

Earth



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here

Earth



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

[Log-In Here](#)

For Advanced Features

New Bookstore Additions (Random Selection)

[The Erotic Mind: Unlocking the Sources of Sexual Passion and Fulfillment](#) (used hc) Jack Morin, PhD - \$11.00

[Codependent No More: How To Stop Controlling Others and Start Caring For Yourself](#) (used trpb) Melody Beattie - \$4.00

[Great Smoky Mountains: The Story Behind the Scenery](#) (new booklet) Rita Cantu - \$5.00

[Tom's town: Kansas City and the Pendergast Legend](#) (used trpb) William G. Reddig - \$9.00

[AAA Mexico tour Book](#) (used trpb) American Automobile Association - \$4.00

[AAA Europe Travel Book](#) (used trpb) American Automobile Association - \$6.50

[More New Items](#) | [Subjects](#) | [Main Catalog Page](#)

Thousands of new & used titles, including many you won't find anywhere else!

[Mothership](#) -> [Skunkworks](#) -> Here

[Our Focus](#)

Skunk Works Mailing List

Re: Faith versus evidence

Date: Mon, 23 Aug 1999 18:31:52 -0700
From: Dan Zinngrabe
Subject: Re: Faith versus evidence

>Larry Smith wrote:

>

>> But, with all respect, I'm really not sure where you sit with
>> respect to the following:

>>

>> 1. You don't believe in physics.

>

>Not true. I do believe in physics.

>

>> 2. You don't believe that the theory of stealth technology is valid physics.

>

>I believe the THEORY is valid.

>

>> 3. You don't believe that the F-117A represents a valid application
>> of the theory of stealth technology.

>

>This is where the faith comes in. Some on this list have accepted, as a
>matter of faith (it has to be unless they have run tests themselves that
>are consistent with good science) that the F-117 works.

Which I know some of us have. And I know that there are persons on
this list who have had exposure to the data that Jim beleives is
being "hidden behind classification".
And of those who have seen the data, or the models, all think that
"stealth works".

Of course, a great deal of the data that Jim seems to think is
classified is in the open literature where anyone can find it.

>

>I have always reserved the right to say "show me." Prove to me that it
>works. The military hides behind classification. Who can argue?

At this point I doubt that there is anything that the AF or anyone
else can show Jim that will put his argument to rest. Jim's faith is
in that it doesn't work, that the military is lying to him, etc.
There does not seem to be anything to sway him in that direction, not
even good physics.

