



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



**Our Bookstore is OPEN**

*Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!*

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Re: Project 1947 - Robert Dean

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: Project 1947 - Robert Dean

From: [jan@cyberzone.net](mailto:jan@cyberzone.net) (Jan Aldrich)  
Date: Thu, 21 Jul 1994 13:36:00 -0700  
Fwd Date: Wed, 16 Jul 1997 21:09:50 -0400  
Subject: Re: Project 1947 - Robert Dean

> Date: Sun, 13 Jul 1997 14:54:56 -0500  
> To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>  
> From: John Velez <jvif@spacelab.net>  
> Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Project 1947 - Robert Dean

> >Date: Thu, 3 Jul 1997 08:57:55 -0700  
> >From: Jan Aldrich <jan@CYBERZONE.NET>  
> >Subject: Robert Dean  
> >To: PROJECT-1947@LISTSERV.AOL.COM

Greetings John and Update Subscribers,

1. I did not post this to Updates. This post was in answer to someone on the Project 1947 List who thought that Robert Dean should carry the standard for UFOlogy. Errol & Sue are list members and are always welcome to cross post anything they like. However, you should realized you do not have the context of these messages or further clarifications.

2. It is not necessary to post further messages as I will summarize everything here.

> > To deal with Dean as a creditable person one most consider that he is  
> >telling us about a Top Secret document that he took an oath to safeguard.  
> >It was a "draftee's oath." He was a professional. It wasn't a little  
> >oath. And Dean himself talks about how the government is flushing the  
> >Constitution of the United States down the toilet. He has done the same  
> >to his oath to protect and defend the Constitution if what he says is  
> >>true.

> > So we are left with two possibilities: Dean is an oath-breaker or a  
> >liar. In either case not a very creditable person.

> (snip)

> >Jan Aldrich  
> =====  
> Hello Errol, Jan, All,

> I think the world of Jan Aldrich and all of the hard and conscientious work  
> that he does. But I have to take issue with him in regard to the following  
> statement.

> >So we are left with two possibilities: Dean is an oath-breaker or a  
> >liar. In either case not a very creditable person.

> Why only "two possibilities" Jan? Couldn't the man have "broken his oath"  
> in response to the dictates of his conscience?

This is not a matter of conscience issue. He agreed to abide by proper lawful orders no matter his personal opinion. Was he given an unlawful order? No. If he is unhappy with something that is classified, he could work for change without breaking his oath. But he chooses to tell the world about a document which can't be established to exist. He had the example of Major Keyhoe, but, of course, he did not learn from history.

His "revelations" have not given us anything we can use or verify. Nor has his revelations caused any change in official policy. The whole thing has been a wash. We must accept it on faith.

> A soldier is not supposed to  
> follow an unlawful/illegal order it is a moral/judgement call and an  
> obligation if in answer to a higher inner authority.

You have not demonstrated anything unlawful in this document. Because you do not agree with something, that does not give you the right to break your oath. Your ideas of duty seem very elastic. There is no inner higher authority. That is Timothy McVeigh talk. The laws are written, and they are plain.

> We all know that the reality of the UFO/ET presence represents a major  
> event in human history and development. The 'many' have been intentionally  
> kept in the dark by the 'few', (a situation that is rapidly changing) and  
> the (obvious) higher calling, (binding contract/oath if you will) lies with  
> one's connection and responsibility to the service and welfare of the  
> majority. It is the very definition of a "soldier's" duties. The protection  
> of the citizens of one's own nation.

None of the above is obvious. These are your theories and opinions.

> When viewed in this light Bob Dean becomes a true 'patriot' and a 'HERO' in  
> the old fashioned sense of those words. It is why myself and so many other  
> abductees have come forward and faced the formidable wrath and ridicule of  
> the "public." Why do you think folks can tolerate that sort of thing? It is  
> the higher calling, the conviction that as long as one tells the simple  
> truth and does it for the benefit of ALL, there's no way to get hurt in the  
> end. (Least wise I certainly hope so! <G> I'd like to live long enough to  
> see us all vindicated.)

I don't pretend to have inside knowledge of the truth.

> You have called Bob a 'liar' Jan.

John, you have my quote right in front of you at the beginning of this message, but you cannot even rephrase it accurately. It is not subtle. Since you did not get it the first time, I will explain it again.

The NATO Top Secret document Dean talks about either exists, or it doesn't. If it exists, it is protected lawfully and by Dean's oath. He reports he decided to break his oath.

If it does not exist then he is a teller of tall tales. That is normally said to be a liar.

I did not say he was a liar or an oath breaker, but he is one or the other.

Dean defines the dilemma. He sets it up. I just pointed it out. Do you often shoot the messenger?

What I did say: is that in either case his creditability is damaged.

>That, is not only beneath you, but way out of character.

It is not out of character for me to demand work and character of a high standard.

>If you have some evidence of lying or deception on the  
> part of Robert Dean, then put it on the table and let's all have a look at  
> it.

You had additional information in the post you chose to ignore.

> If not, then we should all take a good, long look at one of the things  
> that breeds disunity and in-fighting within the UFO community.

John, you should take a good look what is written before you go off half cocked.

- > My trip to
- > Roswell brought this home to me in a way that I had never perceived before.
  
- > If we don't get our act together and stop tearing each other new assholes
- > at every opportunity, we're (ALL) going to get caught with our pants down.

If UFOlogy wants to engage the general public, they must have representatives and spokesmen who have creditability.

- > Completely unprepared to deal with any "outside agency or force" because we
- > are not together or unified among ourselves.

> Facts:

- > 1. UFO sightings are up dramatically all over world.
  
- > 2. Phoenix mass sighting: Everyone's been so busy arguing whether it was
- > "real" or not that the question about "what it means" has escaped everyone.
- > The Phoenix sighting that some of us have been jumping up and down about
- > was a "Demonstration" folks, and a heavy duty "wake up call!"

- > Let's stop acting like the "Donner party" and get our act together. I'm
- > willing to do what I can to help bring some unity and coherence to the UFO
- > community. It will take the will and determination of many to bring it
- > into reality. I'm a firm believer in the axiom that we, "create our own
- > reality, in agreement and conjunction with one another!"

- > What kind of world do we want to create guys? Now that is worthy of some
- > serious thought.

- > I got to meet, listen to, and shake Bob Deans hand while I was in Roswell.
- > More important to me, I got to look into the man's eyes. I'm not Jean Dixon,
- > and I could be completely wrong, but I got the strong sense that Bob is
- > an honest and sincere guy. As any American (or fair minded person) should,
- > Bob Dean is to be considered completely innocent of perpetrating any lies
- > or deceptions until evidence to the contrary has been presented, and the
- > judgement of his peers has been heard. (In an open hearing where Bob Dean
- > can answer any charges and face his accusers directly).

- > Least ways that's what I thought we were all about. This "internet
- > character assassination" without the presentation of "evidence" in
- > accompaniment is new to me man! Anybody can say anything about anybody.
- > Without proof, it's all just so much 'rap' Jan, I'll tell you like Cuba
- > Gooding told Tom Cruise, "Show me the money!" <G>

John, you also selective dealt with my post. Quotes attributed to Dean said:

1. He was in North Viet Nam during his Service. I doubt if his Service record reflects that. So once again why bring it up? It is another way to damage creditability.
2. He said he got good assignments because of his Top Secret clearance. This is incorrect. Having a clearance or the ability to get a clearance is a factor. However, that is not how people are selected for assignment.
3. Cosmic Top Secret is not a clearance. It is access. It is not annotated on a clearance form, personnel records, and once a person leaves a unit it ceases to exist. Dean has misrepresented that constantly.

Others on this and other lists have made comments that security oaths are technically not binder. Or the best one I heard is Corso: His oath was to his boss so when the General died Corso was release. If you believe the system works like that, I have a bridge; I can sell you cheap.

As far as oaths not being binding: go home tonight and tell your spouse you think that marriage vows are fine, but you think that you ought to be able to seek out the favors of other attractive members of the opposite sex....or decide that the mortgage covenant on your home is wrong and stopping making payments... Oaths are indeed important that they are a demonstration of our honor.

As a 25 year Service member, I resent the crass misuse and misrepresentation of the Service.

Jan Aldrich

Search for other documents to/from: [jan](#) | [jvif](#) | [project-1947](#)

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).