



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jan](#) -> [Re: Moon 'Photos'?](#)

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Moon 'Photos'?

From: James A Diss <76710.234@CompuServe.COM>
Date: 06 Jan 97 13:51:50 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Jan 1997 01:31:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Moon 'Photos'?

>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>From: Bob Shell <76750.2717@CompuServe.Com>
>Subject: Moon Photos????
>Date: 03 Jan 97 16:10:02 EST <<

>Even though NASA says that the photos were taken in sunlight with no artificial
>light, he shows clearly that multiple light sources were used to make some
>photos, and either giant banks of lights or giant reflectors used in some (and
>clearly reflected in the faceplates of the helmets!!!!). In many the angles of
>the shadows just don't work for a point-source light at a great distance (the
>sun) which would produce parallel shadows. Here we have shadows at varying
>angles across the photos, triangulating on a light source just outside the
>picture area.

A nice theory, and the same that Marcus Allen relies on in his largely
'shrugging' dissertation on the subject, 'preferring that other people make up
their minds'.

Yeah, right.

So, I'd be interested to know why photographers use large white boards in
addition to flash on photographs, particularly portraits. This would be
'fill-in', to reduce shadows, right?

So, anyone want to look out the window and estimate the reflectivity of lunar
regolith?

On a similar point, we have a large vehicle made of aluminium and gold foil.
Anyone feel like checking out this for its reflecting characteristics?

However, I have to say (with an apologetic nod to Graham) that the FT article
was better than the one produced in 'The Unopened Files' from the publishers of
UK 'UFO magazine'. I'll use page numbers for brevity.

col 1, p66 - "Reflection in the face plate", clearly reflected regolith, a
non-starter.

col 3, p66-67 - "Shadow of the rocket nozzle on the surface", laughable, as it's
a picture of the attitude adjuster up close. Close to the portside window of
the LEM..

col 3, p67 - "lack of 'blast' holes under the LEM", descent was controlled with
the thruster far above the surface to stop dust being kicked up.

col 1-3, p67 - "Out of proportion lunar rover", ridiculous claim.

James D,

Search for other documents to/from: [76710.234](#) | [76750.2717](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).