

# Lazar's Back Engineering: A Fallacy, Part II

From: **Ken MacGray**  
 Date: Tue, 4 Feb 97 07:21:42 -0500  
 Subject: Lazar's Back Engineering: A Fallacy, Part II

(The luminescent Hular pipes up in a.c.a51 on a recent article that I reposted to the list... -Ken)

=====

From: gufon@ix.netcom.com(Gene Huff)  
 Newsgroups:  
 alt.alien.research,alt.alien.visitors,alt.paranet.ufo,alt.conspiracy.area51  
 ,alt.mindcontrol  
 Subject: Re: LAZAR'S BACK-ENGINEERING - A FALLACY  
 Date: 4 Feb 1997 00:55:40 GMT

In <5d53qg\$9ih@tofu.alt.net> bee@sfu.ca (Jeremy Bee) writes:

>Also the "reactor" in the middle contains a very  
 >few simple parts, hardly likely to reveal much of anything without  
 >destructive testing.

-For the first time ever, Jeremy, you're right. If you knew the Lazar story you'd know that he was brought into the program because a couple of men were killed after sawing through a reactor with a plasma cutter type device.-

>Of course there is the added problem that if we believe in Roswell  
 >(which is the foundation on which the Lazar Myth is built), your  
 >typical Flying Saucer parts are basically indestructable.

-Back to your normal self. Lazar's story has nothing to do with Roswell as Lazar did not work on crash retrievals. That is the true myth.

This is almost a reasonable conversation and I wish I wasn't leaving so I could pursue it. (I don't have a lap top) It's interesting that none of you are familiar enough with the Lazar story to know that it's not based on crash retrievals or back engineering from square one. If intelligent, reasonable people (unlike those usually found here) are going to discuss the pros and cons, it might help if you knew the story first so you knew what to consider.-

=====

From: thx1138@ix.netcom.com (Michael Hofmeister)  
 Newsgroups:  
 alt.alien.research,alt.alien.visitors,alt.paranet.ufo,alt.conspiracy.area51  
 ,alt.mindcontrol  
 Subject: Re: LAZAR'S BACK-ENGINEERING - A FALLACY  
 Date: Tue, 04 Feb 1997 07:20:28 GMT

On 4 Feb 1997 00:45:16 GMT, in <5d60qs\$fn5@dfw-ixnews11.ix.netcom.com>, gufon@ix.netcom.com(Gene Huff) wrote:

>Lazar's story isn't based on back-engineering these discs from square  
 >one. When the hardware was first presented there was a measure of  
 >instruction with them from the ETs. They found those at S4, or wherever  
 >this happened

Yeah, right, "wherever." Cover your butt in case you need to change your story. Again.

>at that point in time, to be insufferable students and

Uh huh. Those MIT saucer reverse engineers must have been shooting spit wads through straws at the greys when they turned their backs. And we have the nerve to call ourselves civilized....

>left in a huff and left some of the hardware.

I guess the Intergalactic Cruiser Mothership garage was full or their inventory control needs major work or the assets had zero book value or...

>This allegedly happened  
>in the late 70s. You needn't worry about the PC being dropped to  
>simulate a crashed disc as the Lazar info is not, and has never been,  
>based on crash retrievals.-

That wouldn't make sense then, would it? That would be like trying to drive a '56 Buick that hit a brick wall doing 70,000 mph.

--

Michael Hofmeister

=====

From: thx1138@ix.netcom.com (Michael Hofmeister)  
Newsgroups:  
alt.alien.research,alt.alien.visitors,alt.paranet.ufo,alt.conspiracy.area51  
,alt.mindcontrol  
Subject: Re: LAZAR'S BACK-ENGINEERING - A FALLACY  
Date: Tue, 04 Feb 1997 07:20:33 GMT

On 4 Feb 1997 00:55:40 GMT, in <5d61ec\$2gj@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>, gufon@ix.netcom.com(Gene Huff) wrote:

>In <5d53gg\$9ih@tofu.alt.net> bee@sfu.ca (Jeremy Bee) writes:  
>>

>Also the "reactor" in the middle contains a very  
>few simple parts, hardly likely to reveal much of anything without  
>destructive testing.

>-For the first time ever, Jeremy, you're right. If you knew the Lazar  
>story you'd know that he was brought into the program because a couple  
>of men were killed after sawing through a reactor with a plasma cutter  
>type device.-

They should have used a diamond blade Mikita radial wet saw. That puppy will cut through just about anything.

>It's interesting that none  
>of you are familiar enough with the Lazar story to know that it's not  
>based on crash retrievals or back engineering from square one.

Right. Lazar claims the greys tried to give us a Berlitz class in Saucer Fundamentals 101, but we humans had neglected to take the pre-requisite hyperdimensional quantum gravity mechanics courses. So we did poorly on the mid-term and flunked the final. They left before collecting the self-addressed stamped post card for our grade. When they come back they'll be pissed.

>If  
>intelligent, reasonable people (unlike those usually found here)

Gene - Stop slamming the reality challenged SaucerZealots so hard. They'll be the first (and maybe only) ones in line for "The Bob Lazar Story - Now the Truth Can be Told." Soon (maybe real soon) to be on video.

>are  
>going to discuss the pros and cons, it might help if you knew the story  
>first so you knew what to consider.-

Which version are you parading here now?

The one Tim Good wrote that you/Lazar had to disown?

Or is there another one we can all enjoy in mirth filled fantasy?

--

Michael Hofmeister

- Ken MacGray

-----  
info@vvcs.com - Area 51 Images, Lore, News - [www.vvcs.com/a51](http://www.vvcs.com/a51)

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [Archive Main Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Mailing List](#) ]  
[ [Area 51](#) | [UFO Topics](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Top Level](#) ]

**Area 51 Mailing List** - [Standards and General Information](#)

Sponsored by the [Area 51 Research Center](#). Moderated by Glenn Campbell.  
Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).