

Misc.:A51 Name & Alien Tape [3 msgs]

From: "Michael J. Poirier"
 Date: Thu, 17 Apr 1997 21:17:00 -0700
 Subject: Misc.:A51 Name & Alien Tape [3 msgs]

From: "Matt Selph" <pentagon14@hotmail.com>
 Subject: Re: Origin of Area 51 name.
 Date: Wed, 16 Apr 1997 12:57:28 PDT

You definately have an interesting theory on the name of Area 51
 originating
 from becomming the 51st State in the Union, so to speak.

See if you can think of why Site 4 would have a '4' in its name.

Also, I would like to bring up something that was in a previous 'issue'
 of this
 list. It was last year around late Nov. to early December, if I'm not
 mistaken.

If anyone has that issue and number try to post it, so others won't
 think I'm
 seeing things. :) In it said that the first Alien contact would be
 made on
 April 27, 1997...11 days, people---let's just wait and see. Any unusual
 activity going on at the base? Hmmm...preparing for their arrival?

=====
 Date: Tue, 15 Apr 1997 12:23:05 -0700
 From: Robert Knight <blacksun@ix.netcom.com>
 Subject: Area 51 Alien tape on Strange Universe

This tape was one of the worst public rip offs that I have seen. If this
 indeed was done at Area 51 it belongs to the tax payers, and I suggest
 that anyone stupid enough to buy this tape should make millions of
 copies and give them out free. It looks like Rocket Films is about to
 make a windfall from a cheap dime store alien head and idiots from the
 general public!!

=====
 Date: Tue, 15 Apr 1997 21:30:12 -0700 (PDT)
 From: Side Show Marc <mstuder@paul.spu.edu>
 Subject: Re: AREA 51: Alien Interview

Studer Delurking,

I saw the footage on SU and I have to totally agree with Ian.
 Rocket Pictures makes a big deal about the footage not hidding the alien
 or misdirecting the audience like on "Alien Autopsy, then shows the
 thing
 sitting in the dark with only parts of it's head visable. You give 30
 bucks to go down to "Mask-O-Rama" and thirty minutes with some Lowell
 lights and I'm sure I can produce comparable results.

The other thing that I found bogus was that you couldn't see the
 aliens mouth. It appeared to be covered with a mask or lost in the
 blow-up
 of the video frame. It seems to me that if your making an alien suit,
 the
 mouth and nose area would be a real trick to get right and if you can
 get
 by by covering it up, you've saved yourself a ton of work.

"Victor's" back story was even more hoaky than the video. Ian's
 right when he said that it sounded scripted and to me it seemed like the
 lines were written by a person who was trying to make the actor sound
 either military or "offical." I found the part where Victor was saying
 "This interview is terminated" over and over again sounding like it
 came from a very bad movie. When I was fifteen I wrote dialouge like
 this.

Show me a tape of an alien walking around in good light in a credible setting from a source other than anonymous tape in the mail, a flakey concert promotor, or a Cormonesqe movie studio.

I'm sorry for sounding like I'm on a soapbox here but it seem like every time this kind of crap surfaces it becomes debated ad nausem...

[I agree, and on that note... -MJP]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [Archive Main Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Mailing List](#)]
[[Area 51](#) | [UFO Topics](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Top Level](#)]

Area 51 Mailing List - [Standards and General Information](#)

Sponsored by the [Area 51 Research Center](#). Moderated by Glenn Campbell.

Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).