



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Aug](#) -> **Re: Moore Denounces UFO Explanation Of Roswell**

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Moore Denounces UFO Explanation Of Roswell

From: William.Hamilton@pcsmail.pcshts.com
Date: Monday, 11 August 1997 9:45am MT
Fwd Date: Mon, 11 Aug 1997 13:02:06 -0400
Subject: Re: Moore Denounces UFO Explanation Of Roswell

>Date: Mon, 11 Aug 1997 10:01:12 -0400
>To: updates@globalserve.net
>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Moore Denounces UFO Explanation Of Roswell

>From: Stig_Agermose@online.pol.dk (Stig Agermose)
>To: updates@globalserve.net
>Date: Mon, 11 Aug 1997 14:19:06 +0200
>Subject: Moore Denounces UFO Explanation Of Roswell Incident

From: Saucer Smear, August 5 1997:

>"First, I completely agree with Jim Oberg's recent letter (as
>published in the last `Smear')**, especially his last two
>paragraphs, and I am glad somebody in a position of importance has
>finally come out and said it. As Mr. Oberg has indicated, there are
>a lot of stories hidden under that particular rug which would serve
>to illuminate many present mysteries.

>"Second, after deep and careful consideration of recent developments
>concerning Roswell, and because my name continues to be connected
>with this story, I wish to advise anyone who might be interested
>that I no longer am of the opinion that the extraterrestrial
>explanation is the best explanation for this event. I concede that
>it remains in the running as a possible explanation...

The implications of Moore's statements are vast. I always remembered when I wanted to investigate some hot story or location, he would try to divert me and give me prosaic explanations. He did this about the sightings at Area 51. He would suggest these were no more than Air Force RPVs - he brought up this RPV explanation on more than once occasion.

This is the first mention I have seen that he agreed with Oberg on any subject.

His statement concerning the Roswell incident is telling. Does it also imply he no longer has any faith in what he was told by the Aviary or the MJ-12 documents he touted? Why is he so quiet and secretive?

Oberg's statement below:

>"But don't stop merely with legalizing disclosure of all - if any -
>government secrets about `real UFOs'. I believe there is a far more
>valuable body of `secrets' that will help understand the decades of
>UFO phenomena that the world has experienced. This deals with

>government-related activities which directly or indirectly led to
>public perceptions that UFOs might be real when they weren't.
>Sometimes these actions were carefully orchestrated in advance;
>sometimes they were localized impromptu ad hoc damage-limitation
>tactics. But from my own experience, they seem to have played a
>tremendous and widely unappreciated role in inciting and enflaming
>public interest in UFOs while deflecting public attention from real
>highly-classified government activities.

Does this statement mean that Mr. Oberg was aware of these secret government-related activities? Is there a real justification in keeping these activities secret today? Were these activities conducted over urban areas? Why should we even believe there were such secret activities or that they had any influence over inciting enflaming interest in UFOs? I think this whole statement is unverifiable and has no bearing on public interest in UFOs unless it can be proven. It just seems like another smoke-screen.

Direct personal experiences, observations, protracted thought and analysis reveals that the UFO phenomena is not a government-related activity unless they have been doing some reverse engineering, but reverse engineering of what if the Roswell object wasn't an extraterrestrial spacecraft? I think we should be asking why there is all this effort and cover-up and debunking. Is something from out of this world so threatening?

Sincerely,

Bill Hamilton

Search for other documents to/from: [william.hamilton](#) | [stig_agermose](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).