

Area 51 Mailing List Digest v096.n028

9 Dec 1996

Index

- 01 - campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell) - [Are there two "Site 4"'s on the Nellis Range?](#)
- 02 - campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell) - [Miscellanea: Area 52, Glass not Klass, Fallout, Exec. Orders, Self-Focussing Laser Developed at Los Alamos \[Aviation Week\]](#)
- 03 - campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell) - [Early History of Groom Lake Explored in Article \[News Story\]](#)
- 04 - campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell) - [Other Lazar Possibilities](#)
- 05 - Ashley Rye <106064.3617@c...> - [Question about S-4 on DOE documents](#)
- 06 - James R Graham <jrg@world...> - [Typical tourist advice for visit to Rachel & Tikaboo](#)
- 07 - campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell) - [Insider says areas SW of Toelle, Utah, deserve a look](#)
- 08 - campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell) - [Army's "S-4" staff office could explain DOE documents](#)
- 09 - campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell) - [SW of Toelle, Utah, deserve a look, plus Fort Huachuca](#)
- 10 - "A.J. Craddock" <craddock...> - [Stanton Friedman's rebuts Area 51 Negativists](#)
- 11 - campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell) - [Groom Lake history article is very interesting](#)
- 12 - campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell)

[[Archive Index](#) | [Mailing List Information](#)]

Message #1

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
Subject: **Are there two "Site 4"'s on the Nellis Range?**
Date: Sun, 8 Dec 1996 12:30:25 -0800

I continue to be haunted by "Site 4/S4".

There is a "Site 4" located between the Tonopah Test Range and Groom Lake. It is a large radar testing site -- still secret, but you can see it from public land, and there is no question that Site 4 is its name. It seems to be radar, nothing more.

It seems a remarkable coincidence that there would be both a large, Top Secret "Site 4" west of Groom Lake and a large Ultra Top Secret "S4" south of Groom Lake, so it is easy to assume that Lazar's "S4" was a corruption (and rip-off) of the real "Site 4."

Trouble is, former workers keep telling me there was an "S4" at "51", completely separate from "Site 4", and it was AT PAPOOSE LAKE. Two sources in particular are adamant: Although they never went there, they say there WAS a Site 4 or S4 south of Groom (One says "Site 4", the other says "S4", and they knew "for a fact" that a major project went on there. (Workers generally regard everything in the northeast of the Nevada Test Site as "51". If it is northwest of the Test Site, it is "TTR.")

Another former 51 worker with a personal interest in UFOs tells me otherwise. He is equally adamant that Lazar's "S4" was a rip-off of the other "Site 4," and he scolds me for spending too much energy on Lazar. He thinks Lazar is a con man, plain and simple, albeit one with some inside knowledge of range operations. Although this former employee is open to the possibility of gov't UFOs elsewhere, he says he thinks there are none in Nevada. He says that Lazar worked briefly on the Nellis Range for "Arcada Industries" (sp?) shooting Smoky Sams and that this is where he picked up his knowledge of the range. (This fairly low-level position would not have given him much access, however.)

Still if indeed there is a non-UFO "S4" out there, so ultra secret that it is buried in the mountain, then how would Lazar have knowledge of it.... and what IS going on there?

Then there was a former Test Site worker, an electrician as I

recall, who told me he worked on an underground room at "51" with children-sized furniture in it. HE asked ME what I think of the Lazar story, as if he is trying to answer the question himself.

The anecdotes go on and on. For every story that refutes Lazar, there's another one that opens the door again -- all from sources I regard as genuine (although, as always, unconfirmable). Even inside the line, no one seems to know what is going on!

Arrrggggggh! Why won't Lazar stay buried?!! Lazar has certainly lied, and nothing he says can be trusted; I just can't find the dividing line between the lie and reality.

Glenn

*** Investigating Area 51 isn't like "The X-Files." It's more like "Twin Peaks." Endlessly weird, and at a certain point you just don't care who killed Laura Palmer. ***

```

+-----U F O M I N D-----+
| Glenn Campbell                campbell@ufomind.com |
| AREA 51 RESEARCH CENTER - Las Vegas & Rachel, Nevada |
| UFOs - Gov't Secrets - Philosophy - Psychology      |
| http://www.ufomind.com   Box 448, Rachel, NV 89001 |
+-----+

```

Message #2

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
 Subject: **Miscellanea: Area 52, Glass not Klass, Fallout, Exec. Orders, etc.**
 Date: Sun, 8 Dec 1996 12:30:34 -0800

To unsubscribe from this mailing list, send a message with the word "unsubscribe" in the body to area51-request@lists.best.com

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC PICTURE SOUGHT

Date: Thu, 5 Dec 1996 16:47:51 -0800
 From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
 Subject: **Nat. Geog. Pix**

Can someone who gets the National Geographic scan the Janet picture for the web?

(The Janet terminal and a 737 appear in a two-page spread on the first page of an article on Las Vegas in the Dec. issue. It looks like one of the best pictures taken of the area. Our Research Center annex is visible, too.)

I can provide web space for it.

AREA 52

Date: Thu, 5 Dec 1996 16:47:43 -0800
 From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
 Subject: **Re: AREA 51: Welcome To Area 52!**

>TV Guide has launched Area 52, a section of their website devoted to >sci-fi programming. Why Area 52? Did someone goof on the numbering >scheme? Did they cave in under pressure from *them* to not use the name >of our favorite secret base in the context of a commercial entity?

According to the Nevada Test Site phone book, Area 52 is the Tonopah Test Range.

FALLOUT TESTING AT GROOM MINE

Date: Sun, 8 Dec 1996 10:09:00 -0800
To: James Graham <jgraham@genetics.com>
From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
Subject: **Re: Randle vs. Friedman**

>The document
>that I found referring to Area 51-55, apparently is referring to those
>years, not area designations. The date of the document, however, is
>1953. Is this document sort of a forecast of what the DOE was going
>to do in the future? The wording of the title seems to indicate that
>the rest of the document is a report of 'biotic resources' of the
>groom mine area as of 6/52. How could they know what the 'biotic
>resources' of the groom mine area would be two years in the future?
>Oh! Time travel! Another conspiracy!

My guess (without seeing the document) is that 6/52 is the date of
a bomb test. They would have monitored the Groom Mine area both
before and after the test.

=====

EXECUTIVE ORDERS

Date: Thu, 05 Dec 1996 06:05:54 -0500
From: Ben McLaughlin <sitar6@geocities.com>

A couple years ago I was active on FidoNet UFO and somebody claiming to
be an executive records secretary or something said there were no secret
Executive orders. He said that in the history of the US there had only
been six of these guys because no one fired them. They were record clerks
and understood the paperwork maze. Since the MJ-12 was created by a
secret executive order numbered in the thousands (I may have the number
wrong) it wasn't real. He also stated that there weren't even that many
normal executive orders. Anybody know anything about this?

=====

GLASS, NOT KLAAS, ON SIMPSONS

From: "Gingrich, Brian" <cgingr@chi-msmail-gw.isl.com>
Subject: **Phillip <K>lass ?**
Date: Thu, 05 Dec 96 15:58:00 GMT

In v96, n26, Glenn Campbell (well known writer and singer of 'Gentle on My
Mind' 8^) writes.....

>IF THAT AIN'T CONSPIRACY ENOUGH, an interesting reference also
>showed up in Sunday's episode of the Simpsons. Homer is worried
>that Marge might leave him, so he buys a weeks worth of tickets
>for her at a theatre she seems to be interested in. One of the
>tickets sounded like "An Evening with Philip Klass".>>

My guess is that it was actually "An Evening with Phillip Glass", who is a
well known minimalist composer. The interesting thing about it, to continue
the thread, is that P. Glass wrote an opera several years ago (really more of
a multi media thing) called '1,000 Airplanes on the Roof', which is about
alien abductions.

Either way, it's an obtuse reference to aliens. It's all a conspiracy, I
tells ya'.

Date: Thu, 05 Dec 1996 15:30:01 -0500
From: Brad Hodges <brad.hodges@generalmedia.com>
Subject: **No Klass**

Alas, Homer was not mentioning Philip Klass, he had purchased tickets to
Phillip Glass, a composer of dubious talent whose trademark is endless
noodling on an electric keyboard. His most famous work may be the score to
Koyaanisquatsi (I doubt I spelled that right).

Date: Sat, 7 Dec 1996 12:25:32 -0600
From: tmdavis@earthlink.net (Theran Davis)
Subject: **re: Klass on Simpsons?**

Actually, Homer said "An Evening with Philip Glass." Glass is a new-age
composer who did, among other things, the score for an off-broadway

production called "1000 Airplanes on the Roof", which just happened to be about a man being abducted from his home by aliens.....

=====

REJECTED MAILING LIST MESSAGES

Date: Thu, 5 Dec 1996 10:03:07 -0800
To: area51@lists.best.com
From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
Subject: **Rejected Messages**

Most messages rejected from the mailing list can now be found at
<http://www.ufomind.com/area51/list/out/1996/>

The file names aren't meaningful, but the dates are valid.

Message #3

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
Subject: **Self-Focussing Laser Developed at Los Alamos [Aviation Week]**
Date: Sun, 8 Dec 1996 12:35:43 -0800

[I'm not sure it is on-topic, but interesting. -- GC]

To: psyspy@vegas.infi.net
From: tonydinkel@clubnet.net (Tony Dinkel)
Subject: **photon torpedo?**

>From Aviation Week and Space Technology/December 2, 1996 Page 36:

A laser being developed at Los Alamos National Laboratory appears to have the ability to travel through the atmosphere for hundreds of kilometers without losses from dispersion, diffraction and scattering.

Though only in basic research, uses may include laser weapons remote sensing through clouds, optical power transmission and use as a lightning rod to trigger a bolt away from an airport.

Researchers found that when the intensity of a light pulse exceeds a critical value, the laser pulse self-focuses into a thin filament, propagates without diverging and avoids the losses from spreading that affect normal lasers. Filament diameter is about 100 micron or 2 to three times the diameter of a human hair. The light evolution is entirely different from traditional linear or non-linear propagation, and the effects that lead to the self focusing and overcome the spreading losses are not yet understood, according to researcher Xin Miao Zhao.

A titanium-sapphire laser is being used, pumped by a YAG laser, to produce 100 to 150 femto second pulses (10^{-15} sec.), 50 milli-joule pulses at 5Hz. Power is raised by expanding, pumping to amplify, and recompressing the pulses. The filament then burns everything, Zhao said, and could not be sent through the optical window. But compression of the beamwidth could be stopped short of filament size, leaving it large enough to go through a quartz window without damage, and allowing the pulses to self compress to filament size in the air.

"Chirping" may tune the laser to control the range at which the beam focuses into a filament, producing intense packets of light or "optical bullets." (sounds like a photon torpedo to me! td)

The intense pulse causes light to be emitted by the atmosphere, which is guided back along the beam path toward the laser. This might be used to detect specific particles in the atmosphere.

But a great deal of research remains before it will be known if the laser will be practical. To date it has only been tested over a 30 meter path, the length of the lab. "Range clearance" will pose a challenge for open air tests to ensure that there are no aircraft or satellites in the way. Size and power do not appear to be limitations. Commercial lasers are being used, powered by 110vac.

Message #4

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
Subject: **Early History of Groom Lake Explored in Article [News Story]**
Date: Sun, 8 Dec 1996 16:39:15 -0800

"History of Groom Lake explored in scholarly article"

Las Vegas Review-Journal
Dec. 8, 1996, page 3J

"This Was Nevada" Column

By Phillip I. Earl
Nevada Historical Society

In recent years, Nevada's Groom Mining District has come to the attention of the general public because of the secretive nature of the activities of various national defense agencies there and the persistent belief of many Americans that their own government is engaged in extraterrestrial research.

In an article in the spring, 1996, issue of The Nevada Historical Society Quarterly titled "A Mine, the Military, and a Dry Lake: National Security and the Groom District," Temple University historian Gary Paine chronicles the history of the district from the passing of the first immigrant parties of the 1840s through the Wheeler Expeditions, the mining era and the military period of the 1930s to the present.

Paine neither confirms nor denies the wilder speculations of those who insist that aliens and their spacecraft are being held at Groom Lake, "Area 51" or "Dreamland," in current parlance, but he does provide a solid history as well as some insights into the manner in which the U.S. government conducts military affairs and treats its own citizens, the Sheahan family in particular, who have had mining interests at Groom for a century or more.

The recent history of Groom is an integral part of the Cold War, 1945 to the present, as well as the history of the Nevada Test Site, nuclear weapons development in Nevada, Nellis Air Force Base, the chronicle of various governmental agencies and the budgetary subterfuge of Congress designed to cloak military intelligence activities and the development of new weapons systems.

Readers seeking an introduction to 20th-century Nevada should not miss this article. Copies are available at the historical society's Reno museum at a cost of \$6. Mail orders are also being taken. Write the Nevada Historical Society, 1650 N. Virginia St., Reno, NV 89503. Add \$1.50 for postage and handling. For further information, call the society in Reno at (702) 688-1191.

Message #5

From: Ashley Rye <106064.3617@compuserve.com>
Subject: **Other Lazar Possibilities**
Date: Mon, 9 Dec 1996 07:18:53 -0800

Glenn wrote:

>Arrrrggggggh! Why won't Lazar stay buried?!! Lazar has certainly
>lied, and nothing he says can be trusted; I just can't find the
>dividing line between the lie and reality.

>Glenn

I enjoyed that post, and your efforts to get to grips with the

real truth are commendable. It seems fairly clear that Lazar's educational background is unverifiable bunk, but has it occurred to you that he might have been deliberately set up as part of a deal? We won't cause you any problems if you accept phoney qualifications that will discredit you? Or that he might be a CIA spook, part of a gradual public conditioning program? I'm glad you perceive the dual aspects of this case, because one doesn't need be a genius to see that something out there IS being covered up, what we would like know is, precisely what.

Ash

Message #6

From: James R Graham <jrg@world.std.com>
Subject: **Question about S-4 on DOE documents**
Date: Mon, 9 Dec 1996 09:33:55 -0500 (EST)

Hi all,
I was wondering if someone more informed than I would be able to comment on the following two document titles I found in the DOE's database while searching for S-4 (s ADJ 4).

TWX TO CDR84TH ENGR BN ENEWETAK MI //S-4//, ET AL, SUBJECT: ENEWETAK CLEAN UP PROJECT RETRO CARGO SEALIFT SUPPORT

DISPOSITION FORM TO S-4, ET AL, SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR FORKLIFT (ATT: MEMO TO HOLMES AND NARVER, ET AL RE SAME)

Is S-4 a standard military designation (the originating agency for these two docs is the US Army)? I haven't seen it anywhere except where Bob Lazar is involved.

As an aside question, I have found various other military/USG databases that I am unable to search. Do I need a .mil or .gov domain to get access to these? Just curious.

james

Message #7

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
Subject: **Typical tourist advice for visit to Rachel & Tikaboo**
Date: Mon, 9 Dec 1996 07:36:27 -0800

To: [An Inquiring Tourist]
From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
Subject: **Re: I need you Help.**

>So it would be better for me to stay in Vegas then Rachel?

Rachel accommodations are pretty crude and there isn't anything to do there. Rachel may be an amusing place to visit for 15 minutes but there is no reason to linger. In Vegas, there are more choices (although prices can be high on weekends). Another option is two small motels in Alamo halfway between.

>How long of a day is it from Vegas?

2-1/2 hours from Vegas to Rachel. In the summer, you could start very early in the morning from Vegas. Hike Tikaboo. Go on to Rachel in the late afternoon. Drive down the road to the restricted signs and wave to the guards. Stay into the evening to look for UFOs, then head

back to Vegas.

However, in winter, the days are so short there isn't time for all that.

>So I can get the Viewer's Guide from you in Vegas?

Sure. Normally we sell it by mail and can get it to you in 3-4 days, but if time is short you can pick it up from me. I live very close to the Strip.

>Does it tell me how to do the Tikaboo Peak Hike? Is this the best place to see it from?

Yes, the book gives directions for the hike. Tikaboo is the only confirmed place to see the base. The base is very distant, however, barely visible with the naked eye and isn't very satisfying in itself. It is a nice hike in the summer - through forest surprisingly - and the peak offers a scenic 360 deg. view of the area but don't expect to see anything secret. The base just looks like any other Air Force base.

Unfortunately, winter is a bad time to visit. There is a possibility that the dirt roads to the trail will be snowed out, the sun is low and gets in your eyes and the summit is VERY cold, at 8000 feet. It could be deadly if you aren't prepared for the elements or your car breaks down. Rachel can also be brutally cold (5000 feet). You may not be able to get out of your car. In Dec. and Jan., the temperature can get below 0 deg. F at night, and may just creep up above freezing in the day. If it snows, it doubles the torture. In all, this is not a good time of year to come.

In the summer, the weather is pleasantly warm, not brutally hot like Vegas. The temperature is usually ideal for camping, so you don't need to worry about accommodations. The days are long, so you can see a lot more. (See a lot more of the empty nuthin' that is.)

My recommended 2-day tour is to start from Vegas and drive all the way around the range, spending the night in Tonopah (plenty of motels there).

>Is there any stuff I should see in Vegas?

Enough glitz to amuse you for a day or two. And the buffets!

>Do you have all the other cool stuff you sell in Vegas?

No much here, since the business is in Rachel. Everything we have there is also available through our web catalog.

Glenn

Message #8

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
Subject: **Insider says areas SW of Toelle, Utah, deserve a look**
Date: Mon, 9 Dec 1996 07:41:40 -0800

[Email address withheld by request...]

Date: Mon, 09 Dec 1996 03:17:28 +0000
Subject: **Area 51 Link**

If you publish this or refer to it please do not use my e-mail address. You can direct some questions back if you wish some I will answer and most I probably won't and I am sure you will understand.

First, I notice most of the sites or references to 51 are concerned with the air force bases or areas that seem to attract a lot of attention do to past events concerning obvious UFOs.

Second, I was one of the guys who checked badges ran ground surveillance equipment. Tremor sensors, ground surveillance radar, etc.

At several sites. This include pulse equipment that could fry a vehicles computer or electronics. I have had access to areas you would love to see.

Third and finally, All of you are looking in the obvious directions the government wants you to. Even though a lot of things are going on at 51. Look in the state of Utah to the SW of Toelle which is west of Salt Lake city on I-70. There are 9 exclusion areas there, 4 of those go down 10 levels. Check it out!

[11133]

Message #9

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
Subject: **Army's "S-4" staff office could explain DOE documents**
Date: Mon, 9 Dec 1996 14:00:16 -0800

Date: Mon, 9 Dec 1996 11:02:41 -0800 (PST)
From: Nick Loadholtes <njl@crl.com>
Subject: **Re: AREA 51: Question about S-4 on DOE documents**

On Mon, 9 Dec 1996, James R Graham wrote:

> DISPOSITION FORM TO S-4, ET AL, SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR FORKLIFT (ATT: MEMO
> TO HOLMES AND NARVER, ET AL RE SAME)
>
> Is S-4 a standard military designation (the originating agency for these
> two docs is the US Army)? I haven't seen it anywhere except where Bob
> Lazar is involved.

In the Army, an S-4 is "The staff office responsible for providing and coordinating for supply, maintenance, and non-combat transportation needs of the battalion or brigade". Basically he is the guy you go to to get stuff. The other S's I know of are

- S-1: Personnel
- S-2: Intelligence
- S-3: Planning and operations
- S-4: Supply

Nick "You rigged the olympic hockey game?"
njl@crl.com "What's the matter? Don't you belive in miracles?"
<http://www.crl.com/~njl> -the musings of a cigarette smoking man

=====

From: "Keith" <kewyatt@ix.netcom.com>
Date: Mon, 9 Dec 1996 12:00:36 -0800
Subject: **USA S-? Levels and UFO invasion on Art Bell's show**

James R Graham <jrg@world.std.com> wrote about
AREA 51: Question about S-4 on DOE , here is my response

>
> Is S-4 a standard military designation (the originating agency for
> these two docs is the US Army)? I haven't seen it anywhere except
> where Bob Lazar is involved.
>

Yes it is. S is short for Staff. S-4 in the US Army dealt with Supply and Logistics. This goes up to brigade level. After Brigade Level it Becomes G-4. (General Staff).

- S-1 Administration
- S-2 Intelligence
- S-3 Military Planning and Mapping
- S-4 Supply and Logistics
- S-5 Troop Morale (Sports & Rec)

If Bob Lazar worked at S-4 at area 51 he most likely ordered food and transportation for people. Or

he could of been the head Janitor in the S-4 office.

> As an aside question, I have found various other military/USG
> databases that I am unable to search. Do I need a .mil or .gov
> domain to get access to these? Just curious.
>

It depends on the site.

> james
>
>
>

I was browsing a mail list and someone wrote that
a person would be appearing (Sheldon?) to predict that
there would be a UFO invasion on December 17, 1996.
I guess this is part of the "unexplained" star near Hale-
Bopp.

Art Bell could do alot more justice dropping silly guest like
this and performing some call screening. Oh well. He had a good
guest on Sunday. The gentleman did the investigation of
the woman that was a victim of a "ghost" and was reenacted,
"The Entity".

Keith

The opinions presented in this message are solely those of the
writer. Not the opinion of the State of California Employment Office,
the state of California or space aliens.

kewyatt@ix.netcom.com <http://www.netcom.com/~kewyatt/>
"Whatever you do will be insignificant, but it is very
important that you do it." --Gandhi
PGP KEY @ KEYSERVER

Message #10

From: "A.J. Craddock" <craddock@ix.netcom.com>
Subject: **SW of Toelle, Utah, deserve a look, plus Fort Huachuca**
Date: Mon, 9 Dec 1996 14:29:41 -0800

> Third and finally, All of you are looking in the obvious directions
>the government wants you to. Even though a lot of things are going on at
>51. Look in the state of Utah to the SW of Toelle which is west of Salt
>Lake city on I-70. There are 9 exclusion areas there, 4 of those go
>down 10 levels. Check it out!
>

You may remember that I made reference to this in a post several months ago
based on information from Dr. Steven Greer, founder of CSETI (The Center for
Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence URL <http://www.cseti.com>).

According to Dr. Greer's sources, this base is accessible only by air, and
the other good stuff is now at Fort Huachuca, Az.

Tony Craddock

Message #11

From: campbell@ufomind.com (Glenn Campbell, Las Vegas)
Subject: **Stanton Friedman's rebuts Area 51 Negativists**
Date: Mon, 9 Dec 1996 10:24:54 -0800

[With Stanton, anything is possible. --GC]

