



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jan](#) -> **Re: Bodies recovered at Roswell: alien fakes?**

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Bodies recovered at Roswell: alien fakes?

From: David <furry@nobelmed.com>
Date: Thu, 23 Jan 1997 17:34:41 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Jan 1997 19:32:28 -0500
Subject: Re: Bodies recovered at Roswell: alien fakes?

>Date: Thu, 23 Jan 1997 10:24:32 -0600 (CST)
>From: MAC TONNIES <0212104@ACAD.NWMISSOURI.EDU>
>To: updates@GLOBALSERVE.NET
>Subject: On "temponauts," etc.

>Bob Shell writes (1/22/97):

>>Hi Mac,

>>Nice to see someone who is really thinking about this. I speculated back in
>>1995 that these things might be "biots" or biological robots, based on their
>>apparently simplified internal structure and the lack of gyri and sulci on the
>>brain. Using a mammalian model, a creature with a smooth brain like this
>>could not be particularly smart. That is still one possibility of what these
>>beings are.

>[...]

>Note: The artificial heart valves may have been designed to help the creatures
>survive harsh acceleration.

>>When we were first getting into space we sent up monkeys and chimps as test
>>subjects before we sent up the first humans. We considered them similar
>>enough to us, but expendable. If we could have manufactured biological
>>robots, might these not have been our first choice?

[snipped]

>Bob--

>On "temponauts": I think this theory has a lot going for it, if the AA footage
>is genuine. Maybe these entities were being used at some point to study the
>biological effects of time travel on human-like subjects. The fact that they
>crashed indicates that the form of travel utilized was potentially hazardous,
>which might account for the apparent new UFO designs seen after 1947 (I'm
>postulating for the time being that the vehicle that crashed was
>crescent-shaped, like the craft sighted by Kenneth Arnold; please see the
>alleged gun-camera photo at <http://www.strieber.com>). It's interesting to
>wonder if the civilization that sent these "biots" knew that one of their
>missions was going to crash, since the event would have taken place in its own
>remote (or not so remote) past.

>Of course, the creatures' "humanity" doesn't necessarily mean that they're
>"100% terrestrial." Perhaps genuine aliens were experimenting with humanoid
>bodies for some reason we can only guess at.

Mac and Bob,

Sorry to interject here on your theorizing of the alien bodies recovered at

Roswell, but I simply am beginning to feel like the guy that's sitting at the back of the class while everyone else is nodding and agreeing with the teacher at the front.

My hand would be up and when I got noticed, I would simply say

"EXCUSE me, But is anyone ELSE in here having a problem with THIS?"

Gentlemen, before we go on theorizing about WHAT these things are in the "supposed footage" of the Alien autopsy, perhaps we should try verifying the authenticity of the creatures as being something that were NOT created by us (humans) to dupe other humans.

The Santilli film is, and has been, undergoing much scrutiny to determine if it is real or not. I personally am skeptical and not entirely convinced one way or the other that it is real or it is fake. I work again from the theory of motive and unfortunately, there is strong motive for the film to be "staged" simply based on the potential economic windfall that could result from such an "earth-shattering" event.

However, I'm also convinced that there was cover-up of information in Roswell in 1947 and that it STRONGLY has an odour of extraterrestrial involvement. Again, I work from motive and have yet to find anyone who can convince me what motive the people in and around Roswell had for contriving and / or maintaining the story of a "crashed or shot-down Flying Saucer."

To come back to my previous point, I simply feel that your efforts would be better spent in trying to determine if the film is REAL or NOT, before making suppositions on WHAT type of creature, either "manufactured" or "space monkey" or "Robot" that the "Aliens", or our FUTURE generations might have sent us.

Believe me when I say it was all I could do not to comment about your obvious "knowledge" of Alien, Bio-robotic or Alien "Test Animals".

Once again, my THREE cents worth on Roswell and related events.

David (Furry) Furlotte

Search for other documents to/from: [furry](#) | [0212104](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).