

Date: Thu, 5 Sep 1996 07:37:47 -0700  
To: area51@lists.best.com  
Subject: Digest area51.v001.n002

----- BEGIN area51.v001.n002 -----

001 - campbell@ufomind.com - [Brave Newsgroup Muckers Needed!](#)  
002 - mayor@tiac.net (Ken MacGr - [Re: AREA 51: Brave Newsgroup Muckers Needed!](#)  
003 - campbell@ufomind.com - [Re: Brave Newsgroup Muckers Needed!](#)  
004 - Brentley Smith (bsmith@zi - [Anti-gravity ???](#)  
005 - campbell@ufomind.com (Gle - [Rachel on German TV](#)  
006 - campbell@ufomind.com (Gle - [Orange Orb Sighting Report](#)  
007 - campbell@ufomind.com (Gle - [Mailing List "Non-Acceptance" Letter](#)  
008 - mayor@tiac.net (Ken MacGr - [Re: AREA 51: Mailing List "Non-Acceptance" Letter](#)  
009 - campbell@ufomind.com (Gle - [Why Yasinski Message Was Rejected](#)

+-----+  
| FROM THE [AREA 51 MAILING LIST](#) - A Moderated Forum |  
+-----+

----- MESSAGE area51.v001.n002.1 -----

From: campbell@ufomind.com  
Subject: Brave Newsgroup Muckers Needed!  
Date: Tue, 3 Sep 1996 17:54:43 -0400

Brave Volunteers Are Needed....

...to dive into the great ugly swamp of the internet newsgroups and mailing lists to bring us back some pearls.

For now, the Area 51 mailing list can be thought of as a filter for alt.conspiracy.area51 and assorted other newsgroups and mailing lists. Subscribers to this list shouldn't have to look at the newsgroups EVER AGAIN -- at least for meaningful posts regarding Area 51.

Trouble is, we still need volunteers to do the dirty work -- to cruise the newsgroups and subscribe to the mailing lists to glean something of value.

So... if you visit the newsgroups regularly, please keep our needs in mind. If you find some posting that is reasonably relevant to Area 51, please re-post it to this list by sending it to area51@lists.best.com .

Relevant newsgroups might include alt.conspiracy.area51, alt.paranet.ufo, alt.alien.research, alt.rec.military, etc. Relevant mailing lists might include Skunkworks and any of the UFO mailing lists. Less than 1% what appears in these places is worth inclusion in our list.

By definition, we will assume that most subscribers to the Area 51 Mailing List do not visit Usenet and do not subscribe to any other mailing list, so anything you post from either of these sources will be new to us.

Sending a Usenet article to the mailing list is also an easy way to get it added to our website at www.ufomind.com. We will store each new digest to a permanent location and provide links to it from the appropriate index pages.

Volunteers need not announce themselves to the list or to the moderator. Just do it! Post to the list anything you think is interesting and pertains in some way to Area 51 or the projects and claims here.

Muckers, man your shovels!

Glenn Campbell  
Acting Moderator

----- MESSAGE area51.v001.n002.2 -----

From: mayor@tiac.net (Ken MacGray)  
Subject: Re: AREA 51: Brave Newsgroup Muckers Needed!

Date: Tue, 3 Sep 1996 20:18:59 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

>By definition, we will assume that most subscribers to the Area 51  
>Mailing List do not visit Usenet and do not subscribe to any other  
>mailing list, so anything you post from either of these sources  
>will be new to us.

I wouldn't be too quick to jump to this assumption, Glenn. I do cruise  
UseNet on a regular basis in search of tidbits... Consider me a volunteer.

Can I post Gene Huff's home address and phone number? 8)

=====  
Ken MacGray, Administrator - Virtual Village BBS  
Central Massachusetts' Premier Online Service  
508-368-4222 - email:mayor@tiac.net - <http://www.tiac.net/users/mayor>  
Area 51 Images/Lore/News: <http://www.tiac.net/users/mayor/a51/a51.html>  
=====

----- MESSAGE area51.v001.n002.3 -----

From: campbell@ufomind.com  
Subject: Re: Brave Newsgroup Muckers Needed!  
Date: Tue, 3 Sep 1996 21:39:04 -0400

Ken MCG writes:  
>>By definition, we will assume that most subscribers to the Area 51  
>>Mailing List do not visit Usenet and do not subscribe to any other  
>>mailing list,  
> I wouldn't be too quick to jump to this assumption, Glenn. I do cruise  
> UseNet on a regular basis in search of tidbits... Consider me a volunteer.

You have thus been appointed! Go forth into the muck and bring us  
some goodies!

I say that "by definition" this list assumes no usenet or mailing  
exposure, because this is one important role our mailing list can  
fulfill. Very few of us have time to filter through Usenet. It is  
an immense task with very little reward, yet you can't ignore it  
completely. If only one or two of us can do the work of  
intelligent filtering, there is no sense in all of us duplicating the  
effort.

> Can I post Gene Huff's home address and phone number? 8)

No, no, no! Shall we banish you back to a.c.a51???

Although this mailing list may consider Gene its patron saint,  
we must learn to grow beyond him. We cannot live forever in  
the master's shadow. It is time to move on.

Glenn

----- MESSAGE area51.v001.n002.4 -----

From: Brentley Smith (bsmith@zippynet.com)  
Subject: Anti-gravity ???  
Date: Wed, 04 Sep 1996 15:22:15 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

This news clipping is from sci.physics via the skunk-works mail-list. I'm  
not sure if this has made the rounds previously. I've not seen it before...

> Date: Wed, 04 Sep 96 16:35:11 SET  
> From: "J. Pharabod" (PHARABOD@frcpn11.in2p3.fr)  
> Organization: In2p3  
> Subject: Anti-gravity ???  
> To: skunk-works@MAIL.ORST.EDU  
>  
> From sci.physics . Looks a priori like cold fusion, but who knows ?

>  
> J. Pharabod  
>  
> Subject: Tampere Anti-Gravity Experiments  
> From: robert@skylink.net (Robert Stirniman)  
> Date: 1996/09/02  
> Message-Id: (50djcb\$93m@news.skylink.net)  
> Organization: Skylink Networks (<http://www.skylink.net/>)  
> Newsgroups: sci.physics  
>  
>  
> Article in Sunday Telegraph (UK), September 1 1996, page 3.  
>  
> BREAKTHROUGH AS SCIENTISTS BEAT GRAVITY.  
> by Robert Matthews and Ian Sample  
>  
> SCIENTISTS in Finland are about to reveal details of the world's first  
> anti-gravity device. Measuring about 12in across, the device is said to  
> reduce significantly the weight of anything suspended over it.  
>  
> The claim -- which has been rigorously examined by scientists, and is due  
> to appear in a physics journal next month -- could spark a technological  
> revolution. By combatting gravity, the most ubiquitous force in the  
> universe, everything from transport to power generation could be  
> transformed.  
>  
> The Sunday Telegraph has learned that Nasa, the American space agency, is  
> taking the claims seriously, and is funding research into how the  
> anti-gravity effect could be turned into a means of flight.  
>  
> The researchers at the Tampere University of Technology in Finland, who  
> discovered the effect, say it could form the heart of a new power source,  
> in which it is used to drive fluids past electricity-generating turbines.  
>  
> Other uses seem limited only by the imagination: Lifts in buildings could  
> be replaced by devices built into the ground. People wanting to go up  
> would simply activate the anti-gravity device -- making themselves  
> weightless -- and with a gentle push ascend to the floor they want.  
>  
> Space-travel would become routine, as all the expense and danger of  
> rocket technology is geared towards combatting the Earth's gravitation  
> pull. By using the devices to raise fluids against gravity, and then  
> conventional gravity to pull them back to earth against  
> electricity-generating turbines, the devices could also revolutionise  
> power generation.  
>  
> According to Dr Eugene Podkletnov, who led the research, the discovery  
> was accidental. It emerged during routine work on so-called  
> "superconductivity", the ability of some materials to lose their  
> electrical resistance at very low temperatures. The team was carrying  
> out tests on a rapidly spinning disc of superconducting ceramic suspended  
> in the magnetic field of three electric coils, all enclosed in a  
> low-temperature vessel called a cryostat.  
>  
> "One of my friends came in and he was smoking his pipe," Dr Podkletnov  
> said. "He put some smoke over the cryostat and we saw that the smoke was  
> going to the ceiling all the time. It was amazing -- we couldn't explain  
> it." Tests showed a small drop in the weight of objects placed over the  
> device, as if it were shielding the object from the effects of gravity -  
> an effect deemed impossible by most scientists. "We thought it might be  
> a mistake," Dr Podkletnov said, "but we have taken every precaution." Yet  
> the bizarre effects persisted. The team found that even the air pressure  
> vertically above the device dropped slightly, with the effect detectable  
> directly above the device on every floor of the laboratory. In recent  
> years, many so-called "anti-gravity" devices have been put forward by  
> both amateur and professional scientists, and all have been scorned by  
> the establishment. What makes this latest claim different is that it has  
> survived intense scrutiny by sceptical, independent experts, and has been  
> accepted for publication by the Journal of Physics-D: Applied Physics,  
> published by Britain's Institute of Physics.  
>  
> Even so, most scientists will not feel comfortable with the idea of  
> anti-gravity until other teams repeat the experiments. Some scientists  
> suspect the anti-gravity effect is a long-sought side-effect of  
> Einstein's general theory of relativity, by which spinning objects can  
> distort gravity. Until now it was thought the effect would be far too  
> small to measure in the laboratory. However, Dr Ning Li, a senior  
> research scientist at the University of Alabama, said that the atoms  
> inside superconductors may magnify the effect enormously. Her research  
> is funded by Nasa's Marshall Space Flight centre at Huntsville, Alabama,  
> and Whitt Brantley, the chief of Advanced Concepts Office there, said:

> "We're taking a look at it, because if we don't, we'll never know." The  
> Finnish team is already expanding its programme, to see if it can amplify  
> the anti-gravity effect. In its latest experiments, the team has  
> measured a two per cent drop in the weight of objects suspended over the  
> device -and double that if one device is suspended over another. If the  
> team can increase the effect substantially, the commercial implications  
> are enormous.  
>

----- MESSAGE area51.v001.n002.5 -----

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)  
Subject: Rachel on German TV  
Date: Wed, 4 Sep 1996 13:30:52 -0700  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

The SWISS MOUNTAIN BAT, writing from BATWING HIGH COMMAND in the Alps,  
sends us this report on a recent German TV segment on Rachel.

-----  
BATWING HQ, 9/3/96, 04:05 PM GMT

GERMAN TV UNVEILS MILITIA AND NAZI FOLKS AT THE INN  
-----

8/27/96, 0930-0945 PM: German TV Channel ZDF reported in "FRONTAL" about  
a so-called Meeting of UFO Freaks at the Inn in Rachel: KATHIE ROBERTS was  
shown presenting a photograph of a shadow-like alien amidst Joshua trees  
(no limbs of the alleged alien were visible, it was just a play of shadows  
out in the desert during wee-wee hours - THE BAT). Kathie was also filmed  
standing near the Black Mailbox (with no monument next to it, so it was  
prior to ID4-promo show out there - THE BAT). Groom Lake was mentioned as  
being a super-secret test site behind the distant mountains, with lots of  
UFO-sightings caused by military aircraft being flown at night.

Roswell's big hangar appears on the screen: JIM RAGSDALE was presented as a  
new witness to the Roswell crash: He allegedly kept silent during almost fifty  
years. Now he informed the rest of the world about that infamous disc's exact  
crash site in NM. The camera even showed a rock with alleged traces caused by  
the crashing disc (about a fist-sized part of the rock was somehow cracked  
out: However, it looked more like being caused by the tensions of heat and  
cold than by a crashing starship - THE BAT).

The camera then resumed on Rachel to present a real Premiere for Europe: BILL  
NEWHOUSE. Yes, exactly: Jarod-2! He was filmed in front of his car's opened  
back door, with lots of AREA 51-merchandise in the trunk: The black hat, for  
example. Or Jarod's white hat (his own creation?) and a few black T-shirts  
sporting Jarod-1 in nice colors. Jarod-2 recounted meeting Jarod-1 and (in  
abbreviated form) all the rest of the story already known to us thanks to  
Psycho's Desert Rats.

According to FRONTAL's moderators Hauser and Kienzle, that UFO-Freaks' meeting  
took place at a weekend this summer (no date given) and was used by at least  
two men with anti-government/militia-background as a platform to spread their  
ideas: One of them was GUY KIRKWOOD, an alleged former AF-pilot who was shown  
waving a photo of a Super Sabre-Jet being followed by a hockey-puck-like  
object. Then, Kirkwood started to make propaganda for criminal offences  
against the government. This segment ended by the reporter's statement that  
the FBI would be highly pleased if they could get hold of that special kind of  
(Kirkwood-) footage....(whole segment available in German and VHS (sorry, no  
NTSC) from Batwing HQ - THE BAT).

The report's second segment informed the viewer about how Third Reich/Nazi-  
Propaganda had become a widespread element within the UFO field: ANTHONY  
HILDER was shown outside the Inn, propagating a magazine titled "Fourth Reich  
and the Rich". He talked a lot about staged invasions from outer space,  
the one-world-government and the banking institutes being intermixed in this  
Ufo-Pool. Then, Pat and Joe Travis recounted for the zig-billionth time their  
story about the light that came through their steel-clad back door.  
The segment ended with Richie Hoagland and the latest discoveries  
of alleged traces of life in that meteorite from Mars.

The last word had -of course- TV moderator Hauser (<http://www.zdf.msn.de>):  
He cited the New York Times article of 12/15/60 about how the world could go  
through an identity crisis when suddenly being confronted with  
extraterrestrial life....

THE BAT  
chvs9k78@ibmmail.com

----- MESSAGE area51.v001.n002.6 -----

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)  
Subject: Orange Orb Sighting Report  
Date: Wed, 4 Sep 1996 15:29:32 -0700  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

I received this sighting report by email from a recent visitor, dated 9/1/96. My comments follow.

> After I returned to the Tikaboo Valley Aug 5 I was driving along  
> 375 towards Rachel around 8:30 pm and began to see a series of  
> flashing lights (mag. flares I imagine, 15 to 20). This lasted  
> about 7 or 8 min then other things began to happen. A series  
> of lights appeared; in three separate small triangular formations  
> and moved together as a unit. They tilted, moved up, down and  
> diagonally, all the while retaining their trianguar configuration.  
> An orange orb appeared below one of the triangle formations. One  
> set of the triangular formations moved towards it and "joined" the  
> orange orb. The orb faded out. Got back in the car. Drove about  
> 400 yds down 375 and things started all over again but in a very  
> different series of events. More about this later... if you're  
> interested. This all happened over the northern sky not over the  
> peak towards Area 51. Is what I saw 'typical'?

My message back to him....

It happens that a major air exercise, Red Flag, was going on at that time. Aug. 5, a Monday, would have been in the thick of it, and 8-9 in the evening is a common time for sorties in just the area you mention -- north of the highway. The triangular formations could have been jets flying in formation. The kind of flares used for these exercises typically last in the sky for no more than 10-15 seconds and are bright orange. They are typically released by a jet making evasive maneuvers, in a string of several orbs. (The only thing I regard as curious about these flares is that I have never found any remnants of them on the ground.)

If the orb remained in the sky for more than a few seconds, that would be interesting. I have often seen magnesium flares, presumably shot from the ground for illumination of mock ground movements, in the southern bombing ranges. These flares hang in the sky for up to 10 minutes. However, I have seen these only south of the highway, over the military areas, never north of the highway which would be public land. This is an odd place for these flares to be if they were shot from the ground. I suppose it could be some other kind of flare, or I may have misinterpreted the function of these flares.

I think this particular Red Flag might have used the B-2 Stealth bomber. (I know it was used in a later exercise.) In that case, you've definitely got a big triangular craft in the sky. Also: when you say the craft "joined" the orb, you are speaking about only the two dimensions you can see from afar; they could "join" to your eye but still be miles apart.

Another aerial phenomena during Red Flag are "Smoky SAMs", which are essentially fireworks missiles (about \$30 a piece) shot off from the ground to simulate surface-to-air (SAM) missiles. During the latest Red Flag, a radar truck was set up on the outskirts of Rachel to simulate an enemy radar site protected by SAMs. (These trucks are operated not by the Air Force but the principal range contractor, Lockheed-Martin. When the radar operator gets a "lock" on a fighter plane, he fires a Smoky SAM to simulate return fire. This "missile" goes up a few hundred feet in the air to let the fighter pilot see where the radar site was (for feedback purposes mainly). I have seen Smoky SAMs only during the day. If they also fire them at night, then I imagine this would add to the show.

I suppose the lights you saw could also be something more mysterious or otherworldly, but the "noise level" is so high in these circumstances that it is hard to reach any conclusions.



Area 51 Mailing List

----- MESSAGE area51.v001.n002.8 -----

From: mayor@tiac.net (Ken MacGray)  
Subject: Re: AREA 51: Mailing List "Non-Acceptance" Letter  
Date: Thu, 5 Sep 1996 06:02:29 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

>Sadly, the Acting Moderator had to make his first negative determination  
>just now. If you'd like to see what you are missing, check  
><http://www.ufomind.com/area51/list/out/1996>

May I ask what it was specifically that made you come to this conclusion?  
I'm always interested to hear about other people's visits to the area...

=====  
Ken MacGray, Administrator - Virtual Village BBS  
Central Massachusetts' Premier Online Service  
508-368-4222 - email:mayor@tiac.net - <http://www.tiac.net/users/mayor>  
Area 51 Images/Lore/News: <http://www.tiac.net/users/mayor/a51/a51.html>  
=====

----- MESSAGE area51.v001.n002.9 -----

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)  
Subject: Why Yasinski Message Was Rejected  
Date: Thu, 5 Sep 1996 07:14:17 -0700  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Ken McGrey writes:

>>Sadly, the Acting Moderator had to make his first negative determination  
>>just now.

>  
>May I ask what it was specifically that made you come to this conclusion?  
>I'm always interested to hear about other people's visits to the area...

As this list gets started, moderation is going to become a big issue. People are going to rag on the moderator, whoever he/she is, for rejecting a message that they thought should have been included -- or accepting a message that should have been rejected. Because selectivity is the key to success of this list, it is worthwhile to spend some time discussing it.

The message I rejected was  
[http://www.ufomind.com/area51/list/out/1996/960904\\_yasinski.txt](http://www.ufomind.com/area51/list/out/1996/960904_yasinski.txt)

Why did I reject it? Here are my thoughts, as professional Acting Moderator, when I first received this message for approval...

-- First thing I notice is that this message is from Joe Yasinski, a known flameur on a.c.a51 and part of the team known as Tikaboo. Right away I picture Bevis & Butthead sitting in their dorm room, picking their noses and laughing their goofy laugh.

According to the Mailing List charter, no one can be blacklisted from this group. The moderator cannot accept or reject a message based on who is sending it, only on the content of the message itself. Still, the net is full of problem characters -- as well as people whose posts are always worthwhile -- and the identity of the author can't help but put you on alert.

-- As I read the subject and first few sentences, a thought occurs to me: "This is boring." Whatever new data or arguments this message might present, there is no hint of it at the top. A basic principal of good writing -- in newsgroups, newspapers or any other non-fiction -- is to tell people what is important immediately. Otherwise, they'll never get to the rest of the message.

-- If this were a personal email, I wouldn't have bothered reading further, but since I am Acting Moderator, I am obligated to continue reading to confirm that the message really is boring all the way through. Is there any hint of new information that could help us get to the truth about Area 51? Are there any astute social observations? Is there any tidbit that might meet the charter's requirement of \_intelligent\_ and \_interesting\_? Getting to the end of the message, I still can find anything.

-- I now ask myself: Is this message more appropriate for a.c.a51 than here? Will there be any loss to our subscribers if they don't receive this message?

By rejecting a message, the moderator is not saying that the message has no right to exist or that it doesn't have some value to someone somewhere. All the moderator is saying is that it isn't useful at present to the list's "high end" audience of intelligent, well-versed '51 watchers.

If the moderator fails to make these decisions, then this list becomes just another version of the newsgroups, dominated by a lot of low-quality messages no one wants to read.

Acting Moderator

----- END area51.v001.n002 -----

+-----+  
| AREA 51 MAILING LIST - Sponsored by the Area 51 Research Center |  
| For more information see <http://www.ufomind.com/area51/list/> |  
+-----+