



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jul](#) -> **Re: Back, Brown & Beat...**

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Back, Brown & Beat...

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve@konsulting.com>
Date: Sun, 13 Jul 1997 07:05:18 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 13 Jul 1997 12:27:12 -0400
Subject: Re: Back, Brown & Beat...

At 01:00 AM 7/13/97 -0400, you wrote:

>. . . , Linda Mouldy Cowe still swearing
>by the MJ-12 documents _and_ the autopsy footage . . .

Errol-

I spent only a couple of days at the "circus" and I'm sorry that our paths didn't seem to cross. However, I was at several of the events you mentioned, and we may have actually passed quite close.

Your review of the event was fairly close to the mark, but I found your editorial "dig" at Linda to be a bit much. Linda has produced two of the better researched books on the subject that I have ever seen, and a third is now in the works. While I don't necessarily accept her conclusions, she certainly has developed an interesting foundation of information, and deserves better treatment from an editorial standpoint.

I have a rather high ranking Air Force friend who is interested in this genre, and his main surprise regarding the Roswell event was that the "Autopsy" footage didn't get more play than it did. He has been recommended for promotion to the rank of "General", and I found that his bringing this question up on his own to be quite interesting.

Your skepticism regarding the MJ-12 documents and the "Alien Autopsy" footage is healthy, but if you have any actual evidence to prove that they have been faked, please post it. Skeptics often seem to forget that they must do more than simply show "possibilities" if they are to prove (or disprove) the provenance of "evidence". A number of researchers that I know are highly skeptical of either one or both of these items, but most sit squarely on the fence with regard to their credibility. Proponents have failed to provide the evidence necessary to prove them to be real, but I would suggest that skeptics have also failed to provide "proof" that they have been faked.

But my criticism is not that you are skeptical, but rather that the statement was made in such a way as to belittle the person, and not the evidence. You have (I believe) criticized others for making such attacks on the "list".

Just a comment, and welcome back. I must admit that I'm glad the system was shut down for the past two weeks, as I really wouldn't want to wade through two weeks of "Updates" material all at once.

Peace,

Steve

Search for other documents to/from: [steve](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).