



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1996](#) -> [Dec](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: MJ-12 and Area 51

From: "Scott K. Hale" <shale@megalinx.net>
Date: Sun, 11 Dec 1994 17:44:40 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 12 Dec 1996 03:20:59 -0500
Subject: Re: MJ-12 and Area 51

UFO UpDates - Toronto wrote:

> From: KRandle993@aol.com
> Date: Tue, 10 Dec 1996 09:34:54 -0500
> To: updates@globalseve.net
> Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: MJ-12 and Area 51

> In a message dated 96-12-09 12:25:08 EST, Stan Friedman wrote:

> << For Ed Stewart, Kevin Ran, Glenn Campbell:

> <Until we have access to the classified files,
> <we cannot know the history of Area 51. Why is
> <that so hard to understand? We are not talking
> <about storage of apples and oranges, but of
> <crashed saucer wreckage and alien bodies. The
> <government has not admitted having either.
> <Unclassified literature doesn't tell us about
> <Compartmented information.

> But we do have access to the public records which show no evidence of any
> secret facility in that area in 1954. There are photographs of people at the
> site as shown in magazines and no evidence offered that people couldn't, and
> didn't roam the area in 1954. The evidence shows that the classification and
> restrictions to access to the area began AFTER 1954.

> The record seems clear on this point. There was no secret, top secret,
> facility located there in 1954. The record shows construction began after
> 1954. Can you offer any sort of credible evidence that such is not the case?
> Isn't it up to you to now prove that we are wrong rather than suggest a
> secret facility there?

> < Proclamations are not the same as evidence.

> Then stop doing it.

> KRandle

Kevin,

Couldn't the facility be located somewhere in the Papoose range? To my knowlege (I have 800+ pages of Area 51 info) not many people were in that area then, or now. Is it possible that the supposed facility was underground? Yet again, was the manual updated since it's initial conception? Just some thoughts.

Regards,
Scott K. Hale

Search for other documents to/from: [shale](#) | [krandle993](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).