

Lazar's Back-Engineering: A Fallacy [a.c.a51]

From: **Ken MacGray**
 Date: Sat, 1 Feb 1997 18:54:06 -0800
 Subject: Lazar's Back-Engineering: A Fallacy [a.c.a51]

Sorry I've been away from the list. I've been out of the loop for awhile, due to an illness in the family. The following is a repost from a.c.a51... - Ken

=====
 From: byrlip@enterprise.net (Adam Whaley)
 Newsgroups: alt.alien.research,alt.alien.visitors,alt.paranet.ufo,
 alt.conspiracy.area51,alt.mindcontrol
 Subject: LAZAR'S BACK-ENGINEERING - A FALLACY
 Date: Fri, 31 Jan 1997 18:55:49 GMT

I have always been very uncomfortable with the spurious claims of Bob Lazar and his reverse-engineered flying saucers during his alleged stint at Area 51. I would suspect that Mr Lazar is the victim of some sophisticated and deliberate mind control programming hence his ability to pass lie detector tests for instance. Many of those who have met him or heard him speak on the lecture circuit, are impressed by his apparent sincerity, a marvel in itself and I would contend that the claims of Mr Lazar (and incidentally, Mr Streiber also - I'm still working on the whole "alien abduction" fallacy), are another small piece in the Final Conspiracy jigsaw (repost on request) and I will attempt to explain why:

As I have previously expressed; we are being fed a lie. A very sinister and catastrophic lie. Dark forces are afoot to subtly convince everybody of a malign alien presence and when the time is right - BANG. A zap here and a zap there and civilization will crumble within days. The panic generated will ensure that all support structures will collapse, notably food and water distribution, power and communications, and emergency services. At a rough guess, 60-80% of the Western World will perish within four weeks. Half of the remainder within three months.

The claims of Mr Lazar as postulated are just tenable enough to attract attention without having either absolute proof or absolute disproof. This conundrum is a common factor in many aspects of the UFO enigma and the frequent attempts of researchers to pursue the illusive carrot in front of the donkey is no coincidence.

I would contend that Lazar has been expertly programmed by the shadowy figures behind the scenes with exactly the right mixture of fact and fiction. His answers roll off the tongue like shit off a shovel. This is stage hypnotism at its very best. It is telling that he rattles off numerous explanations without the slightest notion of his own absurdity not least of all the entire fallacy of "back-engineering". Obviously some things can be back engineered, it is common practice with industrial espionage in anything from designer dresses to captured fighter planes. The important thing to remember is that any feasible back-engineering must have a technological support structure without which it would be an impossible exercise.

To make my point easier, imagine if the very best Victorian engineers were handed a microchip, what could they do with it? Nothing! They would not have the faintest idea what it was, was it did, where it came from, what it was made from - zilch!. Even if the best team of microchip designers were transported back in time along with their creation, they would not be able to explain or demonstrate it to their Victorian counterparts. Their explanations would seem like the ramblings of magicians - Gods even! Without a complete supporting technology from the same time frame there is absolutely no way that anybody throughout history could have back-engineered anything from a future time frame. It is just not possible. As for humans in the twentieth century back-engineering a flying saucer from god knows where or when, it would be easier for a monkey to back-engineer a toaster!

Don't say I didn't warn you.

Sweet dreams,

Adam.

=====
From: AKA {The Ghoul} <d..stanton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: alt.alien.research,alt.alien.visitors,alt.paranet.ufo,
alt.conspiracy.area51,alt.mindcontrol
Subject: Re: LAZAR'S BACK-ENGINEERING - A FALLACY
Date: Sat, 01 Feb 1997 01:25:03 +0000

Adam Whaley wrote:

> To make my point easier, imagine if the very best Victorian engineers
> were handed a microchip, what could they do with it? Nothing! They
> would not have the faintest idea what it was, was it did, where it
> came from, what it was made from - zilch!. Even if the best team of
> microchip designers were transported back in time along with their
> creation, they would not be able to explain or demonstrate it to their
> Victorian counterparts. Their explanations would seem like the
> ramblings of magicians - Gods even! Without a complete supporting
> technology from the same time frame there is absolutely no way that
> anybody throughout history could have back-engineered anything from a
> future time frame. It is just not possible. As for humans in the
> twentieth century back-engineering a flying saucer from god knows
> where or when, it would be easier for a monkey to back-engineer a
> toaster!

I have to disagree with your analogy, if the microchip was inside of a computer, say a battery powered laptop and they were able to first observe it in use they may very well have been able to garner some technological innovations from it. Victorians knew some electrical engineering, ie.. Faraday, Volta, Curie, Bell. They also had some ideas of machine logic applications ie.. Babbage, player pianos, cotton loom intricate clocks. If their best scientists where to disassemble the lap-top analysing and recording as they did it could be used as a Rosetta Stone to interpet any new inventions that come along and point to improvements that could be made.

A spacecraft is it's own supporting technology. Think of a modern commercial aircraft. It has its flight technology in its design as in wing shape aerodynamics etc. It has electronics and computers. It has radios, it has synthetic fabric in its seats, it has chemical toilets and a galley. It has the luggage its passengers carry. The simple breakdown of all the technology going into the craft is practically endless.

For the best example of how much can be learned from what would seem to be very little I reccomend you spend some time with some archeologists. What they pull from just simple finds is incredible. Placing Lazars claims in that light I find most of them quite plausible.

[Minor reformatting by moderator. -GC]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [Archive Main Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Mailing List](#)]
[[Area 51](#) | [UFO Topics](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Top Level](#)]

Area 51 Mailing List - [Standards and General Information](#)

Sponsored by the [Area 51 Research Center](#). Moderated by Glenn Campbell.
Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).