

Area 51 Mailing List Digest v096.n017

24 Oct 1996

Index

- 01 - campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell) - [Catchers of Heaven - A Preliminary Review](#)
- 02 - tonydinkel@clubnet.net (Tony Dinkel) - [Re: AREA 51: Military Reactor Explosion](#)
- 03 - "Tim Joiner" <tjoiner@fla.net> - [Ed Dames interview on Art Bell](#)
- 04 - David Martin <drmartin@iv.com> - [SL-1 Reactor Accident, Idaho Falls, 1961](#)
- 05 - mayor@tiac.net (Ken MacGrath) - [Any New Information on "Nellis UFO"?](#)
- 06 - PaulMcG@aol.com - [Open Skies Treaty Will Bar FOIA](#)
- 07 - Tom Mahood <tmahood@netco.com> - [Catchers of Heaven - Unbelievably Bad!](#)
- 08 - PaulMcG@aol.com - [Air Force publications online](#)
- 09 - campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell) - [UFO over Belgium in 1990 was not a LoFlyte](#)
- 10 - mayor@tiac.net (Ken MacGrath) - [1000 Man March on Area 51 in 1998](#)
- 11 - campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell) - [Misc.: ID4 Defender, Space Command FOIA, Reactor Incident](#)

[[Archive Index](#) | [Mailing List Information](#)]

Message #1

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
Subject: **Catchers of Heaven - A Preliminary Review**
Date: Fri, 18 Oct 1996 14:39:10 -0700

"The Catchers of Heaven: A Trilogy" By Micheal Wolf (1993, 1996)
(Dorrance Publishing, Tel. 412-288-4543, \$24)

Preliminary Review by Glenn Campbell, 10/18/96
Area 51 Research Center, <http://www.ufomind.com>

I have finally received my copy of "Catchers of Heaven," a novel supposedly by a physician who worked with ETs for the government. Receiving the book is one thing; reading and decoding it is another. It is 402 dense pages of mostly philosophical musings. I have spent several hours with the book, but I cannot say I have read it. I've just skimmed it for key words and tried to read the parts that pertain to E.T.'s and government programs.

There are a lot of key words here: MJ-12, S4, Dulce, NSA, NPO, DARPA, "The Company" (CIA), KGB, Reticulum. There are praises in the book's Dedication for Whitley Strieber, John Mack, David Jacobs, Budd Hopkins, Steven Spielberg and many other prominent UFO names, including Walt Andrus and Billy Meier (which shows to me a certain lack of selectivity). Is he dropping well-known names just to seek credibility? Curiously, I see no mention of Bob Lazar, whose story this one seems closest to.

If I can sum up the book in one word, it is "Strieber-esque".

This is presented as a "roman a clef," a book written as a novel but purporting to contain facts that the author cannot otherwise talk about -- being, as he claims, under the restrictions of National Security. The author presents himself as a multi-disciplinary researcher: M.D. in neurology, PhD in theoretical physics, another degree in computer science, jet pilot, etc. The protagonist has done it all: flown recon missions over 'Nam, protected war orphans, cloned prototype soldiers in unethical medical experiments, served as a courier for the CIA to East Germany, created "organic" computers, worked with ET's, etc. Not since James Bond has one man done so much. He is an abductee from the age of 11, and has spent a lifetime working on Black projects. He has even worked out his own theory of the universe.

The book jacket says only:

Dr. Wolf, Chancellor Emeritus of The New England Institute for Advanced Research, maintains memberships in The New York Academy of Sciences and the American Association for the Advancement of Science, the latter as a patron member. He currently resides in Connecticut, where he writes and continues his work on a variety of projects.

(I wonder how much you have to donate to become a "patron member" of AAAS. \$200?)

Dorrance Publishing appears to be a vanity publisher, and the jacket says that all royalties from the book will go to the "The Daniel Wolf Memorial Foundation for the Children, Inc." The author hints that he is dying and that he has nothing much left to live for anyway. So why doesn't he just come out with it? Why this novelization?

Here are the goals of the book, as stated in the introduction:

The "Catchers of Heaven: A Trilogy" is not a long title for a three-volume history of mankind and his place in the universe; a history of time in the universe; the natural laws and forces of the universe; an argument against the Big Bang Theory of creation of the universe; and an argument for a new Grand Unified Theory (GUT) of everything in the universe.

This work describes the neighbors in the universe that have come to visit man and how they are able to get here - the nearest neighbor being thirty to thirty-two light years away; and why some of these neighbors chose to come to this planet (specifically those who have an interest, vested or invested, and those who are only tourists passing through).

This is an attempt to shed light on the human equation, what it means to lose a beloved wife and son; and, finally, what is the answer to life?

Needless to say, the book doesn't quite live up to those ambitious goals, but that is not to say it is worthless. As a novel or philosophy book, some of it is quite intriguing and thoughtful -- far more so, at least, than the one-dimensional dreck of William Cooper, "Val Valerian," "Dr." Frank Stranges and other pretending insiders. At least this guy has a brain. His book is far more compelling and readable, I think, than Strieber himself, whose work I have never been able to grasp.

The many scientific catch words seem to be used appropriately, although I wish the protagonist would go into some technical detail on any of his supposed academic specialties. There are hints of talented writing here and some real passion for philosophical issues. Although this novel could use a lot of editing, the author has a distinctive and sophisticated style which suggests to me that he has written novels before.

I searched for anything "new" regarding the government UFO cover-up (or folklore thereof) and didn't find much that hasn't been published before. Everything the book has to say about aliens and government programs could fit in a dozen pages, not the 402 allotted. A sample (page 280):

At the meeting, I stood stiffly at the head of a long, oak table. The heads of the support teams sat wordlessly reading the contents of the prepared briefing manuals, the selected briefing papers bound as Assessments. There was much to read; I felt increasingly the pressure of time.

"Ladies and gentlemen, if I may interrupt for a moment, I'd like to take you first to the section entitled, 'The Fire-Fight,' when one EBE was killed by a gunshot, a sloppy misunderstanding by the colonel in charge of guarding the so-called aliens, while there was a demonstration of a small anti-matter reactor."

Colonel Etienne DuPont of the French Secret Service raised his hand for a question. I had wanted to get this awful part of the presentation over with as soon as was

possible, with no questions taken until the end. He was a young-looking man of forty, apparently a little apprehensive about a suggested engagement with aliens. I nodded and asked what it was he wanted to know.

"Sir, did you say an alien was _killed_?"

I tried to smile, but could not. "I'll answer this, but your briefing documents cover everything, and I would appreciate any questions to be voiced after the presentation, as well as after your perusal of all the documents. As to your question, Colonel, yes, one alien was killed. It was a power-play on the part of our so-called elite military personnel and guards. There were two scientists present and the EBES, specifically, the Reticulian Four entities, were demonstrating the 100 percent power-producing annihilation reaction in a relatively small anti-matter reactor, using a super-heavy element, bombarding it with protons.

"You must remember that our nuclear bombs, both fission and fusion, do not yield anything near 100 percent energy, so the power being demonstrated was almost unthinkable to the two scientists observing the demonstration," I told them.

"The one Ret. Four - for short; you also see them designated as the 'Greys' - was doing the display and asked first that all rifles and especially the bullets in them be removed from the room, and I'm sure all here could see the possible implication of a gunshot during this display of enormous power. The - and I must stress this again - so-called elite military guards refused, and in the chaotic disorder and commotion that ensued, one alien, the two scientists, and forty-one military personnel were killed, simply because the colonel in charge of security refused the Grey's request. This occurred one May, nineteen hundred seventy-five, in Nevada."

So, the question is, Was this book written by someone with "inside knowledge" of a government E.T. program? I can't say. Even if true, a "novelization" of the facts doesn't help much, since the key to real research is being able to connect any given claim to our existing base of knowledge. In ufology, anyone can claim anything -- that they were abducted, that they worked for a nonexistent government program, or even that they are aliens themselves. Because of the "para-normal" nature of these claims, you can't prove that any of them are false. All you can say is "I can't pursue that right now." What distinguishes the more pursuable stories is the presence of specific details that can realistically be connected to the known world.

In spite of the many names it drops and the technical words it uses competently, this book still lacks enough specific detail to let me do anything with it. About the only way I can think of to investigate this book is to check out the background of the author himself. Of course, he insists in the book that the government can completely wipe out the identities of anyone it chooses, posing obvious complications for any background check. There is also the problem with "fictionalized" truth that you don't know what is fiction and what is truth, so you don't know what to check. About the only things stated as fact are on the book jacket, namely that he is "Dr." Wolf of the "New England Institute for Advanced Research" and that proceeds from the book will be given to "The Daniel Wolf Memorial Foundation for the Children, Inc.," which must have been registered with a state to get that "Inc." designation.

Alas, the lack of specific claims in the book doesn't give me the motivation to look into it right now. I cannot say that I have figured this book out, but I'm more inclined to let things "sift" than to actively pursue it myself. With other investigations competing for attention, I'd rate this as a low priority.

I don't carry this book in my own line of catalog items, because I sell only products I would personally recommend to my friends, and I still haven't decided about this one.

Copyright (c) 1996, Glenn Campbell, PO Box 448, Rachel, NV 89001.

May be freely reproduced on the internet provided entire message is kept intact, but no hard-copy publication or for-profit use is allowed without permission.

```
+----- U F O M I N D -----+
| Glenn Campbell                campbell@ufomind.com |
| AREA 51 RESEARCH CENTER - Las Vegas & Rachel, Nevada |
| UFOs - Gov't Secrets - Philosophy - Psychology      |
| http://www.ufomind.com   Box 448, Rachel, NV 89001 |
+-----+
```

Message #2

From: tonydinkel@clubnet.net (Tony Dinkel)
Subject: **Re: AREA 51: Military Reactor Explosion**
Date: Fri, 18 Oct 1996 18:02:34 -0700

>It was basically the China Syndrome, but with a small reactor, once the
>thing exploded there probably wasn't enough interaction between the
>scattered fuel to sustain the reaction. Anyway, the Army just encased
>the thing in concrete and left it there (with the dead soldiers
>inside). I can't verify any of this, but it all sounded reasonable to
>me.

This sounds more like the SL-1 incident. I am not sure where it was but I am pretty sure it was not in Nevada. I have run across some info on reactor criticality accidents on the web. I will try to find the URL's.

BTW, does anybody know what the letters in SCRAM actually stand for?

TD

Message #3

From: "Tim Joiner" <tjoiner@flash.net>
Subject: **Ed Dames interview on Art Bell**
Date: Fri, 18 Oct 1996 23:23:44 -0500

Tom Mahood recently said he listened to the RealAudio(tm)(c)(r) archives of Art Bell's interview with Maj. Ed Dames concerning what Dames claims is what really goes on at Area 51. Maj. Dames appeared on Art's program twice in recent months, and the text transcripts of both programs are available from Art's web site.

The May 31st, 1996 interview is at:
<http://www.artbell.com/art/dame0531.txt>

and the June 14th, 1996 interview is at:
<http://www.artbell.com/art/dame0614.txt>

The interview of June 14th is the one where Area 51 is specifically mentioned. IMHO reading them takes much less time than listening to the audio replay.

Tim Joiner
Benton, Arkansas

You may not agree with the opinions stated above...
BUT YOU SHOULD!

Message #4

From: David Martin <drmartin@ivie.com>
Subject: **SL-1 Reactor Accident, Idaho Falls, 1961**
Date: Sun, 20 Oct 1996 10:04:22 -0700

RE: Military Reactor Explosion

I believe the story referred to by Tom Walton was actually the SL-1 Accident at the Nuclear Reactor Testing Station in Idaho. (Now called Idaho National Engineering Laboratory - INEL). A brief description of the SL-1 accident can be found at <http://www.envirolink.org/issues/nuketesting/accident/critical.htm#cr010361> and is attached below. A nuclear reaction generated the heat, but a steam explosion was responsible for blowing the rods out of the core and impaling one of the workers. Many rescue personal risked high radiation exposures in an attempt to assist the original workers.

Information on other Nuclear Criticality and Radiation Accidents can be found at <http://www.envirolink.org/issues/nuketesting/accident/index.htm>

SL-1 EXCURSION

Idaho Falls, Idaho, Jan. 3, 1961

A nuclear excursion occurred within the reactor vessel, resulting in extensive damage of the reactor core and room, and in high radiation levels (approximately 500-1,000 rem/hr) within the reactor room.

At the time of the accident, a three-man crew was on the top of the reactor assembling the control rod drive mechanisms and housing. The nuclear excursion, which resulted in an explosion, was caused by manual withdrawal, by one or more, of the maintenance crew, of the central control rod blade from the core considerably beyond the limit specified in the maintenance procedures.

Two members of the crew were killed instantly by the force of the explosion, and the third man died within two hours following the incident as a result of an injury to the head. Of the several hundred people engaged in recovery operations, 22 persons received radiation exposures in the range of three to 27 rem gamma radiation total-body exposure. The maximum whole-body beta radiation was 120 rem.

Some gaseous fission products, including radioactive iodine, escaped to the atmosphere outside the building and were carried downwind in a narrow plume. Particulate fission material was largely confined to the reactor building, with slight radioactivity in the immediate vicinity of the building.

The total property loss was \$4,350,000. (See T1D-5360, Suppl. 4, p. 8; 1962 Nuclear Safety. Vol. 3, #3, p. 64.)

+----- U F O M I N D -----+
| Glenn Campbell | campbell@ufomind.com |
| AREA 51 RESEARCH CENTER - Las Vegas & Rachel, Nevada |
| UFOs - Gov't Secrets - Philosophy - Psychology |
| <http://www.ufomind.com> | Box 448, Rachel, NV 89001 |
+-----+

Message #5

From: mayor@tiac.net (Ken MacGray)
Subject: **Any New Information on "Nellis UFO"?**
Date: Sun, 20 Oct 1996 10:57:59 -0700

UFO Over Area S-30?

Does anyone have any more information on a sighting of an alleged UFO over

the Nellis Range during 1994? There is film of the sighting that was allegedly smuggled out of the base and sent to the folks at Sightings...

A description of the event as well as audio clips and video stills can be found at:

<http://web2.airmail.net/yogi/s30.html>

=====
Ken MacGray, Administrator - Virtual Village BBS
Central Massachusetts' Premier Online Service
508-368-4222 - email:mayor@tiac.net - <http://www.tiac.net/users/mayor>
Area 51 Images/Lore/News: <http://www.tiac.net/users/mayor/a51/>
=====

FROM MODERATOR:

Also see...
http://www.ufomind.com/area51/desert_rat/1995/dr22/#nellis
<http://www.ufomind.com/area51/orgs/nellis>

-- GC

+----- U F O M I N D -----+
| Glenn Campbell | campbell@ufomind.com |
| AREA 51 RESEARCH CENTER - Las Vegas & Rachel, Nevada |
| UFOs - Gov't Secrets - Philosophy - Psychology |
| <http://www.ufomind.com> | Box 448, Rachel, NV 89001 |
+-----+

Message #6

From: PaulMcG@aol.com
Subject: **Open Skies Treaty Will Bar FOIA**
Date: Sun, 20 Oct 1996 11:44:47 -0700

The Senate and the Open Skies Treaty

Glenn -- Since I know you are interested in the Open Skies Treaty, you might be interested in what the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence had to say about it. Basically, after having a hearing on it where they decided it was good for arms control, they summarized their points in the extract below. The really bad part of the Senate's action is their recommendation #5, which puts the information collected by foreign government under Open Skies beyond the reach of information the public can get under the FOIA. This means that while the Russians are allowed to fly over Groom Lake, the American taxpayers can't use the FOIA to get the information the Russians obtained!!

Paul McGinnis / PaulMcG@aol.com
<http://www.frogi.org/secretcy.html> [military secrecy site]
<http://members.aol.com/paulmcg/> [home page]

----- cut here -----
Senate Report 103-44--Intelligence and Security Implications of the Treaty on Open Skies

The Committee's report included the following recommendations, which were also transmitted to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee:

Recommendation #1: After the first 1-2 years, the United States should not use its full active observation flight quota unless there is a clear likelihood of obtaining significant information through those flights. Unless an environmental sensing package is adopted under Open Skies, only two aircraft should be used for Open Skies flights after the transitional period.

Recommendation #2: The United States should make every effort to use a U.S. observation aircraft and sensors in its Open Skies observation flights.

Recommendation #3: The Senate should add a condition to the resolution of ratification to the effect that the United States shall not agree to Open Skies Consultative Commission approval of any new Open Skies sensor or of one with improved resolution until at least thirty days after notifying

interested Committees of the Senate of its intention to do so; such notification shall include an analysis of the legal and security implications of the proposed change or changes.

Recommendation #4: The Executive branch should institute an outreach program to inform industry about the likely impact of the Open Skies Treaty and to offer appropriate assistance in safeguarding proprietary information that may be put at risk. Such assistance need not incur major costs to the government and could, if necessary, be user-funded.

Recommendation #5: Congress should consider legislation to create a new b(3) exemption to the Freedom of Information Act that would permit the Government to withhold information collected pursuant to the treaty from public disclosure.

The condition proposed in Recommendation #3 was included in the resolution of ratification passed by the Senate on August 6, 1993, as was a declaration based upon Recommendation #1. The legislation suggested in Recommendation #5 was enacted as Section 533 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995 (P.L. 103-236).

Message #7

From: Tom Mahood <tmahood@netcom.com>
Subject: **Catchers of Heaven - Unbelievably Bad!**
Date: Mon, 21 Oct 1996 18:51:53 -0700

I finally had a chance to go through "The Catchers of Heaven" by Dr. (allegedly) Michael Wolf. I can't recall when I've read a more bizarre book. It's a rambling stream of (un)consciousness that manages to be very articulate and incoherent at the same time. Just part of the fun is that he seems incapable of using only a single title for a chapter. Some chapters have 8 (!!) titles. Imagine the worst piece of New Age garbage you've ever read, then square the effect.

This thing is supposedly a fictionalized account of the author's life. While in college, he was recruited by the "Company" (i.e., the CIA) where he earned extra book money by acting as an occasional courier to Europe. While keeping his affiliation with the CIA (and eventually the NSA) he completed medical school and became a Neurologist. But that wasn't enough for our humble author. He continued his schooling (he never says where) and obtained a Ph.D. in Theoretical Physics. I was looking to see if he also headed up a rock band, in which case we would be dealing with Buckaroo Banzai! Do you get the idea that maybe the guy is some sort of genius? Ahhh, THAT'S the point!

So where are the aliens and what does this have to do with Area 51? Unfortunately, not much. About two thirds to three quarters of the book are spent with the author whining about his dead wife and son, who were killed in a car accident while he happened to be driving. He escaped with a two week coma. (I speculate they deliberately yanked the wheel to end their misery of being related to this clown!) To hear him describe his beyond-perfect family life (at least until kills them mercifully off) makes "Leave it to Beaver" look like the Manson Family. Oh yeah, then there's the non-sexual, semi-homosexual relationship he enters into with his son's best friend, after his son's death. I can't begin to explain all THAT to you.....

However, every so often, his medication must have kicked in and there are a few coherent pages about aliens. Not many, but a few. He does mention S-4 and the mode of operation of the discs, but in a very odd way. While he does mention a few of the elements of the Lazar story, in no instance does he add anything at all beyond what is already public knowledge. Everything is EXACTLY as Lazar described, and nothing beyond. He even precisely repeats some of Lazar's terminology, to the point it's all very suspicious. I'd expect a few differences in viewpoint or terminology from someone who had been in the program for many years. I should point out he makes only a few mentions of things that Lazar has told of. Lazar's is a far richer tale. But in no case does he mention anything Lazar didn't see or was told. Also, curiously, he NEVER mentions Bob Lazar. Odd, since he's telling the same story.

The same occurs with his mention of his activities under the MJ-12 group. All the references he makes and terminology he uses is straight out of the

MJ-12 papers, which if valid, are over 40 years old. It doesn't seem likely that the organization or names of groups would remain the same after the amount of public disclosure that has occurred.

And author Wolf seems to have a liking for the movies, beyond his already mentioned "Buckaroo Banzai" status. At one point, he is appalled to discover the presence of autopsy tables in alien receiving areas having tie down straps. He realizes you only need straps if what you're slicing an' dicing is still wigglin'. Anyone remember that same scene out of the movie "Starman"? Later in the book he remarks, " Humans are at their best when things are at their worst", a line ripped off directly from "Starman".

A final curiosity for your consideration. The author claims to be terminal with colon cancer that has already metastasized to his hip. That actually is a pretty nasty situation and at that point you are pretty close to headin' into the light. However the book was first printed in 1993, and printed and copyrighted again in 1996. It would seem this guy is lingering around a record amount of time for someone in his condition.

Bottom line: This guy (whoever the Hell he is) tells us nothing new at all. If anything, the wild manner in which this is written lessens what little credibility the topics he touches upon might have already had. This book is a major waste of time to read and a waste of money to buy. I cannot begin to explain why this book was even printed. Aside from that, it was great!

Tom

Message #8

From: PaulMcG@aol.com
Subject: **Air Force publications online**
Date: Mon, 21 Oct 1996 23:21:03 -0400

I'd like to thank Howard German (hgerman@banmail.ml.com), for pointing me towards <http://afpubs.hq.af.mil/elec-products/> where the Air Force now has a number of forms and manuals available for downloading. I think the Air Force should be thanked for making these manuals, such as the security document AFI 31-701, "Program Protection Planning", available to the public. I happen to know that the Air Force has more manuals available electronically, such as Air Combat Command manuals or some from the Air Force Flight Test Center (AFFTC) at Edwards AFB that are now available only to military or government sites, that should also be made publicly accessible. (As a side note, it saves the Air Force doing this would probably prevent a number of Freedom of Information Act cases for these manuals.) I'm not sure why the Air Force insists on putting the message "COMPLIANCE with Air Force Instructions is MANDATORY!" in red on every screen at this site though.

The documents are mostly in Microsoft Word 6 .DOC format (for Windows or Macs), but some are in Adobe Acrobat .PDF format. (You might be able to use the MS-Word 5.1 file converter (for Mac) or MS-Word 2.0 converter (for Windows) for MS-Word 6 documents, available from <http://www.microsoft.com/> to convert these .DOC files to earlier versions.) The files are stored as PKZIP .EXE files, that are self-extracting archives on PCs.

For Mac users, the process gets more complicated. First, you will need to use ZipIt to decompress the files -- the latest version can be found at <ftp://info-mac/cmp/zip-it-135.hqx> although it may be advisable to just connect to <ftp://info-mac/cmp/> to see if there is a newer version. ZipIt must be properly configured to extract the files cleanly. Go to the Preferences menu and set Compression Prefs to never use MacBinary. Then, you will need to map the PC suffix (.DOC or .PDF) to the appropriate Mac application. (Mac files have a hidden 4-character file type and creator that associates a file with a program and its icon.) Under the Preferences menu, select Extensions. Put DOC in the Extensions box, TEXT in the File Type box, and MSWD in the Creator box. Clicking on the Add button means that .DOC files will automatically be unZIPped as Microsoft Word files. Also, Put PDF in the Extensions box, PDF (with a space as the 4th character) in the File Type box, and CARO in the Creator box for the Adobe Acrobat files. Then, if you use the option "Look Inside Files" after you've downloaded the PC .EXE files, ZipIt should be able to properly decompress the Air Force documents on the Mac. Also, unless install the PC fonts such as Times Roman New and Courier New that come with MS-Word for the Mac 6, the files will not print out properly.

Paul McGinnis / PaulMcG@aol.com

<http://www.frogi.org/secretcy.html>

[military secrecy site]

<http://members.aol.com/paulmcg/>

[home page]

Message #9

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)

Subject: **UFO over Belgium in 1990 was not a LoFlyte**

Date: Tue, 22 Oct 1996 08:06:48 -0700

=46rom: ufocom@skynet.be

Subject: **UFO over Belgium in 1990 was not a LoFlyte**

[MODERATORS NOTE: The Belgian UFO would normally be considered beyond our list's charter. It is one of the topics that deserves its own mailing list and would take over ours if we let it. However, specific US Government UFO= involvement _is_ a topic of our list, so this posting can be justified at least as refuting this connection. It also provides a link to an interestin= g official web site for the LoFlyte, which seems like it ought to have a Groom= Lake presence at some point. --GC]

=46rom: ufocom@skynet.be

To: campbell@ufomind.com

Subject: **UFO over Belgium in 1990 was not a LoFlyte**

Date: Tue, 22 Oct 1996 11:51:03 +0100

Dear Sir,

Last 11 august, the british newspaper "The Sunday Times" published an articl= e about a new US military plane named "LoFlyte". It said that the belgian UFO wave in 90 was caused by a test-flight of that aircraft.=20

My team and my self have investigated about this affair and, now, we are= sure that the Sunday Times has made a mistake or...lies! There are few reasons :

1- The Marketing Coordinator of the company "Accurate Automation Corp.", mister Topher Kersting, sent me a mail and said that the first test-flight of the LoFlyte will occure next month.

2- The Langley Research Center confirms that the LoFlyte was, not long ago,= just a model in their "wind tunnel" for aerodynamic tests.

3- Some press releases of american media confirm the point "2".

We have sent a letter to the US embassy in Brussel, Belgium, asking for a confirmation of the Times article. Tha answer came this morning : we can tak= e your request in consideration, blahblahblah...

Now we are sure that the LoFlyte was not the belgian UFO in the early 90's!
Interesting web adress :=20

<http://www.accurate-automation.com/projects/loflyte/loflytemain.htm>

We've sent a press release for the media in Belgium to coorrect the informati= on.

No one wants to say something about it in their columns!! Incredible.
Can you do something on your web site?

Best Regards

Thierry Wathelet
UFOCOM Coordinator
- BELGIUM -

adress : UFOCOM, 86 rue de Charleroi, 5650 Yves-Gomez=E9e, Belgium
tel/fax: * 32 71 655 300

email : ufocom@skynet.be

Message #10

From: mayor@tiac.net (Ken MacGray)
Subject: 1000 Man March on Area 51 in 1998
Date: Wed, 23 Oct 1996 06:57:33 -0400

Say goodbye to these yahoos... Reposted from aca51. If anyone in the SoCal area could attend this meeting and file a report, that would be great...
-Ken

From: groomwatch@aol.com (GroomWatch)
Newsgroups: alt.conspiracy.area51
Subject: A Thousand-Man March Into AREA 51 in 1998
Date: 23 Oct 1996 01:06:39 -0400

A proposal to create a Steering Committee for an unprecedented THOUSAND-MAN MARCH INTO AREA 51 IN 1998, will be unveiled this coming November 14, 7:30 p.m., at the Granada Forum in Southern California (Tel. 818-385-4003) by noted AREA 51 "Conspiratologist", Norio Hayakawa, who will be speaking on the topic: "Area 51 - Deceptive Agenda For the New World Order". Hayakawa's proposed THOUSAND-MAN MARCH INTO AREA 51 IN 1998, is scheduled to take place on June 6, 1998. His controversial proposal is based on his hypothesis that a secret 'government' has in the past utilised and manipulated "occultic" symbolism when bringin about certain conditions to coincide with prophetically significant events. Hayakawa says that 1998 is not only the year of "triple 666" but also "coincides" with the 50th anniversary of the modern-day re-birth of Israel and also with the projected year of full operation of the HAARP systems currently being expanded in Alaska. A fake "extraterrestrial" type of event could well be simulated at that time, right over AREA 51, the nation's most advanced technological research, development and testing center and the location which was probably pre-designated by the powers-that-be for the simulated scenario. Meanwhile, the alternative event, the THOUSAND-MAN MARCH INTO AREA 51, which will also be covered by major news media, will begin at 6 a.m. and will take place at a staging area which will temporarily be set up near an open area adjacent to the notorious "Warning Sign" boundary on Groom Road. Throughout the day, keynote speakers will be given opportunity to voice their concerns and demands on "government accountability", whether it be the cover-up of toxic materials being used at AREA 51, or the cover-up of millions, if not billions of hard-earned tax dollars spent in excessive 'deep black' projects, alleged cover-up of back-engineering programs for recovered "disks", etc., etc. Then, it will culminate with a 6 p.m. march into the facility by a pre-designated contingent of the participants, accompanied also by a pre-designated contingent of TV news crew and other media representatives. Nellis Air Force Base as well as Lincoln County Sheriff Dept. will be contacted way in advance with regards to this event, which is expected to attract hundreds of spectators and curiosity seekers. Large generators will be needed for the event, as well as hundreds of volunteers in any capacity (construction crew, electricians, etc.) Willing participants and keynote speakers are asked to contact: The Steering Committee THOUSAND-MAN MARCH, 1998 c/o CIVINT OPS P.O. BOX 599 GARDENA, CA 90248 See: http://www.peg.apc.org/~newdawn/norio.htm

Ken MacGray, Administrator - Virtual Village BBS
Central Massachusetts' Premier Online Service
508-368-4222 - email:mayor@tiac.net - http://www.tiac.net/users/mayor
Area 51 Images/Lore/News: http://www.tiac.net/users/mayor/a51/

Message #11

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
Subject: **Misc.: ID4 Defender, Space Command FOIA, Reactor Incident**
Date: Thu, 24 Oct 1996 14:11:42 -0700

ID4 DEFENDER SPEAKS

[ID4 fans are an articulate bunch, as evidenced by this email....]

Date: Thu, 24 Oct 1996 09:15:11 -0400
From: 106417.461@compuserve.com
To: webmaster@ufomind.com
Subject: **ID4**

Hi!

I think you have made a nice little much cost B-Movie that may not be brought into connection with the great story of war of the worlds from H.G.Wells which he has written far before your births. You made this film too american like and I think that is the only reason why it got so popular. But great remarks to the special effects team! You are worth your money.

Note 1: Why didn't Brent Spiner play the President?

Note 2: This text should not be taken to serious by the makers of this page!

Note 3: Pleas excuse my fucking bad english!

Note 4: Thank you for reading this stuff.

SPACE COMMAND FOIA REQUEST FAILS

[Passed to us by John_R_Schafer@groton.pfizer.com ...]

From: bdzeiler@primenet.com (Brian Zeiler)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors,alt.paranet.ufo,alt.alien.research,sci.skeptic,
alt.freedom.of.information.act
Subject: **Results of US Space Command FOIA request**
Date: 22 Oct 1996 20:44:04 -0700

As most here will recall, I sent an initial FOIA request to US Space Command back in May for all of their "uncorrelated observation" data, or UFO sightings detected electronically in near-Earth orbit. I was told that they had 117,000 pages of tracks going back to 1990 for \$3,000. I then asked for a week's sample worth of data, which I presumed would be free.

Two weeks ago, I finally received the response for my week's request. I was told that the search was halted because of the expense incurred; they had completed 30% of the week in question and had run up a cost of \$4,000. That translates loosely to about \$4.5 million for the entire data set, considerably higher than the initial quote of about \$3,000.

I finally got through to the FOIA officers at the base yesterday, and they told me the following information. The reason for the high cost is due to the redaction of classified information from the data set, and the costs exceeded expectations because much of the data had to be separated manually, given the lack of any automated system to do so (though I cannot imagine why a computer program cannot do that, given that the data was on computer).

I asked what in particular about the data deserved classification, and I was told that they are not obligated to tell me anything other than that it is classified. I would suspect that data is classified because it reveals sensitivities of our detection equipment that foreigners should not know, because our equipment detected objects that because of their nature should not be revealed, or both.

I asked whether the classified portions underwent a classification review consistent with Clinton's executive order for mandatory review, and I was told that it was indeed reviewed but found to be exempt from disclosure because it is properly classified.

Finally, I asked about whether or not the fee can be appealed, but the FOIA only provides fee appeals after fees have been paid for the materials, not before. So an appeal is out of the question.

To conclude, this appears to be a dead end. I will now destroy the checks that were donated. Thanks to all who generously contributed to the fund drive since this summer.

REACTOR EXPLOSION

[Probably same as "Idaho Falls" reactor incident mentioned in earlier post.]

:Subject: Military Reactor Explosion
:Date: Sun, 20 Oct 96 22:05:25 -0700
:From: Chris Barrus <xibalba@pacificnet.net>

:This sounds a lot like an accident that happened at a experimental
:reactor that the Army built at Arco, Idaho ("the first city powered by
:atomic energy!") sometime in the 50's. I don't have any specific
:references on the accident, but I suppose one of the "no nukes" books
:will at least namecheck it. I know it's mentioned in We Almost Lost
:Detroit by early UFO-hypemeisted John Fuller.

:
:--chris

:
:-----
:Chris Barrus - No-Fi, IndieWeb, etc | "Who wouldn't want the Eyes of
:<http://www.pacificnet.net/~xibalba/> | Frozen Shrimp on their side?"

[[Archive Index](#) | [Mailing List Information](#)]

Sponsored by the Area 51 Research Center (www.ufomind.com)

Created: 24 Oct 1996