



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



**Our Bookstore is OPEN**

*Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!*

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Dec](#) -> Re: Just what is an abduction experience?

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: Just what is an abduction experience?

From: Julie Presson <earthwrk@doitnow.com>  
Date: Sun, 07 Dec 1997 14:36:01 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 07 Dec 1997 20:44:21 -0500  
Subject: Re: Just what is an abduction experience?

> From: ufo1@juno.com [Jack Sargeant]  
> To: updates@globalserve.net  
> Subject: Re: Just what is an abduction experience?  
> Date: Sat, 06 Dec 1997 08:17:14 EST

> >From: rfsignal@sprynet.com [Cathy Johnson]  
> >Date: Fri, 5 Dec 1997 15:07:47 -0800  
> >Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Jacobs on Abductions & O.B.E.s  
> >To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>

> My compliments to the list and to Cathy.

Hi Jack,

[...]

> It would seem there is confusion on the part of some as to  
> what actually takes place in the physical sense. Too many  
> want to associate a purely psychological reason for your  
> kind of experience. So, please put the doubting Thomases  
> and arm-chair psychologists into a more enlightened  
> frame of thought.

I wanted to address this part of your message as there seems to be some confusion or lack of understanding of paranormal activity verses psychological manifestations.

First off they are NOT the same thing. Does that mean a person who experiences a paranormal event is imagining what happened. ABSOLUTELY NOT! In my believe system paranormal events are REAL in all ways. The point that has not been mentioned here to my knowledge is this, what affects the astral body is seen on the physical body. Anyone who has studied the older material by people like Blavatsky, Leadbeater, or Fortune to name a few knows this. It is one of the primary teachings in the older Occult works. There is more than the physical body and all students of the Occult (Which means Hidden and nothing more) and anyone who have bothered to read at all anything that was published before the rash of New Age material started coming out in the late 70s knows this. Yes, there is a vast difference between New Age practitioners and those who are serious students of the Occult. I see people confusing the two because of a lack of understanding often here and elsewhere, or throwing it around to try and discount other peoples view of abduction.

Now if a person wants to believe there experience is explainable

with a physical explanation that is their right, however there is no way they should discount the experiences of those who see it as a paranormal event. We all have to remember we are going to reference our experience from the view of reality we are choosing to view at any given time. So is anyone wrong in there view, NO...not at this point anyway. Why??? Because there is NO concrete evidence to base what abduction is at this time. It is all speculation as to what the foundation of the event is. All we have as humans is our subjective view of the experience. My subjective perspective after seeing and experiencing is that it is inter-dimensional and NOT purely physical in nature. To someone else they think it is purely physical. So you are never going to get a consensus on this until there is some honest properly done research on abduction. And you still may not have an answer...

> You know me, Cathy, and know I am not asking this in a  
> tongue-in-cheek manner. ...But in a legitimate quest to  
> educate those who really don't know what it's all about.

Jack, do you know what it is all about? You are asking Cathy to relate her subjective view, not the view of every person ever abducted. Her experience is very different than Clarke's, so should that invalidate his experience? I think we both know the answer to that, no. No ONE persons view of there experience should invalidate anyone else's. When it is allowed to then the person who is trying to force their view on the world needs to ask themselves why their view is anymore valid than anyone else's. We all need to remember we are ONLY sharing our view here or elsewhere on abduction or anything else for that matter. :-)

However a complete psychological makeup is a necessary tool in real research by a "real" team of Therapists who are objective on the subject of abduction. A complete family history, personality inventories, etc. I believe this should be done in a double blind study so there is one team the subject is sent to that has no idea the person feels they were abducted. There is no need to even mention it for the proper testing to be done to get a good view of the personality types and family history of the people that are experiencing these events. I would bet there are a number of abductees who would be willing to do this around the country. This testing has nothing to do with finding out if someone is crazy, it has everything to do with creating a profile and nothing more. If anyone thinks it is for anything other than objective research then they need to ask themselves why they would object to "real" honest objective research into this. Research is an important and valid tool when done properly.

It is to easy for a few people to say you insulted them by giving your view to stop any honest information exchange and censor the views of others on abduction. Are we here to find out what is really going on, or are we here to stroke the egos of a few people??? I AM here to find out what is really going on and if that steps on the toes of a few people them so-be-it. After all we are supposed to be adults, right. ;-)

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to walk though the door you opened with this message to express my views openly and honestly.

Julie

Search for other documents to/from: [earthwrk](#) | [ufo1](#) | [rfsignal](#)

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**  
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).