



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Feb](#) -> Re: 'Electrically Induced Hallucinations'

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: 'Electrically Induced Hallucinations'

From: "Steven J. Powell" <sjpowell@access.digex.net>
Date: Wed, 05 Feb 1997 07:45:19 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Feb 1997 11:21:39 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Electrically Induced Hallucinations'

>Date: Mon, 03 Feb 1997 09:43:24 -0800
>From: jan@cyberzone.net (Jan Aldrich)
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: 'Electrically Induced Hallucinations'

>> >By allowing dubious data into the equations.
>> >The "correlations" only worked when the UFO data included
>> >cases that occurred 6 months prior or after the seismic events,
>> >and as much as 700 kilometres away,
>> >Uh-oh. 400 miles away is probably way too distant,
>> > but I'd allow up to a 100 miles, maybe 200 miles.

>JA: Wrong! Anybody hear of the inverse square ratio! If
>there is an effect that large at such a distance someone--the
>theorist in this case--will have to demonstrate it. You have
>fallen in the trap. This is a "theory" is conjecture based
>on bad science. Allow?... allow 100 miles? I don't allow
>anything. Show me! If this effect is there, it is detectable.
>Good, detect it!

That's what I was mentioning to Chris. How did the time and distance windows become established in the first place?

It would seem to me that you start with a good understanding of exactly what an 'earthlight' IS. That would then describe their energy source, duration, luminosity, etc. From that you could then establish a reasonable time and distance window.

But apparently, according to Chris, nothing like this was ever done - so its all hot air based on newspaper clippings!

I'm not doubting Chris but I want to hear that from Persinger's mouth myself.

>JA: The theory and the theorist are both suspect. If UFOs are
>caused by natural lasers, 100 foot diameter long lasting ball
>lightning, electrified moon-dust, annihilation of antimatter
>"meteors", or TST, then these explanations not the last step
>in the process. The theorist would be expected to be beating
>down the doors at the National Academy of Sciences looking for
>a multimillion dollar grants to do further studies. However,
>these little theories are **only** used to debunk a UFO sighting
>after the fact. This appears to be the theory and theorist's
>only function. There is no attempt to advance scientific
>knowledge.

Well, I obviously already have an opinion but I'm going to try to withhold comment until I learn a wee bit more and until I get some confirmation directly from Persinger.

>If TST exists the way the theorist claims, it could be a possible
>indication of earthquakes. Considering some of the current
>rather "far-out" correlations that are being studied (ie
>the number of lost pet advertisements in the newspaper.);
>CSICOP and Persinger should be beating the drums to do an
>UFO-earthquake study. In reality they do not have any such
>confidence in their theory.

Has CSICOP itself _ever_ done a study??? Anyway, that's beside the point.
We're taling about something that is concurrent with seismic activity (I
think) and probably not much of a precursor to seismic activity so I doubt
(I think) it would have predictability value. I'd like to be wrong about
that one though.

>TST works for
>CSICOPs. Nobody asks, if the this effects are so prevasive
>why we don't have people seeing trees outlined in fire, or
>effects at ground level, balls of light seen it the middle
>of the city or inside buildings? Why do people feel like
>they have been abducted only, how about other sencarios?
>Good grief!

Well, at _most_ TST (or some variation) _could possibly_ explain some very
short duration, very non-distinct, DDS and/or NLS. At _most_, and even
that remains to be proven.

>To say as some, apparently have, that we should exclude single
>witness observations because they might be TST is ridiculous.
>The UFOs _might_ be dust motes floating in the eyes which was a
>very popular theory for the first three years of the UFO era.
>_Wrong!_

>In the same vein it is said we should exclude NLS. Because
>they are hard to trace down and are probably "conventional"
>anyways, just put these reports in the "Too Hard" box.
>_Wrong, again!_ I _hate_ NLS, they are and always have
>been DLs to me. However, to exclude them: No.

>We should be prepared to run screens where pattern searches
>are done without one witness sightings and/or without NLS.
>However, to throw them out because you "feel" they are not
>relavent is wrong.

C'mon, I never meant to actually throw them out. Only to pre-screen them
from a first-run analyses of patterns/trends.

Does it make sense to include Fred's sighting of a tiny dot of light
darting around for 30 seconds in the same database as the Cash-Landrum
event? What possible meaningful correlation can we get from_that_
combination?

It _does_ make sense to analyze single-witness reports as a unique
category, and also to compare patterns/trends between that category and
others for correlation though.

>Since we seem like electrically induced hallunications so
>much, how about a new theory: drug induced hallucinations.
>After all, a good part of the population is taking legal or
>illegal drugs. How about interactions between ordinary
>drugs and food? How about just the interactions about among
>foods? Of course, in the early ufo era almost everyone felt
>compelled to make a statement about their drinking habits.
>I am sure CISCOP would buy this one too. They're easy.
>Probably easier than selling tickets on the recue craft that
>will take away the select away when the world shattering
>events start to occur.

Hahahahaha!!!

You forgot bovine flatulation!

Search for other documents to/from: [sjpowell](#) | [jan](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).