



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jan](#) -> [Moon 'Photos'?](#)

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Moon 'Photos'?

From: **Bob Shell** <76750.2717@CompuServe.Com>
Date: 03 Jan 97 16:10:02 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Jan 1997 10:33:27 -0500
Subject: Moon 'Photos'?

Message sent to the most astute photographic experts I know and assorted other researchers:

Ever hear of a fellow by the name of David Percy? He's ARPS as well as member of the British Industrial & Scientific Film Association according to his bio.

He's written a piece in the January issue of Fortean Times (English mag, but sold on many US news stands) in which he purports to show that NASA's Apollo moon photos are studio fakes. Worth taking a look at, since the points he makes are quite valid and require explanation from NASA.

Even though NASA says that the photos were taken in sunlight with no artificial light, he shows clearly that multiple light sources were used to make some photos, and either giant banks of lights or giant reflectors used in some (and clearly reflected in the faceplates of the helmets!!!!). In many the angles of the shadows just don't work for a point-source light at a great distance (the sun) which would produce parallel shadows. Here we have shadows at varying angles across the photos, triangulating on a light source just outside the picture area.

Moreover, the reseau marks from the etched glass plate in the special Hasselblad cameras appear to have been artificially added to some images and actually appear to go BEHIND objects in the pictures! An actual camera with reseau plate never produces this effect.

There are major discrepancies between TV video images and still images supposedly taken at, or near, the same time.

The article is well worth a looksee. I'd value your opinion on these photos and his comments. Are we looking at a 27 year old hoax? Sure looks like it. Other than a studio setup, I can think of no explanation for the oddities in the lighting. We've known for decades that some Russian space photos were fakes, and now it looks as though NASA may have played the same game.

Not that I doubt that astronauts actually went to the moon, but maybe their photos weren't good enough and NASA accordingly whipped up a batch in a studio somewhere.

Bob

Search for other documents to/from: [76750.2717](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).