

Subj: Re: Alien Autopsy Comments
Date: Wed, Sep 6, 1995 10:44 PM PDT
From: kuryakin@arn.net

>From "DAVE"

+

+I just wanted to add my two cents to this discussion.

And I want to comment on a couple of things...

In the autopsy footage... when they got to the eye... in spite of the fact that they'd made a great deal of pulling all kinds of stuff out of the body...

all they did was remove the nictus membrane off the eyeball.

Eh?

First... they didn't remove the eyeball, they went straight for the membrane.

That membrane wasn't attached to anything... it was merely a film that covered the eyeball. More like a contact lens (sunglasses? Data screen for some cyberpunkish computer system?) than a true nictus membrane. The cat's 'second eyelid' can open and close. This one wouldn't do that. They peeled it off like the skin on an onion.

Other observations:

Second... if I was handing out Special Access clearances to technical specialty types... you can make damn sure that I'm going to get someone who knows how to focus the damn camera. Someone in that position isn't going to be a flunky gopher they hand a camera to and say 'Here, go shoot this alien autopsy'. The photographer will be a professional-calibre photographer.

Third... the doctors would be military or DOD civilians. Have to be. In spite of the arrogant nature of Doctors in general towards the hired help, they would have been 'aware' of the need to document and would have stepped aside from time to time for closeups... particularly of the brain.

Fourth... why was the third person behind the glass wearing a mask?

Fifth... why did we not see the right wall?

+pc. process. The fact that I am not seeing a join between the head and the +body and no seams running down the arm or the head tells me several things:

- + a. This is a real artifact-or-
- + b. This is a very expensive prop.
- + c. Or just the gods of film and video helping out our
- + work. Film hides a multitude of sins and video
- + hides even more.

+

I'm inclined (because of the above (some of which I'll credit to unnamed others))

to believe it's fake, as much as I'd like to believe otherwise.

Now, you say 'But it's hideously expensive to make'.

Sure it is. That leads you to consider two possibilities of who did this...
IE Who has the money and the motive.

One: Some well-off UFO buff that wants something that might prod the general populace into pestering the government into releasing the 'true story'.
(I'm inclined to believe that Roswell did indeed occur).

Two: The government... for disinformation purposes. Think of the impact it will have if/when it's proven to be a fake.

My \$1.50 worth...

Rick

Rick Pavak | Hate OZ. Took the Shoes.
kuryakin@arn.net | Find your own way home.
Graphics and HTML for hire | _____Toto
<http://northshore.shore.net/~wxcentrl/uncle/index.html>