ottawa. Appendix A to this memorandum is a duplicate copy of S/L Bissky's report.

The task of assessing the radiation hazard to the general public from the landing site did not initially indicate that it would be necessary for this Division to involve itself in the R.C.M.P. and D.N.D. investigation. However, it soon became obvious to the undersigned that collection and co-ordination of all background information was essential due to the following:

- (a) The apparent lack of cooperation by the principals toward the military and police.
- (b) The exact location of the sighting had not been established at the time of the Division's initial involvement.

The undersigned flew to Winnipeg on the 26 July 1967 where he was met by Mr. D. Thompson of the Manitoba Health Department. Mr. Thompson advised the undersigned that a meeting had been arranged between representatives of the R.C.M.P. and D.N.D. and the Federal and Provincial Health representatives. This meeting was to establish what would be the best way to proceed.

. . . 2

MAR 13 90\*

55

Page Two

Field Investigation Report

26 July 1967, 1330 hrs. - R.C.M.P. Headquarters Winnipeg - Met with the Superintendent Shark, R.C.M.P., S/L Bissky, R.C.A.F., Cpl. Davis, R.C.M.P., and Messrs. B. Rendall and D. Thompson of the Manitoba Department of Health. A briefing of what had happened to date was given by S/L Bissky. This briefing was a very brief review of the investigation report compiled by S/L Bissky. A discussion followed the briefing as to what was the best way to proceed. The following actions were decided upon.

1. Messrs. Thompson and Hunt to visit Mr. Earl Campbell of the Manitoba Cancer Clinic to ascertain the exact location of the Radium burial ground at East Braintree.
2. Messrs. Thompson and Hunt to visit Inland Cement Company to find out if the company has any Ra<sup>226</sup> sources.
3. S/L Bissky, Cpl. Davis, Messrs. Thompson and Hunt to visit Mr. Stephan Michalak at his residence around 1800 hrs.  
26 Jul. 67.

26 July 1967, 1430 hrs. - Messrs. Thompson and Hunt met with Mr. E. Campbell of the Manitoba Cancer Clinic. Mr. Campbell showed photographs of the site and gave us the name of a Mr. R. Kemp who could guide us to the site. During his visit we checked, for radioactivity, some samples of soil and vegetation collected from a Beausejour U.F.O. sighting. The samples were checked on a scintillation counter. There was no evidence of any radioactivity.

26 July 1967, 1800 hrs. - S/L Bissky, Cpl. Davis and Messrs. Thompson and Hunt visit the residence of Mr. S. Michalak located 314 Lindsay Street. An attempt was made to persuade Michalak to take us to the site of the U.F.O. landing, however, at the end of the visit it still appeared unlikely that he would go as he felt that he would lose too much money by missing a days work. Mr. Michalak showed the undersigned samples of rock, soils and vegetation collected from the U.F.O. site. These samples were located in his basement. A survey of his basement showed no evidence of any loose contamination. Radiation fields were detected coming from the plastic bags containing soil and the remnants of Michalak's burnt shirt. Michalak was at first unwilling to part with the samples, but eventually allowed the undersigned to have part of the samples from each bag for further analysis. Mr. Michalak has no respect for contaminated materials. He handled the contaminated soil with his bare hands, and was made to wash his hands with great difficulty. During our conversation with Michalak he produced a series of photographs taken by Life Magazine representatives. These included photos of the site and colour photos of the burns on his abdomen and the scorced grid pattern on his undershirt. At the time of this interview, Michalak's wife and eldest son were present.

27 July 1967, 1000 hrs. - Messrs Thompson and Hunt visited the Radium burial site at East Braintree (see Appendix B). We were guided by Mr. R. Kemp, Conservation Officer for the Renewable Resources Branch, Department of Mines and Natural Resources for Manitoba. Only one of the steel spikes used to mark the burial site could be located. However,

Page Three

the area was easily recognizable from the photographs shown to us by Mr. E. Campbell (Manitoba Cancer Clinic). It appeared to us that the soils had not been disturbed as most of the area was covered with vegetation. Another two years will see the area completely overgrown with vegetation. No radiation checks were made as there was a minimum of three feet of sand covering the contaminated materials. The maximum amount of radium located at the burial site is in the area of 12 mgms.

27 July 1967, 1200 hrs. - Met S/L Bissky, F/O Smith, Cpls. Shepard and Reed, all of the R.C.A.F., Cpl. Davis and Constable Zacharias of the R.C.M.P., and Mr. Michalak at the Falcon Lake Provincial Park entrance. After lunch, the above mentioned plus Thompson and Hunt set out for the U.F.O. landing site. This involved crossing to the north side of Hwy. No. 1 and following the route as indicated on the attached map. The entire expedition took about 45 minutes to reach its destination. The site was confirmed as being the correct site by Constable Zacharias who had been taken to it by Mr. B. Thompson of the Winnipeg Airborne Phenomena Research Organization the night before. The landing area of the U.F.O. was recognizable from the photographs taken by Life Magazine, the origin of the circular outline of vegetation in the writer's opinion is debateable. A thorough survey of the landing area was carried out, using a Tracerlab SU14, Admiral Radiac 5016, and a Civil Defence CDV 700 survey meter. One small area was found to be contaminated. This was located across the crown of the rock. There was a smear of contamination about 0.5 x 8.0 inches on one side of the crack. There was also some lichen and ground vegetation contaminated just beyond the smear. The whole contaminated area was no larger than 100 square inches. All water run off areas were checked for possible contamination, but nothing was found. Samples of the contaminated rock and lichen were taken for further analysis. Photographs of the area were taken by Cpls. Shephard and Davis and Mr. Thompson. Since there was no serious health hazard involved due to the remoteness of the area and also due to the fact that the majority of the contamination was taken for samples, no further precautions were felt necessary at the U.F.O. site. Prior to returning to Winnipeg, it was decided that Messrs Hunt and Thompson would try and establish the source of the radioactivity by paying a visit to Mr. B. Thompson.

1 August 1967, 0900 hrs. - Samples from U.F.O. site and those taken from Michalak's residence were checked under a U.V. light in the Environmental Sanitation Laboratory in the Norquay Building. The samples taken from the U.F.O. site gave an indication that they were contaminated with Radium luminous paint. The samples from Michalak's house did not respond to the U.V. light. These samples were then packaged and sent to R.P.D. Ottawa for further analysis.

1 August 1967, 1330 hrs. - A visit was made to Inland Cement Company where Mr. S. Michalak is employed as an Industrial Mechanic. The purpose of the visit was two fold. Primarily we wanted to find out if the Company had used any radium sources, <sup>152</sup> gauges and secondly to inspect the Company's Ohmart gauges using Cs<sup>137</sup>. The latter will be dealt with in a separate report. Conversation with Mr. R. West revealed that the Company had never used radium sources ~~was~~ either in Winnipeg or Regina, where both he and Michalak had worked prior to moving to Winnipeg.

. . . 4

MAR 13 90\*

54

Page Four

2 August, 1967, 1400 hrs. - Visited Mr. B. Thompson at his residence at Oakdean Gardens, Suite 312, 66 Morrow Avenue. He advised us that he had no samples in his suite, but had some at his mother's place at 28 Frederick E, St. Vital. A series of rock and vegetation samples were checked, but showed no activity, Thompson then produced a soil sample that he had obtained from the Falcon Lake U.F.O. site. This sample proved to be radioactive. Levels up to 1 MR/hr were detected. Thompson was most reluctant to part with the sample so it was left with him. The sample was sealed in a plastic bag. A contamination check was made of the area where the samples were, using the U.V. light. The area was extremely cluttered with photographic equipment and a great deal of junk. Several areas responded to the U.V. light, but these did not prove to be areas of contamination, probably photographic emulsion splashes. Thompson appears to be a very sloppy worker.

During our conversation with Mr. Thompson it was established that he worked as a sales representative for a local outdoor advertising firm (Plaxlab Products Ltd.). His involvement with APRO was a spare time hobby. He stated that the Winnipeg chapter had approximately fifteen members, four of whom were investigating members. To be an investigating member, it is necessary to be 21 years or over. He stated that the remainder of the organization was made up of teenagers. The APRO organization appears to be a very loose knit organization. Thompson was unable to tell us the names of the other investigating officers. Prior to leaving Thompson, he advised us that he had taken some samples to the Nuclear Medicine Department of the Winnipeg General Hospital. He was unwilling to tell us who had checked the samples as he said the technician had carried out a spectral analysis without his superior's authority. He said that one sample showed a 1.4 mev peak. Two other very weak energy peaks were also detected. Thompson did not know where the samples were presently located. These samples had been given to Thompson by Michalak.

3 August, 1967, 1000 hrs. - A visit was paid to Hart Electronics, 196½ Osborne Street. This visit was arranged at the request of S/L Bissky who had received a similar request from Mr. Hart. A contamination check on Mr. Hart's car and store showed no evidence of contamination. Mr. Hart was concerned as he had helped Michalak collect and carry home some of the samples from the U.F.O. site. Mr. Hart appears to be very interested in "Intersellar Vehicles". He has apparently seen several such vehicles. He even shot at one while duck hunting near Beausejour. Mr. Hart advised us that he was in the process of developing a device which can be used for detecting "Interstellar Vehicles". He did not have the circuitry available as he had loaned it to a friend.

3 August, 1967, 11:15 hrs. - Messrs Thompson and Hunt visited the Nuclear Medicine Department of the Winnipeg General Hospital. Technician, Mr. George Dyck, was contacted. He stated that he had checked the samples submitted by Thompson. His superiors knew about the samples being checked, in fact, they still had the samples as they were going to do a second analysis on them to try and determine the isotope by its rate of decay.

. . . 5

MAR 13 90\*

53

Page Five

Dr. F. Helmuth was introduced to us by Mr. Dyck. Dr. Helmuth had examined the burns that Michalak had received and he felt that they were thermal burns. He said that Michalak had been sent to Pinawa and put in the W.B.C. Although Dr. Helmuth had not seen the results, he understood that no ingested activity was found in Michalak. Dr. Petco at Pinawa had apparently contacted Dr. Helmuth and had suggested that Michalak receive psychiatric treatment. However, Dr. Helmuth didn't feel that this was necessary. Dr. R.J. Walton, Executive Director for the Manitoba Cancer Clinic, was asked by Dr. Helmuth to come over to meet us. Dr. Walton was pleased to let us have the samples as they weren't particularly interested in becoming involved in work of this nature. The only reason they checked these samples was that they had made the analysis before they realized what was going on. Thompson was checking the results of one analysis against the other. The samples will be returned to Ottawa with the undersigned.

7 August 1967, 0930 hrs. - A telephone call was made to the Manager of Plaxlab Products Limited. He advised the undersigned that they did not use any radium luminous or luminous paints for their products.

#### Conclusions

The undersigned does not intend to prove one way or the other whether a U.F.O. had been sighted as there are still too many unknowns. Secondly, in the opinion of the writer such ventures are outside the main interests of this Division.

There are however two conclusions that are of interest to this Division, they are as follows:

- (a) Radioactive contamination of rock and lichens was found at the alleged U.F.O. landing site. The origin of this contamination has yet to be determined.
- (b) The radiation levels measured were not high enough to create a radiation hazard to the general public.

(Signed) S.E.H.

HQ-400-2-5

August 23, 1967.

CONFIDENTIAL BY HAND

Colonel A.R. Ritchie,  
Director of Security,  
Canadian Forces Headquarters,  
4052 "B" Building,  
Cartier Square,  
OTTAWA 4, Ontario.


Dear Sir:

Re: Stefan MICHALAK -  
Report of Unidentified  
Flying Object -  
Falcon Beach, Man.  
-----


Attached hereto for your information is a second report on this alleged sighting, from our Winnipeg GIS dated August 10, 1967. You will recall that the first report dated June 26, 1967, was forwarded to your office under my letter of July 23, 1967.

2. Photographs referred to will be forwarded upon receipt of same at this office.

Yours truly,

  
M.J. Nadon, Supt.,  
Officer in Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.

Encl.  
FVM:sl



MAR 13 90\*

51



**ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE  
OUTGOING MESSAGE FORM**

TIME OF RECEIPT	FILE NUMBER <b>HQ 400-Q-5</b>	DRAFTER'S NAME <b>L.H. Winters</b>	TIME OF DISPATCH
	BRANCH, SECTION, ETC. <b>C.I.B.</b>	ROOM NO. TEL. NO. <b>H.226 2-2906</b>	
PRECEDENCE FOR ACTION ADDRESSES <b>PRIORITY</b>	PRECEDENCE FOR INFORMATION ADDRESSES	DATE <b>25 JUL 67</b>	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>

FROM **THE COMMR**

TO

**CO "D" WINNIPEG**

INFO

ORIGINATOR'S  
REFERENCE  
NUMBER **CIB 1294/30**

REUR CIB 2853. SOIL SAMPLE, STEEL TAPE AND BURNED CLOTHING OBTAINED FROM DEPENCE RESEARCH BOARD LAB OTTAWA 18 JUL 67. ARTICLES APPARENTLY FORWARDED TO DRB BY S/L BISSKY WHO RECOVERED THEM FROM MICHALAK ON 26 JUN 67. TAPE ALSO RADIOACTIVE. REPORT SUBMITTED BY S/L BISSKY INDICATES MICHALAK LOCATED ALLEGED SCENE OF LANDING ON 25 JUN WHEN ACCOMPANIED BY ONE GERALD A.V. HART, 157 OAKVIEW<sup>AVE.</sup>, E. KILDONA WHO HAS COTTAGE IN FALCON LAKE AREA. MICHALAK ALSO REPORTED TO HAVE PHOTOGRAPHED SCENE WHICH SHOWED INDICATIONS OF BURNED CIRCLE 15' DIA. MICHALAK REPORTEDLY INTERVIEWED BY MEMBER THIS FORCE AT WINNIPEG 26 JUN 67 IN PRESENCE OF S/L BISSKY WHEN ABOVE INFO PASSED ON. DEPARTMENT H & W REP WILL ARRIVE WINNIPEG BY AIR 27 JUL 10:30 AM.

SIGNATURE OF PERSON RELEASING MESSAGE

TIME RELEASED (TIME OF SIGNATURE)

**W.F.G. Perry, Insp., A/Officer i/c CIB****11:40 am**

- I** 1. **FILE NUMBER, BRANCH or SECTION, DRAFTER'S NAME, etc.**—To be filled in by the originator to facilitate prompt handling of a reply or query regarding the message. This information is not transmitted.
- N** 2. **PRECEDENCE**—Indicates to COMCENTRE the relative order in which messages are to be transmitted.
- S** (a) **FOR ACTION ADDRESSES**—Enter precedence assigned to all action addressees, i.e., DEFERRED, ROUTINE, PRIORITY, OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE or EMERGENCY.
- T** (b) **FOR INFORMATION ADDRESSES**—Enter precedence assigned to all information addressees—usually DEFERRED.
- R** 3. **TO**—Enter all action addresses. Local abbreviations are not to be used if addressee is outside the Force.
- U** 4. **INFO**—Enter all information addresses. In multiple address messages (same message to more than one addressee), addressees to be designated either ACTION or INFORMATION.
- C** 5. **ORIGINATOR'S REFERENCE NUMBER**—
- T** (a) Enter originator's reference number. It will be transmitted as first word of text of message.
- I** (b) A message sent in reply to an incoming message MUST whenever available, contain a reference to and quote the Originator's number of that incoming message. i.e. HQ 127 Re Your K62 . . . Text.
- O** **N** **S**

MAR 13 90\*

42

ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE - GENDARMERIE ROYALE DU CANADA

RCMP  
GRC 6880

C 237  
REV 1-4-66

OTHER FILE REFERENCES: REF. AUTRES DOSSIERS:	DIVISION	DATE	RCMP FILE REFERENCES: REF. DOSSIERS GRC:
	W.P.	10 Aug 67	
	SUB-DIVISION - SOUS-DIVISION		
	H.O.		67D 700-130
	DETACHMENT - DETACHEMENT		GIS 67-700-8
	G.T.S.		

RE:  
OBJET:

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying Object, Falcon Beach, Manitoba. 20 May 67.

The last report on this matter was written on June 26, 1967 and the file is now being re-opened in view of the fact MICHALAK claims to have located the alleged U.F.O. landing place.

2. On the evening of June 26th, I received a telephone call from Sqdn/Ldr Paul BISSKY who advised me that MICHALAK had just contacted him to tell him that he had been to the Falcon Lake area on the weekend of June 24/25 and had found the landing place. He was accompanied by one Gerald HART who he claimed was now a partner of his.

[REDACTED]. MICHALAK told Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY that he had recovered his shirt remnants and his steel tape from the scene, as well as dirt and moss samples, and had brought them back to Winnipeg. He said that under no circumstances would he take anyone to the scene at this time, until he had filed his mineral claims that he was staking in the area.

3. I would like to point out that MICHALAK'S actions are inconsistent with previous arrangements made with him and previous statements made by him. From the outset of this investigation he pointed out to us that he was concerned about too many people knowing where his claims were and he wanted to confine the knowledge to the R.C.M.P. and R.C.A.F. members who were working on the case. At no time did he indicate any unwillingness to take our members and Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY to the scene and in fact on a number of occasions he told us how anxious he was to do so. The inconsistency occurs in that he was apparently contacted by telephone by HART, whom he claims to have never met personally before the weekend of June 24/25, and he agreed to let HART accompany him on his search for the scene, a search which was ultimately successful. The other point that is irregular is that I made it clear to MICHALAK on more than one occasion, that if he decided to look for the scene on his own and found it, he should not remove evidence, such as the burned shirt and steel tape from the site before our investigators had had the opportunity of conducting our examination at the site. This conversation between MICHALAK and me was in the presence of Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY and 1st. ANDERSON who both verify what was said and heard MICHALAK agree to comply with my request.

.....2

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

RE:  
OBJET:PAGE  
112

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object, Falcon Beach, Manitoba. 20 May 67.

4. Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY wanted to go and see MICHALAK and because I was involved with another matter at the time and unable to personally attend, I contacted Cst. ANDERSON and arranged for him to meet with and accompany Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY. Cst. ANDERSON'S account of the meeting is embodied hereunder:

"During the evening of June 26th, I attended at the home of Steve MICHALAK at 7:30 P.M. with Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY. Upon entering the house MICHALAK immediately wanted to know how the police had found out that he had been out to the scene. He was the exact opposite towards a member as to what he had been previously. He repeated a number of times as to how we had found out he had been out to the site and appeared rather concerned that we should know about his being out there. Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY told him that Cpl. DAVIS had asked him to call us should he be going out again but he denied Cpl. DAVIS ever making this request of him. He was questioned in this respect but still denied having been asked to contact us. After a short while he asked Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY to accompany him down to his cellar but he did not invite this member. He said prior to going downstairs that he had some rocks that he had brought back from there that he wanted to show to Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY. He then brought out a plastic bag containing some dirt and what appeared to be pieces of a green shirt or at least material that had been burned. He also had a steel tape that he said he had brought from the scene and some burned moss. He handed over a small sample of this material, dirt and the tape, to Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY for forwarding to Ottawa, which apparently Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY intended to do the next day. During the interview MICHALAK was very concerned all the while about the police knowing anything and he stated that under no circumstances would he take anyone down there until after his claim was staked and the analyst's reply came back from Ottawa on the rock samples he had brought back from the scene. He said that a person by the name of HART had phoned him the night before he went down to renew his search, and that he had not known this HART before. He said HART drove him to Falcon Beach and got a room in a cottage for him that belonged to a former Crown Attorney. Then he and HART went searching for the scene first thing the next morning (Saturday June 24th). He said that later that evening HART was coming over to see him and they were going to draw up a contract of secrecy between them. Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY and I waited for HART to show up and noted the license number of his car. Upon checking the registered owner I found it belonged to Gerald A.V. HART of Oakview Avenue. MICHALAK had obviously been approached by someone and they had gotten to him with the effect that he was now turned against the police. This was obvious from the outset of the interview. MICHALAK mentioned several times that he would not take anyone down to the area other than HART, his partner. He stated he had not known this HART at all before HART phoned him to go down there".

.....3

RE:  
OBJET:

PAGE

"3"

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object, Falcon Beach, Manitoba. 20 May 67

5. The Crown Attorney referred to is former Crown Attorney THOMAS of St. Boniface, a man in his mid eighties who has a cottage at Falcon Beach.

6. Cst. ANDERSON was not present when the various exhibits were turned over to Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY by MICHALAK, this was apparently done in the basement. Prior to the meeting with MICHALAK on June 26th, he had been very co-operative and friendly toward the police members involved. I have since asked Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY about his change of attitude and he said MICHALAK told him he was unhappy about the character, personal history and background enquiries I had done on him. This is hard to understand because he was told that we would be doing them and the results of our enquiries were generally quite favourable.

7. Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY told Cst. ANDERSON that he was going to telephone our Supt. MILLER and outline to him what had now happened and MICHALAK'S sudden change in attitude. On the morning of June 27th, Cst. ANDERSON and I briefed Supt. MILLER on the situation and we learned that Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY had been in touch with him that same morning. Supt. MILLER instructed in view of MICHALAK'S attitude toward us and as he had turned over the exhibits to Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY and seemed to prefer to deal with him, we should conclude our part of the investigation and leave any remaining enquiries and contact with MICHALAK to the R.C.A.F. In view of this, our file which had been concluded with my June 26th report, was not re-opened.

8. On 24th July the following telex was received from the Commissioner:

CIB1291/30 REUR 67D 700-130 U.F.O. Reported by Stefan MICHALAK. Laboratory Tests here indicate earth samples taken from scene highly radio-active. Radiation Protection Div. of Dept. of Health and Welfare concerned that others may be exposed., if travel in area not restricted. Suggest you close off area completely. Radiation Protection Div are sending one Stuart HUNT, Radiation Expert to Winnipeg and will contact Cpl. DAVIS for assistance. Date and time of arrival not known at this time. Suggest you contact Manitoba Dept. of Health and determine what action they have taken this matter. No publicity to be given this matter. Determine whether MICHALAK has been examined medically from radioactive exposure aspect.

I interpreted this message to mean a reference to some exhibits our investigators had forwarded for examination and lost sight of the fact that the R.C.A.F. per Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY had forwarded same. In view of this, and because of misunderstanding on my part, the following telex, asking for clarification was sent to Ottawa:

.....4

RE:  
OBJET.PAGE  
"11"

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object, Falcon Beach, Manitoba. 20 May 67

CIB2853 REUR CIB1291/30 We cannot associate sample you tested for radio activity with Stefan MICHALAK'S Case. MICHALAK tested for radio active contamination immediately after alleged sighting with neg results. KA source of material recvd and tested by Dept of Health and Welfare Ottawa.

On July 25th Ottawa message CIB 1294/30 was received clarifying the matter:

CIB1294/30 REUR CIB2853. Soil sample, steel tape and burned clothing obtained from Defence Research Board Lab Ottawa 18 Jul 67. Articles apparently forwarded to DRB by S/L BISSKY who recovered them from MICHALAK on 26 Jun 67. Tape also radioactive. Report submitted by S/L BISSKY indicates MICHALAK located alleged scene of landing on 25 Jun when accompanied by one Gerald A.V. HART, 157 Qadview Ave E. Kildona who has cottage in Falcon Lake area. MICHALAK also reported to have photographed scene which showed indications of circle 15' diameter. MICHALAK reportedly interviewed by member this Force at Winnipeg 26 Jun 67 in presence of S/L BISSKY when above info passed on. Department H and W rep will arrive Winnipeg by air 27 Jul 10:30 AM.

9. Following receipt of this last message I contacted Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY who was out of town on holidays, and made him aware of the latest developments. He told me at this time that the soil sample given him by MICHALAK was removed from a large plastic bag and was only a small portion of the soil MICHALAK had, the balance of which was likely contaminated. Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY was somewhat surprised that a reply on the results would not have come to the R.C.A.F. Winnipeg, who would have immediately known what was involved as they had sent the exhibits in. When advised of the forthcoming arrival from Ottawa of Stuart HUNT, Sqdn/Ldr BISSKY cut short his holidays and returned to Winnipeg to assist in the investigation.

10. As the area was far removed from the highway and it was very unlikely the general public would wander into the area, and of course with us still unaware of the exact location, we did not feel precautions such as sealing the area off were warranted. And also, as Mr. HUNT was to arrive in Winnipeg on July 27th and due to MICHALAK'S voiced hostility toward us and his previous reluctance to take us into the area, we felt it would be better to await the arrival of Mr. HUNT to approach him. It was our opinion that Mr. HUNT would be in a better position to apprise him of the potential gravity of the situation and as it was over two months since MICHALAK had had his alleged encounter, an additional delay of two days would matter little.

.....5

RE.  
OBJET.

PAGE

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object, Falcon Beach, Manitoba. 20 May 67

"5"

11. During my enquiries on July 26th, I learned that Barry THOMPSON of the APRO Organization had been taken to the scene by MICHALAK on July 17th and that he had obtained soil samples and samples of the burned outer shirt from MICHALAK which he had sent to his Headquarters at Tucson, Arizona. I contacted THOMPSON and discussed the matter with him. In view of MICHALAK's previous attitude and the possibility he would still refuse to take us to the place, arrangements were made with THOMPSON for him to take Cst. ZACHARIAS to the area on the afternoon of July 27th. If MICHALAK still refused to co-operate we would then have a way of getting to the area without his assistance. This trip was made on July 27th by THOMPSON and Cst. ZACHARIAS.

12. Stuart HUNT, Dept. of Health and Welfare, Ottawa, arrived in Winnipeg during the morning of July 27th. He will be submitting a report on his work on this case, copies of which will be supplied to us, however, highlights of the parts of the investigation he was involved in will be given here. A meeting was held in Supt. SHANK's office at 1.30 P.M. July 27th. Present were Mr. HUNT, S/L BISSKY, Dave THOMPSON and a Mr. RENDALL, Manitoba Govt. Dept of Health and Welfare and myself. Mr. HUNT advised that a radioactive material burial ground was located near East Braintree, Manitoba and he wanted to check this scene to ensure it hadn't been disturbed. It was agreed that HUNT, THOMPSON, BISSKY and I would visit MICHALAK and solicit his cooperation in going to the scene.

13. We contacted MICHALAK on the evening of July 27th at his home at which time Mr. HUNT explained the laboratory findings and the potential seriousness of the matter. MICHALAK was very courteous and appeared completely cooperative in every respect. He agreed to take us to the site and later that evening I contacted the regional manager of Inland Cement to arrange for him to get the following day (Aug 28) off in order that the trip could be made other than on a weekend, to avoid a large crowd at the Falcon Lake resort area. HUNT checked MICHALAK's home with radiation detection equipment and located the remainder of the soil allegedly removed from the scene, same was radioactive. There was no other radioactive material in the house and the house was not contaminated.

14. Cst. ZACHARIAS and I picked MICHALAK up at 9 A.M. on July 28th and proceeded to Falcon Lake where we were met by S/L BISSKY and three other R.C.A.F. members, as well as Mr. HUNT and Mr. THOMPSON. During our association with MICHALAK on July 27th and July 28th he showed no hostility whatever toward ZACHARIAS or I. On the contrary we thought he was very friendly and outgoing with us. This apparently was a complete reversal from the way he had been on June 26th when seen by S/L BISSKY and Cst. ANDERSON.

....6

RE:  
OBJET:

PAGE

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object, Falcon Beach, Manitoba. 20 May 67

"6"

15. The ground party, led by MICHALAK, walked to the scene during the afternoon. It was found to be within the area previously searched and in fact, was only about 40 or 50 yards from a large rock where Cst. ANDERSON, MICHALAK and I had been during the unsuccessful search on June 1st. We sat on the rock and drank tins of soft drinks dropped from the helicopter but were not in a position to view the alleged UFO landing scene due to heavy trees and brush in a ravine between. MICHALAK said that he felt the main reason he could not find the right place during his previous attempts was because too many people were involved and were suggesting various places for him to look at. He said that he felt that if he had been left to look and follow the rock formations on his own without interference from others he would have found it earlier. The scene was approximately one mile from a gravel pit where we parked our cars and would be about 2½ miles due north of the Trans-Canada Highway at Falcon Beach.

16. The actual scene somewhat resembled that sketched for us by MICHALAK but was considerably smaller and not as open as we had been given to believe. Also, it did not have an opening to the south west as MICHALAK had said and for these reasons was not considered a likely spot during the earlier helicopter search. There was no evidence left at the scene except a semi-circle on the rock face measuring approximately 15 ft. in diameter where the moss had been somehow removed. About the southern one third of the outline is missing due to a depression in the rock at that point but the remainder of the circle can be clearly seen. MICHALAK pointed to a spot void of moss near the circle where he claims he stood when he touched the UFO and where he dropped his burning outer shirt. A very close examination of this spot was made in the hope we could find a piece of the shirt but this was not successful. When MICHALAK and HART gathered it up on June 25th they obviously must have got it all if it had been there at one time. He showed us the rock he was chipping when the sighting occurred, same is about 200 feet from the alleged landing spot, approximately straight south of it. MICHALAK pointed out the opening through the trees through which the vehicle supposedly came and left and it was 020° north northeast of the landing spot. This is a sharp conflict from his earlier statements where he said he took a compass reading after the vehicle left and it was exactly 255° south south-west, reference page 5 of his interview embodied in my May 26th report. When asked about this large discrepancy he merely said he must have made a mistake, that's all.

17. I neglected to say that prior to going to the Falcon Lake scene Mr. HUNT checked the burial ground at East Braintree and it had not been disturbed.

18. Mr. HUNT found traces of radiation in a fault in the rock across the centre of the landing spot. No trace of radiation was found around the outer perimeter of the circle nor in the moss

....7

RE:  
OBJET.Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object, Falcon Beach, Manitoba. 20 May 67

PAGE

"7"

or grass below the raised portion of the rock, only in the crack which contained soil made up of vegetation decay. Samples of this material were removed by Mr. HUNT. He said that in view of the small quantity of soil contaminated there was no danger to humans travelling over the area. The radioactive material found was radium 226 which has wide commercial use but is also discharged as waste from a nuclear reactor, Mr. HUNT said.

19. I took 13 colour photos of the scene and various points around same. These have been developed into slides and have been given to Mr. Allan CORRIGAN of our Ottawa Laboratory for transmission to the colour photography section at Headquarters to be developed into prints. Some of these photos clearly show the outline of the circle. We carefully examined the circle and there is no indication that the moss had been removed by brushing or raking it off but the possibility could not be ruled out completely of course.

20. Our investigation at the scene was terminated and we returned to Winnipeg, leaving MICHALAK at Falcon Lake where he was going to spend the weekend working on his claims. During the time we were in the bush he pointed out some of his claim markers and they engross the area of the alleged UFO landing site. He claims to have two other partners who are working with him apart from HART. The other two are supposed to be engineers from Winnipeg, one of whom is apparently a qualified surveyor. MICHALAK did not name these individuals but said they were to meet him down there on Saturday morning July 29th.

21. Since returning from the scene I have been contacted by Mr. HUNT to advise me that a preliminary laboratory examination of the material he recovered from the scene indicated it might contain luminous paint with radium 226 as one of its properties. He will not be able to confirm this without further study and his final findings will be made known to us. Since that finding he has examined the home of HART and also HART's office, as well as Barry THOMPSON's home but no radioactive material was found at any of these places. I have discussed the possibility of it being luminous paint with Mr. CORRIGAN of our laboratory and he told me he doubts it would be luminous paint containing radium 226 as this material is rare and no longer used on clock and watch dials as it formerly was.

22. There remain a number of discrepancies in MICHALAK'S story that cannot be explained, such as a conflict between he and the motel bar operator at Falcon Beach regarding his alleged consumption of about 5 bottles of beer which he flatly denies; his seeming lack of knowledge of the area and aimless wandering during early searches; the large error in flight direction of the UFO in spite of him saying he took an accurate compass reading, and his willingness to take up with a complete stranger (Gerald HART) after telling us frequently that he didn't want outsiders or strangers to know where his claims were.

MAR 13 90\*

49

8

RE:


PAGE  
11011

~~CIB~~ CIB30  
Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object, Falcon Beach, Manitoba. 20 May 67.

And the largest discrepancy as far as I am concerned is him removing all the evidence (steel tape, shirt remnants, etc.) from the scene after being explicitly asked not to do so and his denial of ever having been so asked. On the other hand, there are certain facts that cannot be denied and cannot be accounted for or explained away. These include his illness, headache and loss of weight during a two week period immediately after the alleged sighting; a fluctuation in his blood cell count that occurred at the same time; the burns to his chest, abdomen and clothing as well as the circle on the rock where he alleges the UFO landed. Our investigation has not been able to resolve any of those points mentioned and we have not been able to account for the inconsistent actions of MICHALAK.

23. Pending receipt of the report on radiation analysis from the Federal Department of Health and Welfare Radiation Protection Division (Stuart HUNT) and any new disclosures his investigation might make, our file is being terminated. It is requested that the RCAF (per S/L BISSKY) be supplied a copy of this report. Copies of the coloured photographs taken at the scene will be forwarded when they are received from Headquarters Identification Section.

CONCLUDED HERE:


  
(S.E.J.) Sgt.  
Temp. i/c G.I.S.

67D 700-130

The Commissioner

Forwarded 16 Aug 67 for your information. This investigation was made pursuant to your Telex CIB1291/30 and CIB1294/30.

CONCLUDED HERE

  
(S.J. Davie) #18300. Cpl.  
Winnipeg G.I.S.

  
(I.C. SHANK) Supt.,  
Officer i/c C.I.B.

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR FILE

HQ 400-Q-5

Following receipt of "D" Division telex CIB 2843, I contacted Mr. A.R. CORRIGAN of the Ottawa Lab to determine how they came into possession of the soil sample. He mentioned that the sample had apparently been forwarded with certain articles of Michalak's clothing and a steel tape to the Defence Research Board, Defence Chemical Biological and Radiation Lab in Ottawa. It was forwarded on to our Ottawa Lab and a covering letter from Mr. C.E. Hubley of DRB on 18 JUL 67. *lhw*

2. Mr. Corrigan referred to a report marked "addendum" submitted S/L Bissky which indicated that Michalak, along with one Gerald A.V. Hart, 157 Oakview Avenue, East Kildona, Man. had obtained the soil sample during a visit to the scene on Sunday, 25 JUN 67. According to this report Michalak and Hart recovered the steel tape referred to by Michalak along with some burned clothing and obtained soil samples which were turned over to S/L Bissky. Michalak also referred to finding a burned circle 15' in diameter and had taken photographs of this site which he intended to pass on to S/L Bissky.

3. The report went on to mention that Michalak was interviewed by a member of this Force at Winnipeg in the presence of S/L Bissky on 26 JUN 67 at 19:30 hours at which time the above information was made known to this Force.

4. With regard to the Departmental official travelling to Winnipeg to investigate this matter, this person is scheduled to arrive by air at Winnipeg on 27 JUL 67 at 10:30 am and will contact this Force on arrival.

*L.H. Winters*  
L.H. Winters, S/Sgt.

OTTAWA:  
25 JUL 67  
LHW/lh

10003.90\*

41

C O N F I D E N T I A L

MEMORANDUM FOR FILE


HQ 400-Q-5

At 3:25 pm on 24 JUL 67, I received a telephone call from S/Insp. Hedrick of the Ottawa Crime Detection Laboratory in reference to the reported citing of two UFOs by Stefan MICHALAK in the Falcon Lake area of Manitoba on 20 MAY 67. S/Insp. Hedrick stated that the Lab had received exhibits in this matter from the Defence Research Board including a sample of earth. The exhibits were then taken over to the Radiation Protection Division, Department of Health and Welfare who discovered that the earth sampling was highly radioactive containing .3 micro-curries of radiation. He stated that the Department of National Health and Welfare were concerned over the possibility that others may be contaminated from this same source and that they intended to send a radiation expert out to Winnipeg to investigate this matter. Apparently the thinking at the Radiation Protection Division is that there is a possibility that at one time the Manitoba Cancer Clinic may have disposed of some radioactive material in that area, possibly MICHALAK has come across this, in which event he could be in rather bad shape.

2. The main concern of the Department of National Health and Welfare is that they ~~do~~ not want the public alarmed but wished to have the area suitably protected to prevent access by the general public.

3. S/Insp. Hedrick stated that he was not fully conversant with the investigation which our Force had conducted in this matter, but thought that perhaps a telex should be dispatched to "D" Division, informing them of the recent findings and closing off the area concerned to the general public. The question of making reference to the possibility that the Manitoba Cancer Clinic may have disposed of radioactive material in this area was discussed with S/Insp. Hedrick and he considered it advisable to omit this from the telex and leave this aspect to the Departmental investigator.

4. S/Insp. Hedrick phoned back shortly after to advise that the name of the departmental representative who would be proceeding to Winnipeg is Stuart Hunt and he would be advised to contact our investigator, Cpl. Davis at Winnipeg on arrival. His exact date and time of arrival had not been fixed.



L.H. Winters, S/Sgt.

OTTAWA:  
25-7-67  
LHW/lh

MAR 13 90\*

40

*E. 454A*

# PRIORITY

*30  
CIB*

M

10 RUMP OTT

JUL 24 11 42 PM '67  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS

36 PRIORITY WPG JUL 24 UNCLAS

COMMR OTT

CIB2853 REUR CIB1291/30 WE CANNOT ASSOCIATE SAMPLE YOU TESTED FOR RADIO ACTIVITY WITH STEFAN MICHALAKS CASE. MICHALAK TESTED FOR RADIO ACTIVE CONTAMINATION IMMEDIATELY AFTER ALLEGED SIGHTING WITH NEG RESULTS. KA SOURCE OF MATERIAL RCVD AND TESTED BY DEPT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE OTTAWA

D DIV

MAR 13 90\*

*40A For S/S. Winters*

*P  
C.I.B. advised.  
12<sup>10</sup> AM 25-7-67  
[Signature]*

**ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE  
OUTGOING MESSAGE FORM**

TIME OF RECEIPT	FILE NUMBER <b>HQ 400-Q-5</b>	DRAFTER'S NAME <b>S/Sgt. L.H. Winters</b>	TIME OF DISPATCH <b>3:45 p.m.</b>
	BRANCH, SECTION, ETC. <b>C.I.B.</b>	ROOM NO.      TEL. NO. <b>H-226      2-2906</b>	
PRECEDENCE FOR ACTION ADDRESSES <b>PRIORITY</b>	PRECEDENCE FOR INFORMATION ADDRESSES	DATE <b>24 JULY 67</b>	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>

FROM **COMMR OTTAWA**  
TO **C.O. "D"**

## INFO

ORIGINATOR'S  
REFERENCE  
NUMBER**CIB /291 /30**

REUR 67 D 700-130 U.F.O. REPORTING BY STAFAN MICHALAK. LABORATORY TESTS HERE INDICATE EARTH SAMPLES TAKEN FROM SCENE HIGHLY RADIOACTIVE. RADIATION PROTECTION DIV. OF DEPT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE CONCERNED THAT OTHERS MAY BE EXPOSED, IF TRAVEL IN AREA NOT RESTRICTED. SUGGEST YOU CLOSE OFF AREA COMPLETELY. RADIATION PROTECTION DIV. ARE SENDING ONE START HUNT, RADIATION EXPERT TO WINNIPEG AND WILL CONTACT CPL. DAVIS FOR ASSISTANCE. DATE AND TIME OF ARRIVAL NOT KNOWN AT THIS TIME. SUGGEST YOU CONTACT MANITOBA DEPT. OF HEALTH AND DETERMINE WHAT ACTION THEY HAVE TAKEN THIS MATTER. NO PUBLICITY TO BE GIVEN THIS MATTER. DETERMINE WHETHER MICHALAK HAS BEEN EXAMINED MEDICALLY FROM RADIOACTIVE EXPOSURE ASPECT.

SIGNATURE OF PERSON RELEASING MESSAGE

**(L. H. Winters) S/Sgt.**

TIME RELEASED (TIME OF SIGNATURE)

**4:05 p.m.**

- I**  
**N**  
**S**  
**T**  
**R**  
**U**  
**C**  
**T**  
**I**  
**O**  
**N**  
**S**
- 1. FILE NUMBER, BRANCH or SECTION, DRAFTER'S NAME, etc.**—To be filled in by the originator to facilitate prompt handling of a reply or query regarding the message. This information is not transmitted.
  - 2. PRECEDENCE**—Indicates to COMCENTRE the relative order in which messages are to be transmitted.
    - (a) FOR ACTION ADDRESSES**—Enter precedence assigned to all action addressees, i.e., DEFERRED, ROUTINE, PRIORITY, OPERATIONAL IMMEDIATE or EMERGENCY.
    - (b) FOR INFORMATION ADDRESSES**—Enter precedence assigned to all information addressees—usually DEFERRED.
  - 3. TO**—Enter all action addresses. Local abbreviations are not to be used if addressee is outside the Force.
  - 4. INFO**—Enter all information addresses. In multiple address messages (same message to more than one addressee), addressees to be designated either ACTION or INFORMATION.
  - 5. ORIGINATOR'S REFERENCE NUMBER**—
    - (a)** Enter originator's reference number. It will be transmitted as first word of text of message.
    - (b)** A message sent in reply to an incoming message **MUST** whenever available, contain a reference to and quote the Originator's number of that incoming message. i.e. HQ 127 Re Your K62 . . . . Text.

MAR 13 90\*

39

HQ-400-Q-5

July 23, 1967.

CONFIDENTIAL BY HAND

Colonel A.R. Ritchie,  
Director of Security,  
Canadian Forces Headquarters,  
4052 "B" Building,  
Cartier Square,  
OTTAWA 4, Ontario.

Dear Sir:

Re: Stefan MICHALAK -  
Report of Unidentified  
Flying Object -  
Falcon Beach, Man.

---

Attached hereto for your information is a copy of our complete file on the above sighting which is self explanatory. I would particularly refer you to paragraph 9 of Winnipeg G.I.S. report dated 26 June 67. It is intended to implement an instruction for use by our Field Detachments on the information required when receiving reports on U.F.O. Sighting.

2. I would appreciate any comments you may have to assist us in drafting a suitable guide in the interest of uniformity in this respect please.

Yours truly,

*W.F.G. Perry*  
W.F.G. Perry, Insp.,  
Asst. Officer in Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.

FVM:ejd  
*[Handwritten signature]*

MAR 13 90\*

*57*  
38



YOUR NO.  
VOTRE N°

OUR NO.  
NOTRE N°

67D 700-130

Winnipeg, 11 July, 1967

THE COMMISSIONER

Re: Stafan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified  
Flying Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 May 67

Forwarded herewith in duplicate are copies of our complete file on this matter.

2. You will note there are no instructions in the Operational Manual with respect to U.F.O.'s. In view of the numerous reported sightings in recent months, it is suggested that appropriate instructions be issued to all Detachments in the interests of uniformity.

3. I would refer you to para. 9 of Winnipeg G.I.S. report dated 26 June 67 in which will be noted the procedure adopted by Canadian Forces. Possibly some of those items could be utilized in formulation of instructions for our Force.

  
G.H. MILLER, Supt.,  
Officer i/c C.I.B.

MAR 13 90\*

37

OTHER FILE REFERENCES: REF. AUTRES DOSSIERS:	DIVISION	DATE	RCMP FILE REFERENCES: REF. DOSSIERS GRC:  67D 700-130 GIS67-700-8
	"D"	26 JUN 67	
	SUB-DIVISION - SOUS-DIVISION		
	H.Q. C.I.B.		
	DETACHMENT - DETACHEMENT		
	Winnipeg C.I.S.		

RE:  
OBJET:Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying Object  
Falcon Beach, Manitoba.

20 MAY 67

PROPERTY OF R.C.M.P. - THIS POLICE REPORT  
IS SUPPLIED TO YOU BY THE R.C.M. POLICE FOR  
INFORMATION OF YOUR DEPARTMENT ONLY. IT  
IS NOT TO BE MADE KNOWN TO ANY OTHER  
AGENCY OR PERSON WITHOUT THE WRITTEN  
PERMISSION OF THE R.C.M.P.

- Further to previous reports, and in particular to para. 8 of my report of 26 MAY 67, we saw MICHALAK from day to day between May 26th and May 30th hoping that he would be feeling well enough to make a trip with us to Falcon Lake to look for the landing spot of this unidentified flying object. On the 30 MAY 67 this subject still did not feel up to making the trip and at that time Squadron Leader BISSKY and myself visited him at his residence and had him draw us a sketch of the terrain in the immediate area where the objects he claims to have seen landed. Squadron Leader BISSKY arranged with the Canadian Army at Rivers, Man. to obtain the use of a Hillier helicopter. The helicopter was to assist in the search commencing on the morning of May 31st. We took this course of action hoping to be able to find the spot MICHALAK described without his being there. The reason for us doing this was our belief that vegetation and growth in the area would be such that it would make the place very difficult to find if it was left much longer.
- Cst. ANDERSON and myself travelled to Falcon Lake on the morning of May 31st and were met there by a group of R.C.A.F. personnel headed by Squadron Leader BISSKY. The R.C.A.F. personnel had travelled by automobile as did we. A Canadian Army helicopter from Rivers piloted by Captain Bruce MUELANDER arrived from the Rivers Air Station at about noon on May 31st. A search was conducted from the air by Squadron Leader BISSKY and myself with the helicopter pilot and we were unable to locate any area that resembled that sketched by MICHALAK. In his description to us of the area he described a flat piece of rock approximately 300' long by 100' feet wide and such outcroppings of rock that size are very rare in the Falcon Lake area. As well as the air search we examined areas by foot, but we were unsuccessful in coming up with anything worth while. The search was continued until nearly dark on May 31st and then called off for the day. We discussed the situation with Squadron Leader BISSKY and we decided to continue without MICHALAK being there to give us some idea of directions would be futile and we decided then to return to Winnipeg and attempt to convince him he should come down for at least one day. Cst. ANDERSON and I returned to Winnipeg in the late evening of May 31st and we went directly to MICHALAK's residence and spoke to him. He agreed to make the trip with us and arrangements were made to pick him up early the following morning.
- Cst. ANDERSON and I took MICHALAK by police car to Falcon Beach early in the morning of June 1st and shortly after our arrival there he was taken up by the helicopter pilot and myself to look the area over by air. This method of searching was unsatisfactory and the terrain looked entirely different from the air and he was not able to recognize any land marks from the air. In the afternoon of the same date we took MICHALAK to where we had earlier recovered the saw and

... 2

RE:  
OBJET:

Stefan MICHALAK

PAGE

2

shopping bag he had mentioned, our finding the saw and shopping bag was outlined in para. 8 of my previous report. MICHALAK, Cst. ANDERSON and myself commenced a ground search from the point where he had discarded the saw and shopping bag and we walked for most of the afternoon covering somewhere between 3 and 4 miles of dense bush and outcroppings of rock without finding anything of value. MICHALAK seemed to be wandering aimlessly through the bush and didn't really appear to know where he was going. His excuse for acting like this was that he claimed when he was prospecting he followed quartz veins in the rock facings and didn't really pay any attention to where he was going. He says he would walk for most of the day and then when he felt he had gone far enough to leave himself enough time to get out before dark he would take a compass reading and head out to whichever direction he thought the highway was. Throughout the ground search he kept insisting that he was going to be able to find the place and appeared to be quite disgusted with himself that he couldn't find the place. Our ground search with MICHALAK took up most of the afternoon and was concluded in the early evening. While we were searching on foot Squadron Leader BISSKY and the helicopter pilot were examining likely places from the air, and a number of possible places were subsequently looked at on foot. During an earlier interview with MICHALAK he said that when he returned to the highway following his sightings of these objects, he walked over a little over 3,000 paces. We calculated that this would be no more than 2½ miles or 3 miles at the outside and so during the air search we covered an area considerably larger than we felt he could have possibly walked, the area we examined from the air would be 4 to 5 miles deep, in other words north and south, and about the same distance east and west. I might say that all places that in any way resembled the spot sketched and described to us by MICHALAK were examined on foot in the area I have mentioned however we were unsuccessful in finding any evidence as he had described. Cst. ANDERSON and I returned MICHALAK to Winnipeg and to his residence at approximately 10:00 P.M. on the 1 JUN 67. Cst. ANDERSON and I returned to Falcon Lake in the early morning of 2 JUN 67 to continue the search with the R.C.A.F. personnel. We examined likely places even further afield than those previously covered without finding anything of value. This last day's searching was confined to helicopter searching. The search was discontinued completely in mid-afternoon of 2 JUN 67 and the army helicopter left en route back to Rivers at approximately 2:00 P.M. of that date.

4. On the 25 MAY 67 I was in telephone contact with Cst. SCHMALZ of Falcon Beach Detachment and requested him to make enquiries regarding MICHALAK's being in the Falcon Motel on the night of May 19th, and in particular to make enquiries regarding any liquor he may have consumed while staying at the motel. On the evening of May 26th I received a telephone call from Cst. SCHMALZ and he advised me that he had spoken to Mr. [REDACTED], who is the manager of the cafe and beverage room at the Falcon Motel. MICHALAK had stayed in Room 17 at the motel. [REDACTED] stated that between 8 and 9:30 P.M., MICHALAK had been in the beverage room at the motel and had had about 3 bottles of beer. He then left the motel at about 9:30 and came back at approximately 11:00 P.M. and had about 2 more bottles of beer. He was not intoxicated when he left according to [REDACTED] and he never bought liquor to take to his

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 1961  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

RE  
OBJET:

Stefan MICHALAK

PAGE

3

room with him. Falcon Beach Detachment have checked beverage room sales slips and liquor commission sales slips for that date and there is no indication MICHALAK bought any liquor to take out. The maid who cleaned Room 17 on the morning of May 20th said that she went to the room at about 7:30 A.M. on Saturday, May 20th and MICHALAK was gone at that time. This fits his version of the story where he said he left the room very early in the morning at approximately 6:00 A.M. Mr. [REDACTED] confirmed that MICHALAK had spoken to him about other prospectors working and [REDACTED] was able to recall this individual from the fact that he discussed prospecting at length with him and prospecting particularly in the Falcon Lake area. Mr. [REDACTED] said he wondered about MICHALAK because he seemed so certain that he had a good ore strike and that he was going to find something that day, and he told [REDACTED] that he was going north from the townsite. The motel owner, Mrs. Merten BUECK, saw him the next afternoon which would be the afternoon following [REDACTED] seeing him. This would be 3 or 4 o'clock in the afternoon and MICHALAK approached Mrs. BUECK and told her that he needed a doctor, and she then referred him to the R.C.M.P. Detachment Mrs. BUECK said that he later came back to the Motel and asked to make a collect call to Winnipeg and was shown an outside telephone booth. Everyone that he approached on that day felt as though he was acting as if he was intoxicated although none of the people that spoke to him or were near him could smell any liquor on him. As a result of this information from Cst. SCHMALZ I saw MICHALAK again on May 27th and asked him about the liquor he was alleged to have consumed on the night of May 19th. He denied having any beer to drink on that night while at Falcon Beach and said that he very seldom drank beer and that if he had had anything to drink he would probably have had a drink of wine. However, in any case he denied having any alcoholic beverages of any kind on that particular night. A discrepancy in [REDACTED] version involved the time. He claimed that he had first seen MICHALAK about 8:00 P.M. on June 19th, however MICHALAK went to Falcon Lake by bus and the bus did not leave Winnipeg until 7:15 P.M. This would be at least a two hour bus trip to Falcon Lake which would get MICHALAK in there somewhere between 9:15 and 9:30 P.M. MICHALAK's story is that he arrived between 9 and 9:30 P.M. and checked into his motel and then went to his room, Room 17, and read his prospecting books until about 11:00 P.M. He then went to the coffee shop in the motel and had a hamburger and a cup of coffee and spoke to the man in the motel about prospecting. He recalls asking the man about any other prospectors working in the area and his knowledge of prospectors in the area. MICHALAK said he stayed in the coffee shop for about a half hour and then returned to his room and read for an hour longer before retiring for the night. On June 1st, the day we had MICHALAK at Falcon Lake I arranged to have Mr. [REDACTED] look at him and he told us after looking at MICHALAK that there was no doubt at all that he was the man that he had served beer to on the night of May 19th, and the man he had talked to about prospecting. He even told us that he could tell us what kind of beer the man had consumed and what chair he had been sitting in. I asked him whether they were busy in the beverage room that night and he confirmed that they were. I pointed out to him his obvious mistake in time and he agreed that he could be wrong about the time however he didn't agree that he could be wrong about his identification of

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATIONEXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

RE:  
OBJET:

PAGE

4

Stefan MICHALAK

MICHALAK. This matter has been discussed with MICHALAK subsequently to the trip to Falcon Lake and he still denies having any beer to drink while at Falcon Lake. This is the main discrepancy in the story, the only other discrepancy in MICHALAK's story that has been uncovered is his inability to be able to locate the alleged landing spot.

5. On June 6th, Cst. ZACHARIAS and I had an interview with Dr. Roy CRAIG who is the head of the U.F.O. Project for the United States Government at the University of Colorado in Boulder, Colorado. Dr. CRAIG had come to Winnipeg to make his own investigation into the alleged sighting of MICHALAK. He had spent a day in the Falcon Lake area with MICHALAK on Sunday, June 4th. He told us that he was very impressed with MICHALAK's story when he first interviewed him on Saturday, June 3rd, however he began to have doubts after taking him to Falcon Lake and seeing how he groped around in the bush and did not seem to know where he was going. Dr. CRAIG was returning to the Colorado University on June 6th and before leaving he asked me to supply him with any additional details about this sighting or about background information on MICHALAK should we come into possession of anything worthwhile. Since that time I have received a report from "F" Division outlining background investigation on MICHALAK and I propose to supply the pertinent details of this report to Dr. CRAIG. Dr. CRAIG is heading the University of Colorado research team that has received a U.S. Government grant to do a year's research on unidentified flying objects. He told us that one of the conditions under which he and his fellow investigators took the project was that the report they were to write at the conclusion of the project would be made a public document.

6. I have been incontact with Sqn. Leader BISSKY from time to time regarding this investigation and he has continued his own enquiries on the sighting claimed by MICHALAK. On Thursday, June 8th, Sqn. Leader BISSKY and other Air Force personnel went to Falcon Beach to have a look at the micro-wave tower situated in the approximate area where MICHALAK was believed to have entered the bush. The purpose of this trip was to examine the micro-wave tower as it had been suggested to Sqn. Leader BISSKY that MICHALAK may have climbed the tower to look the terrain over and been burned by electricity on the top of the tower. Sqn. Leader BISSKY does not feel that this is a very likely possibility and came to this conclusion after looking the tower over. While in the vicinity of the micro-wave tower which is approximately 1 mile north and east of the Falcon Motel he found a spot that quite closely resembled the place that had been sketched for us by MICHALAK. He examined the place with other R.C.A.F. members however was unable to find any remnants of a shirt or the missing steel tape that MICHALAK feels he left at the scene. He has since discussed this spot with MICHALAK however MICHALAK has not yet been down to have a look at it. Sqn. Leader BISSKY has told me that so far as the Air Force is concerned the matter is closed pending MICHALAK locating the spot and supplying further information to them.

7. The only article that we had in connection with this investigation was a yellow plastic glove that was turned over to me by

RE  
OBJET.

Stefan MICHALAK

PAGE

5

MICHALAK for whatever examination we cared to make. This has now been turned over to Sqn. Leader BISSKY with receipt being obtained on Form C-246.

8. Regarding para. 2 of the memorandum from the Officer in charge "D" Division C.I.B. dated 2 JUN 67, wherein it was suggested that I should liase with Mr. J.B. Thompson of the APRO Organization, subsequent enquiries have revealed that the APRO Organization, and Mr. Thompson in particular are interested in monetary gain from their investigation of these sightings. Dr. CRAIG told me that he was quite familiar with the APRO Organization from their activities in the United States and most of the people connected with it were interested in writing stories about sightings and selling them to magazines and news media. He also stated that there can be more than one APRO man in one particular city such as Winnipeg, and one APRO representative would not be aware of who the other ones were. In other words, there was very little liason within their own organization. In view of this and in view of the fact that the R.C.A.F. are taking an active interest in U.F.O. sightings I do not feel that we would be wise to align ourselves with Mr. Thompson and his APRO Organization. I might also point out that Sqn. Leader BISSKY and other R.C.A.F. personnel feel much the same as I do about the APRO Organization.

9. In view of the increasing prevalence of U.F.O. sightings and reports and because of the distinct possibility that our personnel could be the first persons approached by the observer that claims to have seen a U.F.O. I have obtained from the R.C.A.F. a copy of their instructions on reporting unidentified flying objects. This covers the information to be obtained from an alleged observer at the time the sighting is reported and how the report is to be channeled. This instruction is brief and in view of this will be embodied in its entirety in this report.

#### "REPORTING OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS"

##### GENERAL

1. Reports of unidentified flying objects (UFOs) are frequently received at Canadian Forces bases from various sources. CFHQ is responsible for processing any action required on this reports. Accordingly, UFO reports will be transmitted to CFHQ in accordance with para. 2.

##### REPORTING

2. Unclassified priority messages shall be addressed to CANFORCED and the first words in the text shall be "FOR CFQC, UFO REPORT". All reports shall include as much of the following information as is obtainable, using the identifying letter indicated:

A Date and time of sighting (GMT)

B Condition of sky (clear, cloudy, haze, etc).

... 6

RE  
OBJET.

PAGE

Stefan MICHALAK

6

- C Identification of observer.
- D Location of observer at time of sighting.
- E Identification of other persons also observing the UFO.
- F. Description of sighting (shape, colour, altitude, movement, number of UFOs, etc.)
- G Duration of observation.
- H Any other relevant information."

This form was published by the R.C.A.F. on the 7 OCT 66 and is their most recent instruction. It will be noted that sightings are to be transmitted by telex to Central Forces H.Q., Ottawa. Sqn. Leader BISSKY told me that Central Forces H.Q. assess such reports and should further investigation be required it is then referred to a local R.C.A.F. unit for further enquiries. I have examined the questionnaire that is used by the UFO research organization at the University of Colorado. It is much more extensive than the form currently being used by the R.C.A.F. I feel that the R.C.A.F. report form and the questions thereon are sufficient for a preliminary report and should additional information be required by the R.C.A.F. it would then be turned over to their investigators for further action. In view of the fact that there is not any policy in our Operational Manual pertaining to UFO sightings, the foregoing has been included for whatever value it may be, in this regard.

10. MICHALAK has been back to work since Monday, June 5th after being away from work for two weeks. He is regaining his normal health and is regaining the weight he lost during the period when he was sick. I have not been in contact with him for the past couple of weeks, however Sqn. Leader BISSKY has and has been informed by MICHALAK that he is still interested in going down and finding the alleged landing place. Our file is being concluded and will be re-opened in the event MICHALAK is successful in locating the landing place. In such place, I would be prepared to go to Falcon Lake and conduct whatever enquiries are necessary in conjunction with the R.C.A.F. personnel.

CONCLUDED HERE:

*C.M.W.*  
(C.M.W.) S/Sgt.  
i-c Wpg. GIS

*J.H. Davis*  
(J.H. Davis) 18300  
Winnipeg G.I.S.

ACCESS SECTION / SECTION DE L'ACCES

DOCUMENT REMOVED FROM FILE / DOCUMENT RETIRE DU DOSSIER

RG 18

Volume 3779

File/ HQ-410-Q-5 pt 1  
Dossier

Nature of document/ Report of Unidentified Flying Object  
Description du document  
(Enquiries on Michalak)

No. of Pages/ 2  
Nbre de pages

Date 23 June 67

Exempt/Exception, 19(1)  
Access To Information Act/  
Reason for Removal/ Loi sur l'accès à l'information  
Retrait en vertu de

Review Officer/ Mathieu Dumault  
Agent(e) d'examen

ACCESS SECTION / SECTION DE L'ACCES

DOCUMENT REMOVED FROM FILE / DOCUMENT RETIRE DU DOSSIER

RG 18 Volume 3779 File/ HQ-400-05 pt 1  
Dossier

Nature of document: Report of Unidentified Flying Object  
Description du document  
(Enquiries on Michalak)

No. of Pages/ 1  
Nbre de pages

Date 19 June 67

Exempt/Exception, 19(1)  
Access To Information Act/  
Reason for Removal/ Loi sur l'accès à l'information  
Retrait en vertu de

Review Officer Nathalie Desautels  
Agent(e) d'examen

ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE - GENDARMERIE ROYALE DU CANADA

RCMP  
GRC 6880

C 237  
REV. 1-4-66

OTHER FILE REFERENCES. REF. AUTRES DOSSIERS:	DIVISION	DATE	RCMP FILE REFERENCES. REF. DOSSIERS GRC:
	"D"	18 JUNE 67	
	SUB-DIVISION - SOUS-DIVISION		
	Winnipeg		
	DETACHMENT - DETACHEMENT		
	Falcon Beach Highway Patrol		67D 67WS-700-20 67-700-2

RE:  
OBJET:

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying Object  
Falcon Beach, Manitoba - 20 MAY 67

700-130

20 MAY 67

1. At approximately 3:00 PM, this date, I was patrolling PTH# 1, one half mile West of Falcon Beach, Manitoba, when I noticed a man walking on the South shoulder of the highway, towards Falcon Beach. He was wearing a grey cap, brown jacket with no shirt, light coloured trousers and carrying a brown briefcase.
2. This subject, upon seeing the police car, began waving his arms excitedly. I turned around on the highway and drove back to see what he wanted. He shouted to me to stay away from him. I asked him why and he replied saying that he had seen two space ships. He said I might get some sort of skin disease or radiation if I came too close. He seemed very upset. I asked for some identification and he gave me a document pertaining to prospecting, which showed his name as Stefan MICHALAK of 314 Lindsay Street, Winnipeg, Manitoba.
3. I enquired as to the circumstances surrounding his unusual experience, and Mr. MICHALAK related the following story. He apparently had been prospecting approximately one mile West and two miles North of Falcon Beach. About 12:00 Noon he sighted the two space ships. He said they were rotating at a high rate of speed and emitted a red glow. The space ships landed near him and he reportedly touched one. The exhaust or some sort of hot substances came off the space ship, burning his shirt, chest and hat. The space ships remained awhile, how long he was not certain, then flew away. He left the bush to get medical treatment.
4. MICHALAK showed me his cap, the back of which was burnt. I wanted to examine his shirt, however, he would not let me, and kept backing away every time I got close to him. As far as I was able to determine, the back of MICHALAK'S head was not burnt. It appeared to me that MICHALAK had taken a black substances, possibly wood ashes, and rubbed it on his chest. At no time during my conversations with MICHALAK would he allow me close enough to him to definitely see whether or not he was injured. I asked him why his hands were not burnt if he had touched the space ship and he would not answer me. At my request he drew a diagram of the space ship which appeared to be saucer shaped.
5. I could not smell the odour of liquor on MICHALAK. His general appearance was not dissimilar to that of a person who has over indulged. His eyes were bloodshot and when questioned in detail could or would not answer coherently. I offered to drive him to Falcon Beach and arrange for some one to treat him but he declined saying the he was alright.
6. Approximately one half hour later, he came to the Detachment Office and asked for me. He would not enter the office.

MAR 13 90\*

280

RE:

PAGE 2

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying Object  
Falcon Beach, Manitoba - 20 MAY 67

(CONTINUED FROM PAGE # 1 -----WOULD NOT ENTER THE OFFICE, so I spoke to him outside. He wished to know where a Doctor could be located. I told him the nearest ones would be in Kenora, Ontario, or Steinbach, Manitoba. He requested strongly that I not tell anyone what had happened to him as he did not want any publicity. MICHALAK said he was going to catch the 8:10 PM, bus back to Winnipeg. He left and I later saw him sitting near the Falcon Beach Hotel on PTH# 1, awaiting the bus.

7. The information supplied by MICHALAK is of necessity vague due to his reluctance to co-operate. This reluctance on his part may have been intentional or due to circumstances beyond his control.

CONCLUDED HERE

*MS*

*G.A. Solotki* Cst.  
(G.A. Solotki) #23446.  
Falcon Beach Highway Patrol.

23-6

G.I.S. - Info. SU1. ①

*MS*

ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE - GENDARMERIE ROYALE DU CANADA

RCMP  
GRC 6880

C 237  
REV. 1-4-66

OTHER FILE REFERENCES: REF. AUTRES DOSSIERS:  p.c.r. 26-5-67	DIVISION "D"	DATE 18 June 67	RCMP FILE REFERENCES: REF. DOSSIERS GRC. 67D-700-130 67-700-8 67-700-2 67WS-700-2c
	SUB-DIVISION - SOUS-DIVISION Winnipeg		
	DETACHMENT - DETACHEMENT Falcon Beach		

RE:  
OBJET:  
  
Stefen MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying Object,  
Falcon Beach, Man. 20 May 67

26 May 67

1. On even date a telephone call was received from Cpl. C.J. DAVIS, G.I.S. Winnipeg, requesting that enquiries be made at the Falcon Hotel in Falcon Beach, Man. Persons having had contact with MICHALAK the evening prior to his sighting of the U.F.O., were to be interviewed.
2. The first person approached at the Hotel was Mr. William HASTINGS, a bar tender. He stated he had attended to the bar until 8.00 P.M., on 19 May 67, but hadn't seen MICHALAK or a man of his description. At 8.00 P.M. he stated that [REDACTED], manager of the Lounge and dining room, had taken over the bar. Mr. HASTINGS at this time left for home for the evening.
3. [REDACTED] was located and interviewed. He stated that MICHALAK was in the lounge at the time he took over and that he had served him 3 bottles of beer up until 9.30 P.M. at which time MICHALAK left the lounge. It may be noted that this conflicts with MICHALAK's given statement. [REDACTED] went on to say that MICHALAK returned at approximately 11.00 P.M. and had two more bottles of beer in the licenced dining room in the Hotel. It was at this time that MICHALAK had some conversation with [REDACTED]. MICHALAK asked whether many prospectors worked the area North of Falcon Beach. [REDACTED] answered that many men try their luck. When asked about MICHALAK's condition at the time, [REDACTED] stated that he thought MICHALAK was feeling the effects of alcohol. However, he did think that MICHALAK was strange in that he was so sure that he'd find something in the bush. After drinking the beer he left the Hotel and wasn't seen by [REDACTED] again.
4. A check was then made with Antje POLDERVAART, the maid responsible for cleaning MICHALAK's room in the morning. She stated that she hadn't found any liquor bottles in the room but thought it unusual that none of towels or soap had been used. This would seem to indicate that he hadn't washed. It was found that MICHALAK had occupied unit 17, not 13 as stated.
5. Mrs. Martin BUSECK, wife of Hotel owner, stated that MICHALAK approached her in the early afternoon on 20th May 67, on the Hotel parking lot. He was holding his jacket closed across his chest and asked for a doctor. He was advised that there wasn't one available and wouldn't be until the 1st July. She told him that if something was wrong to see the R.C.M.P. This would be the time he saw Cst. SOLOTKI at the Police Office. Mrs. BUSECK stated that a short while later MICHALAK appeared again and this time requested the use of a telephone in order that he might make a collect call to Winnipeg. She referred him to a telephone nearby and didn't see him again.

CONTINUED ON PAGE TWO.....

MAR 13 1967

26

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

RE:

PAGE TWO

Stefen MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying Object,  
Falcon Beach, Man. 20 May 67

6. All liquor purchase slips at the Hotel and Gov't liquor Store were checked for 19-5-67 and 20-5-67 with negative results. Local residents were canvassed but didn't see or hear anything unusual on the day in question.

CONCLUDED HERE

~~██████~~  
(G.R.G.) Cpl.  
i/c Det.

*L.A. Schmalz*  
#22123  
(L.A. SCHMALZ) Cst.  
Falcon Beach Detachment.

23-6

BIS - Info - 0

Sgt. D.D. 30-6

*Feb*

MAR 13 90\*

25

OBJET

PAGE

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

1

This is an interview with Stefan MICHALAK, Taken at his residence at 314 Lindsay Street, Winnipeg, on May 24th, 1967, commencing at 1:45 p.m. It concerns his sighting on Saturday of an unidentified flying object near Falcon Beach, Manitoba.

DAVIS: Steve, tell us what you did on Friday prior to the trip to Falcon Beach on Saturday.

MICHALAK: Well, in the morning I went to work at the Inland Cement Company and at 3:30 I punched out because I was already preparing myself from last year to continue my geological studies of the terrain. I am looking for special spots there which absorb my interest

DAVIS: This is down in the Falcon Beach area?

M - Yes, this is it.

D - What do you do with Inland Cement?

M.- I'm working as industrial mechanic.

D - How long have you been with them Steve?

M - I've been with them for 6 or 7 years.

D.- Were you born in Canada?

M - No, I came to Canada in 1949 after discharging from the United States Army Occupation Troops.

D - Where were you born, Steve?

M - I was born in Poland.

D - You have been studying geology for some time, and prospecting as a sideline?

M.- Yes, I went to Saskatchewan and to prospecting school at La Ronge and started a study on my own and I continue this. I like it, the land and the terrain and I continue it.

D - Okay, now you left work early on Friday afternoon and the purpose was to catch the bus down to Falcon Beach.

M - Yes.

D - And then tell me just what happened after you left work on Friday, after you left your job at Inland Cement.

M - Well, I came home to get ready, my wife made my lunch, my son took me to the bus.

... 2

MAR 13 90\*

24

RE  
OBJETStefan MICHALAK - Unidentified Flying Object  
Report of - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

2

D - Did your wife make a lunch for you to use the next day or for that night or for what?

M - Well, she made the lunch for the next day. There was nothing to be spoiled.

D - What did she make for you?

M - I took some sausage and some cheese and some apples, some buns, some oranges.

D - Did anything need any cooking at all that you needed a fire for?

M - No. You see, I was going only for one day.

D - What other equipment, or what other articles did you take beside your lunch?

M - I took with me beside the lunch, I take a magnet, I take raw porcelain bricks for checking ? , an axe, hammer.

D - What are they?

M - Raw porcelain, raw polished porcelain to check the formation for streak and colour. I had this and I took a magnet, compass, I took gloves, goggles, hatchett, chipping hammer, books and my notes.

D - I see, the same stuff that any person prospecting would take.

M - Yes, normal for simple prospecting checking.

D - And that's all, eh?

M - Yes.

D - And the clothing that you had with you?

M - And the clothing I had on.

D - Now how did you get to the bus?

M - My son drove me to the bus, it was 7:15 I think from Winnipeg and I came about 9:30 - 10:00, 9:30 I think to Falcon Beach, something like that.

D - And then what did you do?

M - Then I checked into the room, I had room number 13 I think.

D - That's on the motel on the highway, eh?

M - Yes, that motel.

D - And what did you do after you checked in?

MAR 13 1967

23

OBJET

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcan Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

3

- M - After I checked in I studied my books for an hour, an hour and a half, then I went for coffee.
- D - In the coffee shop in the motel?
- M - In the motel, yes, and I talked to one gentleman for a little short time. I asked him if he has seen lately any geologists working around here. Well, he says they always do and it was very few words.
- D - Was this the man from the motel, do you know? Or was it a visitor?
- M - Well, he was behind the counter so he must be the man from the motel.
- D - I see, and then following that?
- M - Following that I went to bed.
- D - What time would you have gone to bed?
- M - Well, I still checked my equipment that I have and then I went to bed.
- D - Did you have anything to drink at all that night?
- M - No, no, I didn't.
- D - No alcoholic beverages at all?
- M - No.
- D - All right, what time do you think it would be that you got to bed then?
- M - I went to bed, it was about 10:30 something like that.
- D - I see. Did you get up on your own the next morning or did they call you or what?
- M - I get up on my own. It was exactly 5:30 when I got up.
- D - And what did you do from that point?
- M - Well, I have a little lunch in the hotel room.
- D - That you brought with you?
- M - Yes, then I left the hotel. I left the key on the desk and I went to the bush.
- D - Where did you go from the hotel. Did you walk up the highway or did you go straight in the bush?

RE  
OBJET.Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

4

M - No, I walk and the farthest I go is up to that booth where they are checking cars and then I turn north.

D - North off the highway?

M - Yes, off the highway.

D - All right tell us just what happened. Nothing happened at all, I gather on the way in, eh?

M - Nothing happened. I checked a few quartz veins there which I spotted and do a little opening of moss and so on.

D - The area is familiar to you Steve, you've been in there before?

M - I was last year in a little bit different place, same area, yes, the same general area. I was last year there.

D - But not since last year, is this your first time down there this year?

M - Yes, because the weather wasn't fit. The weather wasn't good at all so now the weather fit so I thought I would go and check. I am going to stick there. I can show my book, my geological book, the geology of Manitoba, exactly where I was going. This is one I took, I can only show you for your own knowledge, where I am going.

D - Okay, fine. Now after you started in you kept going to the spot you had in mind, eh?

M - I didn't even waste time. I just reached the spot I was going.

D - You told me yesterday about running into a family of geese in there. Just what happened?

M - Well, when they see you first they start making noise. The female she starts making noise. Then the gander comes too, and they look at you. They are very suspicious and they look at you. But after they get quiet. I find some veins there, coarse veins and I start.

D - You were working quite close to where they were, eh?

M - Yes, well, I say 150 - 200 feet. There is a bank of rock, like a small ? and it was of my interest.

D - On your way in you would have been sort of working your way in, looking at rocks and so on along the way going in.

M - Yes, you does this because you contemplate on what you're looking for.

D - So you worked in the area where these geese were for awhile, eh?

RE:  
OBJET:Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

5

M - Yes.

D - So what happened after that?

M - After that, the geese they quiet down. They get used to you. They always does. Well, then I start measuring the vein I found. I start stepping and counting my steps. I measure the width and the length as much as possible I can see. I open with my chipping hammer. Then at 11:00 o'clock, I look at my clock, and I have lunch. After lunch I go again on my work. The geese they are making noise then. They were running around on top the water, I see the mother goose was making a tremendous noise there. I was bending or kneeling over the ground, I lift my head and I look down to the swamp where the geese were and it hits me that a light was coming from over there.

D - In the sky.

M - Yes, but at the moment I spot one another one was following after the other, there was two. The shape is like the sketch I made, exactly like what was in the air. You can't see the lines because they fit in position and the colours, the blue sky and the mix with red. So they whirl around and quit.

D - Shut down?

M - It didn't make any noise at all.

D - While it was travelling through the air it didn't make any noise at all?

M - No noise at all. It landed and the other one stays about 10 to 12 feet above the ground.

D - Was it making a noise?

M - No noise at all.

D - What direction did these things come from?

M - They come from exactly, I'm positive, 255 degrees south, south, west.

D - To where you were standing?

M - That's right. So I took my map, the moment it took off, the last one, the moment the last one took off, so I see that it went in the same direction that it came from. So I set right away the map, I look into the map, I set the compass onto the map and I took the correct reading.

D - And it was 255 degrees.

M - Declination.

RE  
OBJET.Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

6

D - Declination, I see. Okay, what was the weather like Steve, at the time.

M - The sky was partially cloudy.

D - How high would the clouds be?

M - The clouds were pretty high.

D - A few thousand feet anyway?

M - Yes, a few thousand feet. Its hard to say.

D - What would you say your visibility was? Good?

M - Oh, visibility was good.

D - You could see a long way.

M - Oh yes, you could see along way.

D - Was it windy?

M - No. Not much.

D - Was there any sign of any electrical storms in the area?

M - No.

D - Lightening or anything like that?

M - No.

D - Had it rained?

M - It rained about three or four hours after.

D - But before, no indication of rain at all, eh?

M - No, no rain at all.

D - Now what about your own eyesight Steve?

M - My eyesight, its very good.

D - Its very good, eh? Do you wear glasses at all?

M - Do I wear glasses, I wear glasses for a close, particularly small job. I am wearing glasses at work.

D - When you're chipping at rock, you don't wear glasses for that eh?

M - In that case I just use goggles.

...7

RE  
OBJET

PAGE

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

7

- D - These goggles you had on, What are they like? Could you describe them for me?
- M - They are square type of square goggles which fits over your prescription glasses. You can wear over prescription glasses. There is one clear lens and one number six cutting, eh., colouring.
- D - Number 6 colouring?
- M - Yes.
- D - When you were working when you first saw this light in the sky what lens were you looking through?
- M - Through the clear glass.
- D - And was the light quite bright?
- M - Very bright.
- D - Did you have to use the coloured glass to look at it?
- M - No, it wasn't as much bright, but when it stops, the violet light which was coming from inside and blinking inside, I couldn't. I should say the light from inside was very light, almost white, white purple sharp just about like off hot water. It was hard on my eyes so I flip over the dark glasses.
- D - All right, now getting back to these things approaching you from the south, south, west. What happened after you first saw them?
- M - Well, I wasn't afraid, I know this, but it was a surprize, it catch you off for a moment, like you know, "Well, I'll be damned".
- D - Yes, amazement.
- M - Amazement, yes. I don't know for how long, but I think I never moved. I know that I didn't move. I was kneeling and I saw the thing and the other one took off. I know that my eyes were going from one to another. So after the other one took off I concentrated on this one.
- D - They approached you and one of them landed, eh?
- M - Yes, just one of them.
- D - And how far away would it have landed?
- M - It landed about 100, I can say about 100 feet.
- D - What can ... What kind of terrain did it land on?

... 8

RE  
OBJET.Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

8

- M - Rock of the pre-cambrian shield.
- D - Was there any near-by trees or grass or anything like that, or was it just bare rock?
- M - No, it's bare rock, there was some little brush. It was kind of a clear spot. An open little place, yes. Naturally there's a little on the rock, old vegetation, leaves, you know, still wet.
- D - What about the colour, the one that remained in the air, and hovered about 10 feet off the ground, what colour would it have been?
- M - That one was the same colour, red, grey, red and then when it was vanishing it was turning slowly, orange, and then brighter orange and then grey-orange as it was going in the distance, and turns grey and flicks one more orange and then disappears.
- D - Was it revolving when it was hovering off the ground?
- M - You can't see if it is revolving or not. You can feel probably by your inner feeling telling you that it is revolving but you can't see it. No you can't see it.
- D - And the one that never did land it remained for how long, hovering above the ground?
- M - That was, well it is hard to say, a short time anyway.
- D - Would you estimate the time?
- M - A short time, two or three minutes. That was the most.
- D - And what did it do then?
- M - It took off.
- D - In what direction?
- M - The same direction as it had come from originally.
- D - Did it fly fast when it left?
- M - Very fast.
- D - Would you be able to estimate the speed?
- M - Well, I have ideas of revolutions of motors but nothing travels so fast as this. We don't use in our normal technology so fast as it was going.
- D - The height and so on?
- M - Yes, the length of this thing was about 35-40 feet in diameter from the centre edge to the edge.

... 9

RE: OBJET:	Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67	PAGE 9
---------------	--	-----------

D - And the height?

M - The height, I put three lines in making my sketch so I filled the straight lines only, like this one from this height was four feet approximately four feet and 2 to 3 so it was approximately 11 feet.

D - Altogether?

M - Altogether. With a dome on top.

D - Now after the machine settled down, What did it look like after it was sitting?

M - Well, when the machine sits you can see the contours all very clear because it is not rotating anymore and as I make the sketch here it looks like, what should I say, it looks like nothing.

D - When it first settled down on the ground it was a bright red, like hot metal and then it gradually turned ... what?

M - Yes. It gradually turned to the grey, steel grey, silverish colour.

D - Like metal cooling off?

M - Yes, right, metal colour. It hasn't got the breakdown of the blue colours like zinc or antimony or any other alloy. It is more of a brass yellow, pale brass yellow colour which is similar to the same as stainless steels and it was so smooth finish that it was amazing me.

D - I see. I ... how long did it sit there before you approached it?

M - Well, much more than half an hour.

D - It was just the last few minutes that it was there that you approached it.

M - It was the last few minutes that I approached it and I started talking to it.

D - And it sat there altogether for what?

M - A good 45 minutes. It was a long 45 minutes.

D - How long did it sit on the ground before the hatch opened?

M - About 10, I can't say, oh, about 10 minutes.

D - Did you hear any noise from the machine as it was settling down onto the ground?

M - Yes, it was a whirling noise, or running small motor or .. like fast running, whistling, whirling sound and then I feel a suction of air.

RE.  
OBJET.Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

10

D - Like an air suction?

M - Air suction.

D - After it was on the ground?

M - Yes, after it was on the ground.

D - And what kind of noise did it make apart from the air suction could you hear any motors running from inside or anything like that?

M - No. I didn't hear nothing beside this.

D - And after the hatch opened.

M - The hatch opened I saw the light.

D - Describe this light for me.

M - The light was a column, bright light. It was so bright and was many, many violet colours, different shades involved in it where you see the streaks on it, lines on the beam and heart shaped, rounded corners you can see holes just like on a photo, reflected on the ground.

D - The bright violet light was patterned on the ground?

M - It looks to me like it was coming from the top about 45 degrees from underneath the top of that dome.

D - From what you saw from inside the machine what about the walls how thick would the walls be?

M - It was at least 18-20 to 22 inches thick with the ribs inside like at first you have the imagination of grate .. of grates something like grates.

D - In the walls, the ribs in the walls?

M - Yes.

D - The walls were 20 to 22 inches thick you feel, eh?

M - Approximately, yes.

D - And then when you approached this machine, just what happened? You gradually approached it and you spoke, eh?

M - When I started going I hear a noise. There was positive human being talking in there.

D - Men talking?

... 11

RE  
OBJET.Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

11

M - Men.

D - But a language you couldn't understand?

M - No, No. But the first idea which ever comes to me was Americans. So I say well, if you are Yankee boys, you come out and don't be afraid. I say I don't sell your secret for a lousy green buck, if you need help just come out. Then the voice stopped. Completely.

D - How many voices, any idea?

M - Well, I think it was maybe two, three times exchanging short conversation. And that was it. They never say a word after.

D - And after you spoke to them in English what did you speak to them in?

M - Then I say in German and Italian.

D - What did you say in German?

M - In German I say, "Sprechen sie Deutsch?"

D - And in Italian?

M - ..... (Said several words in Italian) Comprende en Francais? Non savey. Then I said ... (said several words in Polish and Ukrainian).

D - This is Polish and Ukrainian, eh?

M - That's Polish, Ukrainian and Russian.

D - How many different languages are you familiar with Steve?

M - Five, six. I can manage. I can get along.

D - And then after you spoke in these different languages, how long would it be before the hatch began to close?

M - I think that I didn't even finish my questions and the hatch closed.

D - Did the hatch close quickly?

M - The hatch closed quickly and that was the only time I saw it was closed. I didn't saw how it was opened.

D - How would you describe it closing?

M - The closing was a one plate moving horizontally and another one horizontally in the other direction and the third one was pushed out.

... 12

RE  
OBJET.Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

12

- D - To form the outer shell, is that right?
- M - To form like this, yes that what it means.
- D - And you weren't able to to see a seam, it was a very well-fitting door when it closed?
- M - I couldn't tell you. It was very well-fitted. I didn't pay attention at the moment because
- D - As you got near it you could feel the heat radiating from it?
- M - Oh yes, the moment I was stepping in it was not only I could feel it I can see the heat. The blossom on the colours on that metal tells me that the thing was hot so then I feel any step I come closer I can feel hotter and hotter.
- D - After the door closed, did you hear engines start or anything like that?
- M - No, just start turning red and started rotating to the counter-clockwise and
- D - Started turning red before it started to rotate?
- M - Increased the whirling and then when it blows I didn't hear nothing anymore.
- D - The heat that you got burned with came out a grill work on the side, eh?
- M - Yes, it was on the side.
- D - Were you standing near this grill work?
- M - It was about 6 to 10 feet away from the openings, maybe more from the hatch.
- D - Oh, the grill work was? And how far would you be standing from the grill work on the side of the machine?
- M - Oh, from that blower I say the length of my hand.
- D - You reached out and touched right beside the grill work, eh?
- M - Yes, that's right.
- D - And as the machine started to leave the ground, this was when you got burned, was it?
- M - That's right, that very moment.
- D - And the blast of heat spun you around?

RE  
OBJET.

PAGE

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

13

M - That very moment, and it got my shirt.

D - Yes.

M - So I ripped my shirt off, one and another one and then I turn my head it was gone already away. It was going.

D - At a fantastic speed again?

M - Oh yes. It was very, very high speed.

D - You've seen jet aircraft flying, would it be flying alot faster than a jet aircraft?

M - Oh yes. Jet aircraft makes about 100 times more noise than this one.

D - And what about the speed?

M - And the speed, you can't compare. You can't compare the speed. You might say 3 times, maybe four times maybe five times faster than jet. Its pretty hard to say.

D - And the colour of it as it was leaving you?

M - The colour grandually was becoming to be a real red. Increasing systematically and the farther it was the thing start changing to more orange and then the orange start vanishing and then comes to the clouds. I think comes close to the clouds when I see the very, very, little orange flick and that's all I see.

D - Was it gone into the clouds, into the cloud layer?

M - Yes. It dissappear. I couldn't see. Shortly after I remember I start thinking, I say if this thing is an aircraft then its going to fall to the pieces. And it wasn't maybe two three minutes that there was aircraft passing. There's quite a bit of traffic there. Above. Well, I don't know if any of them indicate something, maybe not.

D - I wanted to ask you about the power lines. Therewere power lines in the area.

M - There are power lines about 200 to 250 feet from the highway #1 north, north of highway.

D - And how far would you be from the power lines when you saw these things?

M - Well from the power lines I was approximately 2 miles. Approximately, not quite maybe. Its really hard to say.

MAR 13 90 \* 13 12

RE  
OBJET.Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

14

- D - You touched this machine with your gloved hand. Its the mate to this yellow plastic glove that you have here, right?
- M - Yes.
- D - And did it melt the plastic on the glove?
- M - Well, it didn't melt completely, it starts, it starts melting but I know it was slippery right away. And so I take off my hand.
- D - Tell me about how this thing left the ground, did it just lift straight off the ground or did it tip on one edge?
- M - It tips a little bit because I know I was already half naked cause I made a turn. I don't know because I'm not a weak man either and when I got up that shirt was just ripped off completely. And it tips a little bit.
- D - And it climbed at a bit of an angle?
- M - A little bit on angle so I can't see the top about 1 foot or two, its hard to say. A little, you know, silver from the top I say. And then, no more.
- D - Did it climb at that angle for awhile?
- M - It just kept the way it was standing. The way it was standing it just went up there. It tip little bit and up she goes.
- D - After you tore your shirt off, you outer shirt, you left that on the ground there, it was pretty well burnt up, eh?
- M - Oh yes, I didn't care at all for my top shirt because there was no fire on the forest, it was not dangerous. I didn't care.
- D - And your undershirt, you took it off and put it out and took it back with you.
- M - Well, I didn't intend but after I sore up then I pick it up. I just tramp it and leave it there. After I distinguish the fire I was for a little while cruising around, you know, walking around if I was toring my shirt off. I was thinking that some pieces going to fall on the sides, you know and even later I .. so I wait and I look at that spot there around and I measured my steps approximate length you know that I imagine the lines are how I could see them and then I check around for fire.
- D - You were worried about starting a bush fire.
- M - I saw a bush fire in my life so I know what its like.
- D - Did you see any marks on the ground where the machine had set down at all?

... 15

MAR 13 90\*

11

RE  
OBJET.

PAGE

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcor Beach, Man. 20 MAN 67

15

- M - Nothing except blown out vegetation and a type of circle about 10, oh, about 10 feet.
- D - Is there anything else that you left at the spot there?
- M - I forgot my measuring tape, I think its 8 foot, about 6 feet or 8 feet, 6 feet I think.
- D - What about a saw, you told me something about a saw.
- M - That saw is not on the place but that saw I find before on the way in the bush.
- D - Somebody had left the saw in the bush.
- M - Yes. Somehow on the way, yes, in the bush. It is a two way saw, on one side is a rip saw, a crosscut and on the other side its a swede saw. Its kind of an old timer's, you know, a wooden handle and a straight blade. So that saw would be probably be there again. If I missed it, if I missed a degree or something we can ...
- D - How faraway would the saw be from the spot?
- M - That saw is about half way out.
- D - I see, half way out to the highway.
- M - Half way by the culvert, I put on the stone, on a rock there.
- D - How long did you stay at the spot after the machine left?
- M - After the machine I stay maybe at the most 10 minutes.
- D - Then you started for the highway?
- M - Then I started feeling bad so I vomit there.
- D - You say you felt sick then, eh?
- M - So I start heeling it to the highway, I say its the only chance I have.
- D - Did you vomit there? You felt sick right there, eh?
- M - Yes, Yes.
- D - And how long would it have taken you to get back out to the highway Steve?
- M - Oh, it takes me, I don't know. I was going very fast, if

... 16

MAR 13 90\*

10

RE  
OBJET.Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

16

M - I was going faster than before.

D - Did you feel sick quite shortly after the machine left?

M - It didn't .. yes, I feel a little bit. Not much but then it gradually started increasing and increasing. I start vomiting, whether there was any more in me to vomit. Before I reach the highway I stopped there, you know, I was vomiting for maybe two minutes and then I went out. I was empty. And the smell from inside my body was coming smell of burning, that smell of the motors, the wires, the cables, burning like a wire or electric motor when it burns, the smell.

D - This is the taste you had in your mouth?

M - The taste and the smell I had.

D - I see. How have you been feeling since this happened?

M - Since this happened I don't feel very good.

D - Have you not been able to eat anything much?

M - I didn't eat, I just try today. I call and doctor order I ate today. This is my first toast and a glass of milk.

D - And has your head been aching?

M - Head is still aching.

D - Still aching a little bit?

M - Still have blackout today.

D - You did, eh?

M - In the car.

D - How much weight have you lost?

M - I average losing about 5 pounds a day. I lost fifteen pounds already.

D - This is the fourth day since you had this experience?

M - Yes.

D - Steve, I wanted to ask you about this smell. Is there any smell in the area?

M - It smells like you enter a big, large room where there is nothing but electrical equipment running and you can feel it

... 17

RE:  
OBJET.Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying  
Object - Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

17

D - Yes, with all that electrical equipment running.

M - That's right.

D - Did this smell remain after the machine had left, or would you know?

M - Well, I can't tell you. It still smell a little bit.

MAR 13 90\*

8

ACCESS SECTION / SECTION DE L'ACCES

DOCUMENT REMOVED FROM FILE / DOCUMENT RETIRE DU DOSSIER

RG 18 Volume 3779 File/ HQ-400-Q-5 pt. 1  
Dossier

Nature of document Report of Unidentified Flying Object  
Description du document  
(Enquiries on Michalak)

No. of Pages/ 1 Date 7 June 67  
Nbre de pages

Exempt/Exception, 19(1)  
Access To Information Act/  
Reason for Removal/ Loi sur l'accès à l'information  
Retrait en vertu de

Review Officer/ Margaret Dumault  
Agent(e) d'examen

"D" Division

67D 700-130

P.O. Box 922,  
Winnipeg, Manitoba,  
June 1, 1967.

The Commanding Officer Canadian Forces Base,  
Westwin, Manitoba.

Attention: Squadron Leader Bissky

Dear Sir:

Re: Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified  
Flying Object - Falcon Beach, Man.- 20 May 67  
-----

As requested by your Squadron Leader Bissky, a copy of our investigation report dated 26 May 67 is being forwarded for your information. There are further enquiries to be made on this matter.

2. Your co-operation herein is greatly appreciated.

Yours truly,

G.H. MILLER, Supt.,  
Officer i/c C.I.B.

HAS/om

MAR 13 90\*

6

ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE - GENDARMERIE ROYALE DU CANADA

RCMP  
GRC 6880

C 237  
REV. 1-4-66

OTHER FILE REFERENCES: REF. AUTRES DOSSIERS:	DIVISION	DATE	RCMP FILE REFERENCES: REF. DOSSIERS GRC:
	"D"	26 MAY 67	
	SUB-DIVISION - SOUS-DIVISION		
	H.Q.		67D 700-130
	DETACHMENT - DETACHEMENT		67-700-8
	Winnipeg G.I.S.		

RE:  
OBJET:

Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying Object  
Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

CARDED - 9

1. On Tuesday morning, 23 MAY 67, Insp. R.J. ROSS, Asst. C.I.B. Officer instructed that we make enquiries to attempt to determine the authenticity of a report given by MICHALAK regarding his sighting two unidentified flying objects near Falcon Beach, Man. He claimed to have seen these objects on Saturday, 20 MAY 67 while prospecting in an area north of Falcon Beach. Falcon Beach Det. had advised the Duty N.C.O. over the weekend of 20/22 MAY 67 that they had received a report from MICHALAK advising of his sighting the unidentified flying objects and the first member MICHALAK approached was Cst. SOLOTKI of Falcon Beach Highway Patrol. Prior to speaking with MICHALAK I telephoned Cst. SOLOTKI to get his version of his encounter with MICHALAK. He said that MICHALAK had flagged him down on the highway about 3 p.m. on Saturday afternoon. This was on Trans-Canada Highway No. 1, about 1/2 mile west of the Falcon Beach entrance. MICHALAK seemed excited and told SOLOTKI he had seen two space ships and that they glowed red and were rotating when he had seen them. He said that he had touched one and got burned. He said he had burned his hat and shirt and when asked by SOLOTKI to show him the shirt he refused saying he didn't want any publicity. SOLOTKI felt that MICHALAK appeared as though he had been on a drunk and was suffering from a hangover. His eyes were red and he acted in a very irrational manner. Anytime SOLOTKI attempted to get near him MICHALAK backed away and would seem unco-operative. He claimed to be in the area prospecting but he had no camping equipment with him only a briefcase similar to the issue Government briefcases. He had no vehicle with him and stated that he came out by bus on Friday and subsequently after speaking to SOLOTKI he returned to Winnipeg by bus late Saturday evening. He told SOLOTKI that he had his burned shirt in his briefcase but he would not show it to Cst. SOLOTKI. He said that he saw the two space ships about 2 miles north of the Trans-Canada Highway but he would not show where he had seen them, again saying that he didn't want any publicity. Cst. SOLOTKI said that he did show him the marks on his chest and they looked like marks that were caused by rubbing ash onto the skin. SOLOTKI said he could see a burn on the back of the man's hat but could not see any mark on his head.

2. Cst. ZACHARIAS and I visited MICHALAK's residence at 314 Lindsay Street, Winnipeg 9, Man. We arrived at his residence at about 10:30 a.m. on Tuesday, 23 MAY 67, and found the subject home and his wife and his 19 year old son, Mark, were both present at the time we spoke to him. Also present when we arrived was an individual by the name of J.B. THOMPSON, of the APRO ORGANIZATION. I have since learned that this represents an Aerial Phenomena Research Organization which apparently is a private organization interested in enquiries into unidentified flying object sightings. Mr. THOMPSON remained a brief time after we arrived and then prior to our interviewing Mr. MICHALAK he departed.

MAR 13 90\*

1

RE:  
OBJET.Stefan MICHALAK - Report of Unidentified Flying Object  
Falcon Beach, Man. 20 MAY 67

PAGE

2

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

3. MICHALAK was born in [REDACTED], his birthdate is [REDACTED]. He came to Canada in 1949 after serving some period of time with the U.S. Army in the German occupation. He has been employed for the past six years with the Inland Cement Co., first in Regina, Sask., and transferred with the company to Winnipeg about 2 years ago. He is an industrial mechanic with Inland Cement and enquiries at Inland Cement subsequently have revealed that he is a good and trusted employee. We have made enquiries at the Selkirk Mental Hospital, Brandon Mental Hospital and the Winnipeg Psychiatric Institute to determine whether this subject has any history of mental illness or disorders, and all these enquiries were negative. We have also spoken to persons that he associated with on the Friday before this incident and persons that he associated with in his line of work over the last few years and all consider him mentally stable. None of the people we have interviewed had noted any indication of a pending mental disturbance immediately prior to his going on the prospecting trip last Friday afternoon. During the course of conversation with MICHALAK, in the last three days he told us that the last person he spoke to before going into the bush on his prospecting trip was an employee at the Falcon Motel at Falcon Beach. He said that he had spoken to this person at about 10:30 or 11:00 o'clock on Friday evening while having a cup of coffee in the Falcon Motel Coffee Shop. I have arranged with members of Falcon Beach Detachment to locate and interview this person to further check on MICHALAK's mental condition at that time.

4. We spoke to him for about 2 hours on Tuesday morning and from the outset it was obvious that he was very uncomfortable physically. He said that since he had had this experience at Falcon Beach on Saturday he had been unable to eat anything and anything he tried to eat had made him sick. He claimed to have lost about 13 pounds in the three day interval and also said that his head had ached severely ever since he had come out of the bush at Falcon Beach. He further said that he had a taste in his mouth, a taste that seemed to go through his entire system and the taste was like burned wiring or insulation and this was bothering him a great deal. After arriving back in Winnipeg on Saturday night by bus he had been taken by his son to the Misericordia Hospital Emergency Dept. and had the burns on his abdomen treated. He said that he told the intern that looked after him that the burns had been caused by an aircraft exhaust because he felt that if he told them what really happened he would not be believed and they would think that he had lost his mind. He was not admitted to the hospital, he was treated in the out-patient Emergency Dept. and released. Since the treatment at Misericordia he has been attended by Dr. R. Douglas OATWAY. Dr. OATWAY has an office at Corydon and Lanark in Winnipeg and is the family doctor for the MICHALAK family. Dr. OATWAY has examined him thoroughly and the reason being to try and find out why he has lost his appetite and why he is not able to hold any food that he has tried to take. He has given him medication for his headache and sedatives to try and get him calmed down. MICHALAK showed us the burns on his abdomen and chest and there is a large burn that covers an area approximately 1 foot in diameter. The burn when we saw it on Tuesday was blotchy and with unburned areas inside the burned perimeter area. There was no indication of blistering.

RE  
OBJET.

Stefan MICHALAK

PAGE

3

It resembled an exceptionally severe sunburn in the one spot. MICHALAK was very uncomfortable while we were speaking to him and reminded me of a person that had come out of an epileptic fit. He seemed somewhat bewildered by everything and was not alert. He moved very carefully any time he moved his body and when I asked him about this he said that his head was aching so badly that it pained him to move.

5. We asked him about his encounter at Falcon Beach with our Highway Patrol member and he said he had met our member on the highway immediately after coming out of the bush, he pretty well corroborated the version of what had happened as given by our member. His explanation for not wanting to show our member his shirt was that he was afraid that he had encountered some radio-active object and he did not want to contaminate anyone else. This is the same reason he gave for not wanting anyone to come near him. The reason he gave for not wanting to tell anybody exactly where he had seen these objects in the bush was because he has, or claims to have, a good nickel strike in the area and does not want it to be common knowledge just where he has been prospecting. He told us that he had sent a sample of ore to the International Nickel Co. and it had assayed at a very high nickel content, and he had recovered this ore from the immediate area where he saw these objects. I asked him how the press got hold of the story and he said that when he didn't get any satisfaction from speaking to our member at Falcon Beach he felt he needed medical attention and he phoned the Winnipeg Tribune from Falcon Beach to see if they could do anything for him. He waited for a number of hours after that at Falcon Beach and finally came in by bus later that evening. As I have previously said, after arrival in Winnipeg he was taken by his son to the Misericordia Out-Patient Dept. He related the story of what had happened on this date, 23 MAY 67, but we did not make any recording of what he said at the time. We subsequently spoke to him on Wednesday, 24 MAY 67, and recorded approximately 2 hours of interview with him, regarding the whole incident and his actions immediately before and after the incident. He said that over the years he has seen reports in newspapers and magazines of such sightings and always scoffed at the idea and said that he felt that the person making the report was probably some kind of a crackpot. His big concern was that he now felt that other people would now think the same thing about him. We told him that we were anxious to visit the spot where he had seen these things and he said that he was most anxious to go back himself and immediately he was physically able to go he would be more than willing to go back with us. A condition was that he did not want the area to become publicized for the reasons previously stated.

6. Following our first discussion with MICHALAK on 23 MAY 67, we made arrangements to interview Dr. OATWAY and subsequently spoke to Dr. OATWAY in his office that same afternoon. He confirmed that he had examined MICHALAK and said that he couldn't find anything wrong with him mentally but MICHALAK did give him the impression that he had undergone some kind of a severe ordeal. He had made arrangements to have MICHALAK's undershirt and his burn checked by Dr. GILLIES at the Winnipeg Cancer Research Clinic to determine whether there was any radio-active material in the burn or the undershirt. This had been done by Dr. OATWAY prior to our

... page 4

RE:  
OBJET.

Stefan MICHALAK

PAGE

4

EXEMPTION EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT /  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

talking to him and had been done on his own without our suggestion. Dr. OATWAY said he had had only one previous encounter with MICHALAK and that was about a year or so before.

He said that during his only other encounter with MICHALAK he had not found any indication of any mental disorder. MICHALAK's undershirt and cap as well as the burned area on his skin have now been checked for radio-activity by the people in the Cancer Research Clinic in Winnipeg and no radio-active material was found.

7. When we first spoke to MICHALAK he produced the undershirt he had been wearing and said that it had been burned in the fire. He told us at this time that his outer shirt had been almost completely destroyed by the flash of flame that hit him from the vehicle he claims to have seen and he tore it off at the scene and left it there. He said that he threw it down on the ground after he took it off and it had started a small patch of moss on fire and he tramped this out and left the shirt at the spot. He put the fire on his undershirt out and put that in his leather briefcase and brought it back with him. Cst. ZACHARIAS and I examined the undershirt and smelled it and it smelled like burned electrical wiring or insulation to both of us. He was wearing a nylon ski-type cap at the time he encountered this object in the bush and was also wearing grinder's goggles to chip rock, to protect his eyes. He had his cap on backwards and the front part of his cap (the part facing the front which would normally be at the back) was melted in a small area, obviously from heat. He was also wearing a pair of yellow plastic gloves which have a cloth interior and are covered with plastic on the outside. Mr. THOMPSON, the APRO man, took one of these gloves, the one which was damaged on the fingers where he claims he touched the vehicle. We did not discover that THOMPSON had this glove until he departed with it and we have not recovered it from him. Mr. MICHALAK claims that two or three fingers on the glove were damaged when he touched the vehicle. His undershirt and his cap are still in the possession of the people at the Manitoba Cancer Research Clinic.

8. We spoke to MICHALAK on Tuesday, Wednesday and also Thursday of this week hoping that he would feel fit to accompany us down to the scene in the Falcon Lake area but his condition did not seem to be improving at all and up until Thursday he had still not taken any solid foods since his experience. At our request Dr. OATWAY examined him again Thursday morning to see if he felt he should make the trip down there and Dr. OATWAY decided at that time he should not go, at least not at this time. In view of this we obtained maps and aerial photographs from the Dept. of Mines and Natural Resources, Surveys Branch and had MICHALAK indicate the approximate area to us where he had seen these objects in the bush. Arrangements were made with the R.C.A.F. through the C.I.B. Officer to fly into the area with a helicopter. Cst. ZACHARIAS and I, with seven members of the R.C.A.F. left Winnipeg at 1:00 p.m. yesterday afternoon, 25 MAY 67 and flew to Falcon Lake and examined the area from the air hoping to find indication of a burn or some marking. We were not successful in finding any indication of a landing spot. We then put down on the Falcon Lake Golf Course and examined the most likely area, in the area indicated by MICHALAK, on foot. During our talk with him

MAR 13 90

1

RE. OBJET.	Stefan MICHALAK	PAGE 5
---------------	-----------------	-----------

he had told us of finding an old saw while he was travelling through the bush and placing this saw on top of a rock on his trail on the way in. We were able to find the saw and we were also able to find the shopping bag that he discarded nearby, but we were not able to find the spot he claimed these vehicles landed. We are now going to have to wait until MICHALAK can accompany us on a trip down there to find the area and we have made arrangements with Squadron-Leader BISSKY of the R.C.A.F. to notify him when MICHALAK is prepared to go. The Squadron-Leader is anxious to have his personnel examine the area to determine whether there is any radio-active material present. We were in touch with MICHALAK again this morning, 26 MAY 67 and he still is not able to eat he claims, although he is feeling somewhat better as far as his headache is concerned. I expect that by Monday he will be able to make the trip on foot and we will make another attempt to go in then.

9. Transcribed copies of the interview with MICHALAK are being attached to this report. Squadron-Leader BISSKY had requested that he be supplied with a copy of the transcription of MICHALAK's interview as well as a copy of this report and it is requested that this be attended to from Division H.Q. A further report will be submitted as soon as we have been able to examine the area accompanied by Mr. MICHALAK.

S.U.I.  
D.D. 5 JUN 67

*C.M.W.*  
(C.M.W.) S/Sgt.  
i-c Wpg. GIS

*C.J. Davis*  
Cpl.  
(C.J. Davis) 18300  
Winnipeg G.I.S.

THE C.C. WINNIPEG SUB-DIVISION

FORWARDED, 1-6-67, for your information and attention. Please have Gst. Solotki of Falcon Beach Detachment submit a report covering the full details of his encounter with Mr. MICHALAK. You will note that our G.I.S. have requested further enquiries by Falcon Beach Detachment.

DD: 19 JUNE 67

G.H. MILLER, Supt.,  
Officer i/c G.I.B.

S-2000-4 (Int S-2)  
S-2005-0050/124 (ACDS)  
HQ-400-Q-5

May 11, 1967.

CONFIDENTIAL

BY HAND

Directorate of Security,  
Canadian Forces Headquarters,  
4054 "B" Building,  
Cartier Square,  
OTTAWA 4, Ontario.

Re: Unidentified Flying Objects -  
Reporting  
-----

Attached for your information are self-explanatory  
copies of our Fort McMurray Detachment report dated 20 APR 67.

Encl.

FFY:jv

*W.F.G. Perry*  
W.F.G. Perry, Insp.,  
Assistant Officer in Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.

*12* *W.F.G. Perry*

RCMP - 6597-1

*CIBT*

A-151-1  
REV. 1-6-65

ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE  
TRANSMITTAL AND DIARY DATE REQUEST

TO  
COMMISSIONER  
R.C.M.P. OTTAWA

FROM  
COMMISSIONER  
R.C.M.P. OTTAWA

DATE
REPORT DATED <i>20 APRIL 67</i> ATTACHED
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION <input type="checkbox"/> ENCLOSURES

C.O. \_\_\_\_\_ DIV.      C.O. *K* \_\_\_\_\_ DIV.  
 O.C. \_\_\_\_\_ S/DIV.      O.C. \_\_\_\_\_ S/DIV.  
 I/C \_\_\_\_\_ DET.      I/C \_\_\_\_\_ DET.

COPIES TO:

DIARY DATE REQUEST

DIV. FILE NO. <i>67 K 400-214</i>	SUB/DIV. FILE NO.	DET. FILE NO.
--------------------------------------	-------------------	---------------

TO BE EXTENDED TO \_\_\_\_\_ FOR REASONS INDICATED

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> FINE AND COSTS PAID _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> ADJOURNED TO _____               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FURTHER ENQ. NEG.         | <input type="checkbox"/> AWAITING PAYMENT OF FINE & COSTS |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AWAITING INSTRUCTIONS     | <input type="checkbox"/> DISPOSITION OF EXHIBITS          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TRIAL DATE NOT SET        | <input type="checkbox"/> UNABLE EXECUTE WARRANT (SUMMONS) |
| OTHER REASONS:                                     | <input type="checkbox"/> REPORT OVERDUE                   |

*MAY - 4 1967*

OTHER FILE REFERENCES:	DIVISION "K" #	DATE 20 APR 67	RCMP FILE REFERENCES: 67K-400-214 67ED-400-150 67D-400-11  PCR 1st Here
	SUB-DIVISION EDMONTON		
	DETACHMENT FORT McMURRAY		

RE:

U. F. O. - Fort McMurray District  
Alberta, 12 APR 67

1. Receipt of Sub/ Division memo dated 14 APR 67 is acknowledged and statements were obtained from [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] regarding their sightings of U. F. O.'s.

2. STATEMENT OF [REDACTED], FORT McMURRAY, ALTA., PERTAINING TO U. F. O. SIGHTINGS IN THE TAR ISLAND DISTRICT OF ALBERTA TAKEN 19 APR 67.

I am [REDACTED] of Fort McMurray, Alta. I am employed by Canadian Bechtel Ltds, at Tar Island, Alta., as a [REDACTED]. I have been employed at the Tar Island project for approx. 3 years.

Approx. 10 weeks ago I was proceeding to work early in the morning from Fort McMurray to Tar Island, about 6:30 a.m. of the 7 FEB 67 as I was proceeding up supertest hill I sighted this red flashing object. It was flying north, parallel to the Athabaska River, about five miles away or thereabouts. It made a 90° turn and flew due west, perpendicular to the river. I lost it from sight as the tip of the hill obscured it from sight. It was moving at a fantastic speed and was in sight for only a few seconds. Daylight was just breaking at the time.

WIT: B. K. BOYLE, Cst.

SIGNED: [REDACTED]

3. STATEMENT OF [REDACTED], FORT McMURRAY, ALTA., PERTAINING TO U. F. O. SIGHTINGS IN THE TAR ISLAND DISTRICT OF ALBERTA TAKEN 19 APR 67.

I am [REDACTED] of Fort McMurray, Alta. I am employed by Canadian Betchel at Tar Island, Alta., as an [REDACTED]. I have been employed at the Tar Island project for the past year.

On the morning of the 7 FEB 67, while coming to work, I sighted a red and white flashing object travelling west over the road. I was just starting up supertest hill at the time. It went over the hill and out of sight.

Later as I arrived at the spool yard, (½ mile west of job site) I sighted the object again travelling south at a fantastic rate of speed. It gave the appearance of travelling much faster than a jet. It was quite a distance away and no discernable shape could be made out. [REDACTED] also saw the same object in flight as he was with me that morning.

WIT: B. K. BOYLES, Cst.

SIGNED: [REDACTED]

continued on page "TWO"

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

RE:  
OBJET.U. F. O. - Fort McMurray District  
Alta., 12 APR 67

PAGE

"TWO"

4. [REDACTED] Canadian Bechtel Security Officer, was approached concerning the credibility of the men in question. Both [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] are regarded as outstanding and capable persons and are considered very reliable. It is the opinion of the writer that both men are sincere in what they say. They occupy positions of responsibility with the Canadian Bechtel Company and are considered to be level headed, and not prone to exaggeration.

5. Another [REDACTED], [REDACTED], of the Tar Island project and a few unknown pipefitters, made a daylight sighting on the morning of the 8 FEB 67. [REDACTED] now works for Bechtel India Ltd., and his address is Post Bag 10116, Bombay, India. His description was a saucer shaped object of a bright reflective metal like stainless steel. The UFO was across the river from the project at approx. 2,000 feet and banked back and forth as it proceeded south along the river and out of sight. It moved at a slow rate of speed and made no sound.

6. STATEMENT OF [REDACTED] OF FORT MCMURRAY, ALTA., PERTAINING TO U.F.O. SIGHTINGS IN THE FORT MCMURRAY DISTRICT TAKEN 19 APR 67. D.B. 21-12-36

I was at home in my trailer by the Riviera Hotel in Fort McMurray. At approx. 12:10 a.m., 10 APR 67, my mother, [REDACTED] happened to look out the window and noticed a red moving light in the sky. She called me and my brother [REDACTED], 15 years, to come and have a look at the object. I went and got my binoculars (powerful) and had a look at this object in the sky. I noticed a red moving object, round shape, heading in an easterly direction in the sky. It was moving quite slowly and then suddenly turned upward 45° angle and took off out of sight. The three of us watched this object for approx. 10 minutes before it disappeared. The object appeared in the south sky. It was a clear night and several stars were visible. I noticed the northern lights and a falling star a few minutes after the red object disappeared.

At approx. 9:30 p.m., 12 APR 67, I happened to look out of my trailer window and I again noticed a strange object in the sky. I called my mother, sister and two brothers to have a look. My mother called [REDACTED] and his wife and kids and nephew [REDACTED] to come and have a look. This object was shaped like an inverted ball. The object was first a dark red colour then pink then light blue then a dark green then light green then yellow. The colours kept changing in these sequences every minute or so. Two of our neighbours also saw the same thing. I estimate its size as 25' in diameter. I was looking at it through my binoculars and we all saw the same thing, as the other people present also had a look through my binoculars. When I first noticed it, it was in a stationary position and then it started to waver when it started changing colours. It seemed to be rotating. The object then moved up and then down, then sideways from right to left. We observed this object for approx. fifteen minutes. It was clear that night with many stars in the sky. The object appeared in the southwest just above the trees. Suddenly the object disappeared. We heard no noise from this object.

As the object began to move upwards I noticed that the object was hollow inside. It was like a rotating light which kept changing colours.

WIT: D. A. BRADLEY, Cst.

SIGNED: [REDACTED]

continued on page "THREE"

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATIONEXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATIONEXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

RE  
OBJET.

PAGE

U. F. O. - Fort McMurray District  
Alta., 12 APR 67

"THREE"

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 1911  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

7. There were seven adults present at the sighting of the UFO on the 12 APR 67. A list of the persons and their occupations follow: [REDACTED] for N.A.D.P.; Mrs. [REDACTED], Sr., Housewife; [REDACTED], [REDACTED] of Athabaska Building Supplies; Mrs. [REDACTED], Housewife; [REDACTED] at Athabaska Building Supplies; Mr. [REDACTED] for Monarch Trucking; Mrs. [REDACTED] Housewife; and several children. The above persons were contacted and all report the same findings as [REDACTED] statement.

8. The first sighting by [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] was in township 92, range 10, west of 4th meridian. The second sighting by [REDACTED] was at the Tar Island Project. The sighting by the Fort McMurray residents was in the Town of Fort McMurray proper.

9. Extra copies attached.

## CONCLUDED HERE:

(J. H. ALLEN) Sgt.  
i/c Fort McMurray Detachment

*J.K. Boyle* 2/Cst.  
(E. K. BOYLE) 24285  
Fort McMurray Detachment

C.O. "K" DIVISION

FORWARDED 25 Apr 67 having reference your memorandum of 14 Apr 67. The requested statements have been obtained.

CONCLUDED.

*H.C. Forbes*  
(H. C. FORBES) Supt.,  
Comdg. Edmonton Sub-Division.

PROPERTY OF R.C.M.P. - THIS POLICE REPORT  
IS SUPPLIED TO YOU BY THE R.C.M. POLICE FOR  
INFORMATION OF YOUR DEPARTMENT ONLY. IT  
IS NOT TO BE MADE KNOWN TO ANY OTHER  
AGENCY OR PERSON WITHOUT THE WRITTEN  
PERMISSION OF THE R.C.M.P.

HQ-400-2-5

February 9, 1967.

CONFIDENTIAL

Dr. I. Halliday,  
Stellar Physics Division,  
Dominion Observatories Branch,  
3 Observatory Crescent,  
Department of Energy, Mines and Resources,  
OTTAWA, Ontario.

Re: Meteor Observation -  
St. Paul, Alta.

---

Further to my letter of 8 February 1967 additional information has been received regarding this meteor. On the 7 February 1967 the following telex was received from our Edmonton Sub-Division:

ADDITIONAL INFO METEORITE SPOTTED  
9 MI EAST 1/2 N CLYDE ALTA WHERE  
APPARENTLY HIT TREES AND MADE  
NOISE REGIONAL REP NRC EDMONTON  
NOTIFIED.

W.F.G. Perry, Insp.,  
Assistant Officer in Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.

JFE:dlb

*J* *529*

## MESSAGE FORM

TIME OF RECEIPT	FOR CONCENTRE USE ONLY	TIME OF DESPATCH
	SERIAL NUMBER      PREFIX	

Precedence for Action Addresses ROUTINE	Precedence for Information Addresses	Date 8-2-67	Security Classification UNCLASSIFIED
--	--------------------------------------	----------------	---

FROM COMMR OTT

TO CANFORCED ATTENTION C.F.C.C. D.OPS

INFO

Originator's  
Reference  
Number CIB 222 17

METEORITE SIGHTED 18.58 5 FEB 67 9 MILES EAST  $\frac{1}{2}$  NORTH  
CLYDE ALTA. LONG BLUISH TAIL LIT UP COUNTRYSIDE HIT  
TREES AND MADE NOISE. REGIONAL REP. NRC. ED. NOTIFIED.

File Number HQ-400-Q-5	Branch, Section, Etc. CIB GEN'L	Drafter's Name J.F. Entwistle	Room No. H-228	Tel. No. 2-0867
---------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------

Releasing Officer's Signature ..... Time Released .....

RCMP OTT

48 PRIORITY DEFERRED EDM FEB7 UNCLAS

PRIORITY  
*Liaison*

COMMR OTT

FEB 7 7 11 PM '67


INFO K DIV EDM

TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
*rec 8:25 AM  
edm*

ESD184 FURTHER TO ESD170 [ADDITIONAL INFO METEORITE SPOTTED 9 MI  
EAST 1/2 N CLYDE ALTA WHERE APPARENTLY HIT TREES AND MADE NOISE  
REGIONAL REP NRC EDMONTON NOTIFIED] PSE ADV CANAIRHED OTTAWA

EDMONTON S/DIV

CA

*P/7:10 PM  
7 Feb 67  
Duty Officer advised  
CIB stand to  
advise*  


RCMP OTT

HQ-400-Q-5

February 8, 1967.

CONFIDENTIAL

Dr. I. Halliday,  
Stellar Physics Division,  
Dominion Observatories Branch,  
3 Observatory Crescent,  
Department of Energy, Mines and Resources,  
OTTAWA, Ontario.

Re: Meteor Observation -  
St. Paul, Alberta

---

Further to our telephone call, 7 February 1967, the following is the text of the telex received from our Edmonton Sub-Division:

METEORITE SIGHTED 8 MILES NORTH  
ST PAUL ALTA 6.58 PM 5 FEB 67  
LONG BLuish TAIL NO NOISE LIT  
UP COUNTRYSIDE REGINAL REPRESENTATIVE NRC EDMONTON ALTA ADVISED

W.P.G. Perry, Insp.,  
Assistant Officer in Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.

JFE:dlb

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten initials]*

S-2000-4 (Int S-2)  
S-2005-0050/124 (ACDS)

HQ-400-Q-5

February 8, 1967.

CONFIDENTIAL

BY HAND

Directorate of Security,  
Canadian Forces Headquarters,  
4054 "B" Building,  
Cartier Square,  
OTTAWA 4, Ontario.

Re: Unidentified Flying Objects -  
Reporting

---

Attached for your information are self-explanatory copies of our Inuvik Detachment report dated 26 January, 1967.

2. The atmospheric conditions at the time of this sighting were as follows: sky, mostly clear with stars in view; moon, 50% full; temperature, minus 29°; trace of ice fog near ground level.

W.F.C. Perry, Insp.,  
Assistant Officer in Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.

encl.  
JFE:dlb

*JF*

# PRIORITY

~~SECRET~~  
*Liaison*

T  
RCMP OTT

19 PRIORITY DEFERRED EDM FEB 6 UNCLAS

FEB 6 4 21 PM '67

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

COMMR OTT

*rec 8:30 AM  
ldw*

INFO K DIV EDM

ESD170 [METEORITE SIGHTED 8 MILES NORTH ST PAUL ALTA 6.58 PM  
5 FEB 67 LONG BLUISH TAIL NO NOISE LIT UP COUNTRYSIDE REGINAL  
REPRESENTATIVE NRC EDMONTON ADVISED] MAY CANAIRHED OTTAWA BE ADVISED  
EDMONTON S/DIV

*46474  
694  
H9055*

*P/5:00 PM  
6-2-67  
S/Sgt. HORSMAN  
Notified  
[Signature]*

RCMP OTT

T

0107

--4--

"G" DIV. FILE: 67G 700-21

Re: Unidentified Flying Objects  
Inuvik Area, N.W.T. - 20 JAN 67

THE COMMISSIONER, OTTAWA.

Forwarded for your information is Inuvik Detachment report dated 26 JAN 67 and the forwarding minute of the Officer Commanding our Western Arctic Sub/Division for whatever action you deem necessary.

CONCLUDED HERE.

OTTAWA, ONT.,  
3 FEB 67.

*A. Huget*  
A. Huget, Supt.,  
Officer In Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.

Re: Unidentified Flying Objects -  
Inuvik Area, NWT - 20 JAN 67.

CO "G"

FORWARDED 31 JAN 67. The light described in paragraph 3 flashed only one colour at a time, the colours changing consecutively as indicated.

2. I have no doubt that 2/Cst. FORD saw what he describes. I accompanied him on his patrolling of Inuvik from 1:00 a.m. to about 4:00 a.m. on 21 JAN 67, and we visited the places from which he made his observations. The weather was clear and apparently similar to conditions existing 24 hours earlier. I saw nothing that I feel could be attributed to what 2/Cst. FORD saw the previous morning. There were only two visible stars anywhere near the horizon in the area of 2/Cst. FORD's sighting, and both were considerably higher than the light he saw. He said the same two stars were there the previous morning and were not connected in any way with the flashing lights he saw.

3. Csts. ST-JEAN and KAMINSKI showed little confidence in 2/Cst. FORD when they limited their observations to looking out a window in the general direction he indicated. They saw a star which they attributed to the cause of 2/Cst. FORD's interest, but buildings prevented them from seeing the horizon in the area where 2/Cst. FORD made his sighting. These three members are all single and live in barracks, and I suspect that both Csts ST-JEAN and KAMINSKI believed that 2/Cst. FORD was trying to play a joke on them. I have told both Cst. ST-JEAN and Cst. KAMINSKI that I expect them to be more receptive to observations of their fellow members, and I am satisfied that their apparent indifference in this instance was an isolated case that occurred only because of the subject under discussion.

4. I am not aware of any instructions dealing with such sightings, and I am forwarding this report for whatever value it may have.

CONCLUDED HERE.

K. D. Smith, Insp.  
O.C. Western Arctic S/Div.

OTHER FILE REFERENCES:  FIRST REPORT	DIVISION "G"	DATE 26 JAN 67	RCMP FILE REFERENCES:  67-700-1
	SUB-DIVISION Western Arctic		
	DETACHMENT Inuvik		

RE:

Unidentified Flying Objects  
Inuvik Area, N.W.T.  
20 JAN 67

On 20 JAN 67, while patrolling Inuvik, alone, in PC 654-2 I made the following observations at 1:25 A.M.

2. I was driving east on Inuit Road and making a south turn onto Breynat Street when I noticed a flashing light moving through the sky from northeast to southwest, over the settlement of Inuvik. It was heading in the general direction of the Mackenzie River. The light resembled that of an aircraft coming in for a landing. Since it was unusual for aircraft to be landing at this hour, I radioed to the detachment to enquire about this.

3. The light I saw was continuously flashing four different colours, red, green, blue and white. The flashes were similar to that of night flying aircraft, except that the variety of colours was not consistent with aircraft lights. I then followed the path it took, which led me to the road behind the N.C.P.C. building; this road runs parallel to the river. Immediately above the level of the trees I saw the same light. I could not determine how far this light was away as it appeared as only a light and did not have any shape. It was quite apparent that the light was moving.

4. At this point I returned to the detachment and obtained a pair of binoculars to view the light. With the aid of the binoculars I was able to see the light more clearly than with the naked eye. The colours and movement were quite definitely seen with the binoculars. [While at the detachment I asked Cst. KAMINSKI J.P., and Cst. ST-JEAN J.G.R. to come with me to look at it themselves, but they did not think I was serious and were not interested, so they did not come. They said they looked at a star which they thought was the same thing I was looking at, but this proved not to be the case.] I then returned to the river bank and observed the light with the binoculars. The light moved slowly and sometimes stopped completely. I also spotted a similar light which appeared to be farther away, but I do not remember whether it was moving when I viewed it, as I only looked at it briefly for a minute or so. The nearest light eventually dropped below the level of the tree tops, but came back up again for a short period. It then moved below the level of the tree tops again and failed to reappear. I viewed the nearest light for approximately thirty-five to forty-five minutes before it disappeared. During this time it moved generally from east to west, but several times it stopped and moved eastward a short distance. The light also moved up and down, and at times seemed to stop completely. At no time could I detect any sound in connection with these lights.

..... 2 .....

RE: Unidentified Flying Objects Inuvik Area 20 JAN 67	PAGE "2"
---	-------------

CONT'D FROM PAGE 1

5. I watched the nearest light for most of the time, and viewed the farthest light for only a brief period of time. When nearest light disappeared I noticed that the farthest one had disappeared also.

Sgt.  
(R.J. Hunter) #15519  
i/c Inuvik Detachment.

2/Cst.  
(FORD A.R.J.) #23908  
Inuvik Detachment.

OC WESTERN ARCTIC S/DIV.

FORWARDED 27 JAN 67. 2/Cst. FORD informed Cpl. J.W. PRINGLE and myself of his observations at 9 a.m. 20 JAN 67. It was rather disturbing to note that 2/Cst. FORD'S effort to attract attention by others to his observations was frustrated because of lack of interest and indifference on the part of Cst. KAMINSKI and more particularly Cst. ST-JEAN who is considerably senior. These members have since been made well aware of my views.

2. In the a.m. of 20 JAN 67 I checked with local aircraft operators and the D.O.T. Aeradio office at Inuvik airport and established that there was no record or other information indicating that any aircraft was in operation in the Inuvik area around the time of 2/Cst. FORD'S observations. The O.I.C. Aeradio also advised me that had there been an unidentified aircraft in this vicinity at any appreciable altitude, it would have raised an 'alert' from the D.E.W. line who would send a query to Inuvik Aeradio. This was not the case.

3. Atmospheric conditions at the time of Cst. FORD'S observations were as follows: Sky mostly clear with stars in view; approximately 50% of face of moon showing; temp.- 29 below zero and a trace of ice fog near ground level.

4. We have no further information that might tend to account for what Cst. FORD actually observed.

CONCLUDED HERE:

Sgt.  
(R.J. Hunter) 15519  
i/c Inuvik Detachment

RE: Unidentified Flying Objects  
Inuvik Area  
20 JAN 67

PAGE

"2"

CONT'D FROM PAGE 1

5. I watched the nearest light for most of the time, and viewed the farthest light for only a brief period of time. When nearest light disappeared I noticed that the farthest one had disappeared also.

Sgt.  
(R.J. Hunter)#15519  
i/c Inuvik Detachment.

2/Cst.  
(FORD A.R.J.)#23908  
Inuvik Detachment.

OC WESTERN ARCTIC S/DIV.

FORWARDED 27 JAN 67. 2/Cst. FORD informed Cpl. J.W. PRINGLE and myself of his observations at 9 a.m. 20 JAN 67. It was rather disturbing to note that 2/Cst. FORD'S effort to attract attention by others to his observations was frustrated because of lack of interest and indifference on the part of Cst. KAMINSKI and more particularly Cst. ST-JEAN who is considerably senior. These members have since been made well aware of my views.

2. In the a.m. of 20 JAN 67 I checked with local aircraft operators and the D.O.T. Aeradio office at Inuvik airport and established that there was no record or other information indicating that any aircraft was in operation in the Inuvik area around the time of 2/Cst. FORD'S observations. The O.I.C. Aeradio also advised me that had there been an unidentified aircraft in this vicinity at any appreciable altitude, it would have raised an 'alert' from the D.E.W. line who would send a query to Inuvik Aeradio. This was not the case.

3. Atmospheric conditions at the time of Cst. FORD'S observations were as follows: Sky mostly clear with stars in view; approximately 50% of face of moon showing; temp.- 29 below zero and a trace of ice fog near ground level.

4. We have no further information that might tend to account for what Cst. FORD actually observed.

CONCLUDED HERE:

Sgt.  
(R.J. Hunter)15519  
i/c Inuvik Detachment

-4-

"G" DIV. FILE: 67G 700-21

Re: Unidentified Flying Objects  
Inuvik Area. N.W.T. - 20 JAN 67

THE COMMISSIONER, OTTAWA.

Forwarded for your information is Inuvik Detachment report dated 26 JAN 67 and the forwarding minute of the Officer Commanding our Western Arctic Sub/Division for whatever action you deem necessary.

CONCLUDED HERE.

OTTAWA, ONT.,  
3 FEB 67.

A. Hugot, Supt.,  
Officer In Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.



CIB7

Department of Mines and Technical Surveys  
Ministère des Mines et des Relevés techniques

Dominion Observatory  
Observatoire fédéral

File Number  
N° de dossier

Ottawa, Ontario,  
September 23, 1966.

The Commissioner,  
Royal Canadian Mounted Police,  
Ottawa 7, Ontario.

Attention: M.J.Y. Dube, Superintendent, Criminal Investigation Branch

Dear Sir:

Thank you for the report of the bright meteor of September 17, originally telephoned to me, and also contained in your letter of 19 September, your No. HQ-400-Q-5.

The collection of reports during the past few days strongly suggests that the meteor ended over Lake Huron. The disappearance of the object behind local hills or trees while still bright enough to light up the sky, caused many observers to conclude the object had fallen nearby and perhaps started a fire. For most of these observers, the meteor was at least 50 to 100 miles away. Closer to Lake Huron, the reports generally mention loud explosions which are typical for the regions within about 50 miles of a meteorite fall.

Yours sincerely,

Ian Halliday,  
Astronomy Division.

IH:ST

P  
D

HQ-400-Q-5

September 19, 1966.

**C O N F I D E N T I A L**


Dr. I. Halliday,  
Stellar Physics Division,  
Dominion Observatories Branch,  
3 Observatory Crescent,  
Department of Mines and Technical Surveys,  
OTTAWA, Ontario.


Re: Meteor Observation - Hamilton, Ontario.  
-----

Further to our telephone call of the 17 SEPT 66, the following is the information received from our "O" Division regarding the above sighting.

Date and time sighting:	8:44 p.m. 17-9-66
Condition of sky:	Clear
Location of observer:	161 Ridge St., Hamilton
Luminosity:	Very bright, lit up whole sky
Colour:	Orange and red
Form:	Not compared by observer
Duration:	Three seconds with persistent train for 20 minutes
Position in sky:	North East to South West at 30 degrees
Other observations:	Waterdown OPP received a number of calls from that area, and it was thought that the meteor came down in the Kitchener area. Efforts to locate meteor being made by the OPP.

Yours truly,

  
M.J.K. Dube, Supt.,  
Officer in Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.

 JFE:bf

9:154

CIB-7

RCM OTT

4 PRIORITY TOR SEPT 17 UNCLAS

COMMR OTT

0576 PLEASE PASS FOLLOWING INFO ON TO METEOR CENTRE , NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL, OTTAWA . FOLLOWING WILL BE ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS AS LISTED IN OP MAN CO-AIR PARA 4

(A) 8.44 PM 17-9-66

(B) CLEAR

(C) 161 RIDGE ST HAMILTON - BESIDES THE PERSON WHO REPORTED TO THE HAMILTON DETACHMENT NUMEROUS CALLS WERE MADE TO RADIO STATIONS AND OPP DETACHMENTS

(D) N/A

(E) VERY BRIGHT LIT UP WHOLE SKY

(F) ORANGE AND RED

(G) NOT COMPARED BY OBSERVER

(H) THREE SECONDS WITH PERSISTANT TRAIL FOR 20 MINUTES

(J) NORTH EAST TO SOUTH WEST AT 30 DEGREES.

(K) WATERDOWN OPP HAD NUMBER OF CALLS FROM THAT AREA AND

IT WAS THOUGHT THAT THE METEOR CAME DOWN IN THE KITCHENER AREA OPP PRESENTLY HAVE CARS IN THE AREA TRYING TO LOCATE METEOR

O DIV

*Flt. Lt. Thompson of  
Canairtel Ops. R.C.A.F.  
"A" Bldg. Cartier Square  
Cott. advised 10:50 PM 17-9-66*

*H.S.  
Dr. Thompson of  
Dominion Observatory  
advised 10 PM 17-9-66*

*Duty Officer advised.  
C. I. B. advised.  
P  
17-9-66*

*Secret*

S 2000-4 (Int S-2)  
S 2005-0050/124(ACDS)  
HQ-400-Q-5

September 12, 1966.

CONFIDENTIAL

BY HAND

Directorate of Security,  
Canadian Forces Headquarters,  
"B" Building,  
Cartier Square,  
OTTAWA, Ontario.


Re: Unidentified Flying Objects -  
Reporting

Attached for your information are copies of  
our Carnduff Saskatchewan Detachment report dated  
24 AUG 66 which are self-explanatory.

Encls.

JFE:bf

M.J.Y. Dube, Supt.,  
Officer in Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.





"F" Division  
C.I.B.

YOUR NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
VOTRE N° \_\_\_\_\_

OUR NO. 66F 700-147  
NOTRE N° \_\_\_\_\_


Regina, Sask., 2 Sep 66.

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

The Commissioner, OTTAWA 7.

Re:                      - Sighting of  
Unidentified Flying Objects -  
Alida Dist., Sask. 17 Aug 66

1. Attached for your information are two copies of Carnduff Detachment Report of 24 Aug 66 dealing with the sighting of unidentified lights in the oilfield area near Alida, Sask. These lights, although allegedly seen by three reliable persons on 17 Aug 66 and again on 18 Aug, did not return on 19 Aug when the location of the sighting was visited by our members.

  
H.G. Langton, Supt.,  
Officer I/C, C.I.B.

NO RECORD
SEP 6 1966
CIB INDEX

ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE

C-237

DIVISION **REGINA** DIVISION FILE No. \_\_\_\_\_ CODE No. \_\_\_\_\_  
 PROVINCE **SASKATCHEWAN** DETACHMENT **CANADUFF**  
 DATE **24-AUG-66**

RE: **[REDACTED] - Sighting of Unidentified Flying Objects - Alida District, Sask.**

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION **19(1)**  
 ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

HEADQUARTERS \_\_\_\_\_

SUB DIVISION \_\_\_\_\_

**66R 700-**

DETACHMENT \_\_\_\_\_

**66C 700-1**

P.C.R. \_\_\_\_\_

**1st.**

A.R.V. No \_\_\_\_\_

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION **19(1)**  
 ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

DIARY DATE SET FOR \_\_\_\_\_

Alida Sask.

----- **19-AUG-66** -----

1. At approx. 1:20 a.m. this date, **[REDACTED]**, of the Imperial Oil Camp, Alida Sask. called this Office, advising that he had just seen a number of unidentified flying objects in an area approx. 2 mi. west and 6 mi. north of Alida Sask. This would be in Township 6, Rge. 33, W. of 1st. meridian. He stated that he was sitting in his car at this location, with Miss **[REDACTED]** and her sister **[REDACTED]**, when they saw a number of unexplainable lights out in a pasture field. There seemed to be one main body of different coloured lights, from which several smaller bodies evolved. This object made a whinning noise similar to the sound of an electric motor. He further stated that each of the smaller objects had lights of their own and seemed to be bobbing around the field and a times would shoot straight up into the sky. The main object had a very strong light on the top of it and appeared to be of a flourescent type. He stated that at one time the light was directed at his car and appeared to be a lazer beam of high intensity. **[REDACTED]** and his friends watched these light from approx. 9:30 p.m. until 12:30 am, and when he left, the lights of one of the objects seemed to follow his car through the air, to within a few miles of Alida Sask. At the time of the sightings the sky was clear with slight cloud formation. The colours of these lights were mainly red and turquoise, with white lights on top. He stated that the objects seemed to travel very low over the field at very high rates of speed. Any time he tried to get closer to the lights or objects, they seemed to move away and the light would disappear for a short time.

2. On the following evening, **[REDACTED]** took three engineering students, on summer employ, out to the location and they also reported to this Office of seeing such unexplainable lights.

3. Cst. MARRIOTT and myself went to the area on the 19-AUG-66, however we did not see any unexplainable lights. It might be mentioned that this is an oilfield area and there are numerous wells, all of which emit a certain amount of gas. It could be a phenomena of this sort which is causing the lights, however because of the number of responsible people seeing these lights it is felt that this should be brought to the attention of the proper authorities. This report is submitted in accordance with instructions as per Operational Manual, CO-Air, para. 4.

(CONCLUDED HERE)

Cst. **D.S. MORTIMER #19983.**  
**T-1/e Canaduff Det.**

S 2000-4 (Int S-2)  
S 2005-0050/124 (ACDS)  
HQ 400-Q-5

September 14, 1965.

ATT'N: Director General Operations,  
Room 3804, "A" Building.

-----

The Chief of the Defence Staff,  
Canadian Forces Headquarters,  
Department of National Defence,  
OTTAWA 4, Ontario.

Re: Unidentified Flying Objects -  
Reporting

-----

You will observe from review of correspondence forwarded on 10 AUG 65 that the phrase "about a quarter of an eightieth across" was in question.

2. In report dated 2 SEP 65 from our La Ronge Detachment, we received the following explanation.

"Further to the above, please be advised that the object observed by [REDACTED] was stated by him to be 1/8 of an inch across when he saw same. The object was estimated to be at about 15,000 feet by [REDACTED] and of course would be considerably larger if same was at ground level. The estimate of 1/8" by [REDACTED] was the size of the object he saw at 15,000 feet. The phrase I made in previous reports should be an eighth of an inch across."

3. I trust this explanation is satisfactory.

*MJD*  
M. J. Dube, Insp.,  
for Director of Criminal  
Investigation.

*Heur*

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

## ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE

OTHER FILE REFERENCES:  D.C.R. 6 AUG 65	DIVISION "F"	RCMP FILE REFERENCES: HQ-400-Q-5 65F-700-158 65PA-5-77 65LR-5-10
	SUB-DIVISION Prince Albert	
	DETACHMENT La Ronge	
	DATE September 2nd, 1965.	

RE:

[REDACTED] - Sighting of Unknown Object  
Wollaston Lake Dist., Sask. - 30 JUN 65.

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION (911)

ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/

LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION (911)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

1. Further to the above, please be advised that the object observed by [REDACTED] was stated by him to be 1/8 of an inch across when he saw same. The object was estimated to be at about 15,000 feet by [REDACTED] and of course would be considerably larger if same was at ground level. The estimate of 1/8" by [REDACTED] was the size of the object he saw at 15,000 feet. The phrase I made in previous reports should be an eighth of an inch across. //

CONCLUDED HERE:-

Const. C.K.G. Conrad #18943  
i-c La Ronge Detachment.

C.O., "F", Regina

1. Forwarded for your information. This has reference to your memorandum dated August 30, 1965, together with correspondence of the Officer In Charge, C.I.B., Ottawa appended thereto under date of August 23, 1965.

7-9-65.

*E.S.W. Betty*  
(E.S.W. Betty) Insp.,  
Commdg., Prince Albert S/Division



Department of Mines and Technical Surveys  
Ministère des Mines et des Relevés techniques

Geological Survey of Canada  
Commission géologique du Canada

File Number  
N° à rappeler

Your file no. HQ-400-Q-5

• 601 Booth Street,  
Ottawa 4, Ontario,  
August 31, 1965.

The Commissioner,  
Royal Canadian Mounted Police,  
Ottawa 7, Canada.

Attention: M.J.Y. Dube, Inspector,  
Criminal Investigation Branch

Dear Sir:

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 27 August and enclosures reporting sighting of a meteor southeast of Whitehorse. I shall ask our Resident geologist to make further enquiries in the hope of recovering a meteorite.

Yours very truly,

S.C. Robinson,  
Chief,  
Economic Geology Division.

SCR/emb

Noted  
J.R. 21  
2-9-65

HQ-400-Q-5

August 27, 1965.

Dr. S.C. Robinson,  
Chief, Petrological Sciences Division,  
Geological Survey Branch,  
Dept. of Mines and Technical Surveys,  
Ottawa, Ontario.

Dear Sir:

Re: Sighting of Meteor or Fireball  
by-near Whitehorse, Y.T. 13 Aug 65  
-----

Attached find a copy of our Whitehorse  
Detachment report dated August 15 which is self-  
explanatory. Your Regional Officer in British  
Columbia was alerted to this event.

Yours truly,

M.J. Dube, Insp.  
Officer in Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.

Encl.

DLL

LD

RCMP - 6597-1

A-151-1  
REV. 15-4-64

ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE

TRANSMITTAL AND DIARY DATE REQUEST

TO  
COMMISSIONER  
R.C.M.P. OTTAWA

FROM  
COMMISSIONER  
R.C.M.P. OTTAWA

DATE	AUG 24 1965
REPORT DATED	18/8/65
ATTACHED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ENCLOSURES

C.O. \_\_\_\_\_ DIV.      C.O. *J.* \_\_\_\_\_ DIV.  
 O.C. \_\_\_\_\_ S/DIV.      O.C. \_\_\_\_\_ S/DIV.  
 I/C \_\_\_\_\_ DET.      I/C \_\_\_\_\_ DET.

COPIES TO:

*Mr. W. F. Slawson, Van. B.C. - report only.*

DIARY DATE REQUEST

YOUR FILE

OUR FILE

*659 700-114*

TO BE EXTENDED TO \_\_\_\_\_ FOR REASONS INDICATED

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> FINE AND COSTS PAID _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> ADJOURNED TO _____               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FURTHER ENQ. NEG.         | <input type="checkbox"/> AWAITING PAYMENT OF FINE & COSTS |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AWAITING INSTRUCTIONS     | <input type="checkbox"/> DISPOSITION OF EXHIBITS          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TRIAL DATE NOT SET        | <input type="checkbox"/> UNABLE EXECUTE WARRANT (SUMMONS) |
| OTHER REASONS:                                     | <input type="checkbox"/> REPORT OVERDUE                   |

65G-708-114

S/Div File 65Y5-193

-2- YUKON SUB/DIVISION

VL  
Re: William RIGGS- Sighting of Meteor or Fireball by-  
Near Whitehorse, Y.T. - 13 and 23 Oct  
(Assistance to R.C.A.F.)

R.C.M. POLICE

'G' DIVISION

13 and 23 Oct


OTTAWA

C.O. "G" OTTAWA

1. FORWARDED for your information together with copy of telegram that was sent to Dr. W.F. SLAWSON, Vancouver, B.C. and to Canairhed, R.C.A.F. Ottawa, Ontario. Also attached for your information is copy of my memorandum to N.C.O. I/C Whitehorse Detachment of even date.

CONCLUDED.

NO RECORD  
AUG 26 1965  
CIB INDEX

  
(L. G. Pantry) Insp.  
Comdg. Yukon Sub/Division

## ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE

OTHER FILE REFERENCES:  ●  First Report	DIVISION "G"	RCMP FILE REFERENCES:  65-5-65
	SUB-DIVISION YUKON	
	DETACHMENT Whitehorse	
	DATE 15 AUG 65	

RE:

CARDED

██████████ - Sighting of Meteor or Fireball by -  
Near Whitehorse, Y.T. - 13 AUG 65.  
(Assistance to R.C.A.F.)

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

13 AUG 65

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

- This date, 12.10 a.m., Marianne REED, Whitehorse, Y.T., advised this office that one of the drivers for Yellow Cab Co., Whitehorse, Y.T., ██████████, reported to her that he had observed a few minutes earlier, what appeared to be an explosion in the sky, south-east of Whitehorse, Y.T. It was felt at this time that the explosion could have been caused by an aircraft, however, a check with ATC, Dept. of Transport, Whitehorse, Y.T., revealed that no aircraft in distress or otherwise were reported in the Whitehorse, Y.T. area.
- ██████████, Box 767, Whitehorse, Y.T., was interviewed and gave the following information;
  - Time of sighting - approx. 12.00 m.n. - 12/13 AUG 65.
  - Sky conditions - clear.
  - ██████████ position at time, on outskirts of Whitehorse, Y.T.
  - The object appeared in sky south-east of Whitehorse, Y.T. - distance not known.
  - The object was bright, appearing white-hot.
  - The shape of object was round and approximately one-half the size of the moon.
  - Duration of observation - approximately 5 seconds.
  - There was no sound connected with sighting.

██████████ stated that when he first sighted the object, it was then travelling almost straight downwards. As it neared the horizon it broke up into approximately 7-8 fragments, then faded out.

3. During this investigation, one ██████████, Whitehorse, Y.T., stated that while he was driving to a small lake near Whitehorse, Y.T., approximately the same time as the forementioned sighting was made, he had observed a fiery object fall from the sky in a southerly direction from Whitehorse, Y.T. The object appeared to be quite reddish in appearance. ██████████ did not feel that it was a meteor, due to its reddish hue. As ██████████ only caught a glimpse of this object, he could offer no further information.

4. This information is submitted in compliance with CC-AIR, Operations Manual.

CONCLUDED HERE:

*[Signature]*  
(H.T.M.), S/Sgt. i/c det. A.O.A.

*[Signature]*  
Cst.  
(J.F. Kurina), 20436.  
Whitehorse Detachment.

## MESSAGE FORM

TIME OF RECEIPT	FOR COMCENTRE USE ONLY		TIME OF DESPATCH
	SERIAL NUMBER	PREFIX	
Precedence for Action Addresses		Precedence for Information Addresses	Date 18AUG 65
			Security Classification
FROM	THE O.C. R.C.M. POLICE, WHITEHORSE, Y.T.		
TO	Dr. W.F. SLAWSON, Institute of Earth Sciences University of British Columbia VANCOUVER, B.C.		
INFO	CANAIRHED OPS, RCAF, "A" Bldg., Cartier Sq., Ottawa, Ontario.		
Originator's Reference Number			
EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1) ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/ LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION	WHSE 2/18 [REDACTED], TAXI OPERATOR, WHITEHORSE, Y.T.		
	REPORTS SIGHTING FIREBALL STOP FOLLOWING PARTICULARS AVAILABLE STOP a) SIGHTED 12:00MN 12/13 AUG b) SKY CONDITION clear c) OUTSKIRTS SOUTH OF WHSE d) SOUTH EAST WHSE OCCURENCE UNKNOWN e) BRIGHT APPEARING WHITE HOT f) WHITE g) ROUND APPROX ONE HALF THE SIZE OF THE MOON h) APPROX 5 SECONDS i) NO SOUND j) UNKNOWN k) NO OTHER UNUSUAL OBSERVATION.		
	c.c. C.O. "G" OTTAWA		
File Number	Branch, Section, Etc.	Drafter's Name	Room No. Tel. No.
		Cst. DG Harrison	
Releasing Officer's Signature		Time Released	

"G" DIVISION

YUKON SUB/DIVISION

65-5-65

6545-193

WHITEHORSE, Y.T.  
18 AUG 65

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION (91)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

N.C.O. I/C WHITEHORSE

Re: [REDACTED] - Sighting of Meteor or Fireball by-  
Near Whitehorse, Y.T. - 13 AUG 65.  
(Assistance to R.C.A.F.)

1. Receipt of Whitehorse Detachment report, dated 15 AUG 65, is acknowledged.
2. It is noted that this sighting was reported on the 13 AUG 65, however, was not reported to this office until the 16 AUG 65. Your attention is drawn to para. 4 & 5 Operational Manual CO-AIR in this instance. As outlined in para. 5, this office must forward information by priority message to the nearest Regional Representative of the National Research Council Associate Committee, and in order to do this we must be informed by Detachments by the most direct manner.
3. Also, in compliance with para. 4 Operational Manual CO-AIR, although you have all the information available contained from (a) to (h), you have listed information pertaining to (g) in (f), (h) in (g) and (i) in (h) confusing things somewhat. In the future your prompt and thorough attention will be expected in incidents of this nature.

CONCLUDED.

( L.J. Pantry ) Insp.  
Comdg. Yukon Sub/Division

557-700-158

3 -400- -5

August 23, 1965.

THE C.O. "P" REMEDIA EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 1911  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION


Re: [REDACTED] - [REDACTED] of  
Unknown Object - Colliston Lake Dist.  
Sask. 30 June 65

Correspondence attached to memorandum of your Asst. C.I.B. Officer from La Ronge Detachment dated August 6 was received.

2. After reviewing the "explanation of the member in Charge La Ronge Detachment" we find that this matter is no clearer than it was in the original instance. In the phrase quoted "about a quarter of an eighth across", could the word eighth be a spelling mistake and in fact should the word be eighth. In any event we would like a more understandable explanation of the previously quoted phrase. To my mind a 1/4 of an eighth would in fact be 1/320 of an inch.

3. A further clarifying submission would be appreciated at the earliest opportunity.

  
J. Y. Dube, Insp.  
Officer in Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.

DLL/gh  






OTHER FILE REFERENCES:  p.c.r. 30 JUL 65	DIVISION "F"	DATE 6 AUG 65	RCMP FILE REFERENCES: 65F 700-158
	SUB DIVISION Prince Albert		65PA 5-77 ✓
	DETACHMENT La Ronge ✓		65LR-5-10

RE:

██████████ - Sighting of Unknown  
Object - Wollaston Lake Dist., Sask. 30 JUN 65.

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

1. Further to the O.C. - C.I.B.'s memo dated July 30th, 1965, please be advised that when interviewed ██████████ stated that the object he had observed was approx. 1/8" across to the naked eye. He stated that if the object could be taken from the sky as he saw it and placed on a piece of paper it would be about 1/8" across. The object of course would be much larger than this had it been closer to the earth. It appears that in my previous report I neglected to say the word "inch" in the statement "about a quarter of an eighth across".

CONCLUDED HERE:-

Const. C.K.G. Conrad #18943  
I-c La Ronge Detachment.

S 2000-4 (Int S-2)  
S 2005-0050/124(ACDS)

HQ-400-Q-5

August 10, 1965.

"CONFIDENTIAL"

BY HAND

ATTENTION: Director General  
Operations.

*Room 3804, "A" Bldg.*

The Chief of the Defence Staff,  
Canadian Forces Headquarters,  
Department of National Defence,  
OTTAWA 4, Ontario.

Re: Unidentified Flying Objects -  
Reporting

Please refer to my letter of July 28  
in which we indicated that statements would be obtained  
from [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

2. Attached please find single copies of the  
reports embodying these statements.

M.Y.J. *[Signature]* Dube, Insp.  
Officer in Charge,  
Criminal Investigation Branch.

ENCAS.  
*[Signature]*

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

65PA 5-77  
65F 700-158  
(70)

"F" Division  
C.I.B.

Regina, Sask., July 30, 1965.

EXEMPTION/EXCEPTION 19(1)  
ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT/  
LOI SUR L'ACCÈS À L'INFORMATION

O.C. PRINCE ALBERT S/DIV.

Re: [REDACTED] <sup>✓69</sup> - Sighting of  
Unknown Object - Wollaston Lake  
Dist., Sask. 30 JUN 65

NO RECORD  
AUG 5 1965  
CIB INDEX

1. Reference La Ronge Detachment report of July 26, 1965, kindly have the Detachment concerned clarify the phrase contained in the statement of [REDACTED] to the effect that "about a quarter of an eighth across" in a further report.

H.G. Langton, Supt.,  
Officer i/c, C.I.B.

The Commissioner, Ottawa 7.

1. Forwarded in duplicate with two copies of the report referred to above attached. You will be further advised in this connection.

Regina  
30 JUL 65

*H.G. Langton*  
H.G. Langton, Supt.,  
Officer i/c, C.I.B.