



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jul](#) -> **Re: Bursting the Balloon**

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Bursting the Balloon

From: TotlResrch@aol.com [Kal Korff]
Date: Thu, 17 Jul 1997 22:54:01 -0400 (EDT)
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Jul 1997 23:19:43 -0400
Subject: Re: Bursting the Balloon

>From: Andromeda0@aol.com [Jared Anderson]
>Date: Tue, 15 Jul 1997 05:14:10 -0400 (EDT)
>To: updates@globalserve.net
>Subject: Bursting the Balloon

>How have some of the ballon theorists (e.g., Robert Todd, Pflock, Kal Korff)
>reacted to the report?

While I cannot speak for Robert Todd or Karl Pflock, here's MY opinion for what it's worth:

1) The USAF is sometimes its' own worst enemy -- I think they did a horrible job at communicating the real, significant message of their report. Here's why:

a) Rather than call some of these Roswell alien body "witnesses" liars or other adjectives which are similar and certainly appropriate, the Air Force (like the U.S. media at large) has treated these untruthful bozos with kit gloves. This is unfortunate, because some of these people are NOT telling the truth and need to be called on the carpet for doing so. That's why in my new book, "The Roswell UFO Crash: What They Don't Want You to Know," I pulled no punches: "spades are called spades" as they say.

b) I was on MSNBC ALL DAY off-and-on on July 4, 1997 when they were broadcasting from Roswell and cutting to the Pathfinder landing on Mars. On the show with me were Walter Haut, Colonel Kittinger and Capt. McAndrew. Others who were scheduled to be there to debate the issues (three pro-alien/Roswell advocates including Showtime/Roswell Producer Paul Davids) never showed up, for reasons they will have to explain.

At any rate, to make a long story short, I was asked about the Air Force report by MSNBC and stated my opinion that it did not go far enough by its' refusal to call some of much harder on the witnesses.

I thought this was an important point and admission to get the Air Force to address and that's why I raised it.

2) The Air Force report that Jared Anderson refers to that's on line is NOT the complete report. Therefore, commentary on it (and subsequent judgement thereof) is inappropriate. One should read the COMPLETE report before making assumptions and passing judgement.

3) Finally, I have been extremely DISAPPOINTED with the media's coverage of Roswell. Hardly any of it was even remotely critical (from an objective cross-examination standpoint of the facts) and it has been pretty brain-dead. The notable exception to this was the Discovery Channel's documentary which brought Professor Charles Moore and Warrant Officer Irving Newton together for the first time.

Meeting at Moore's house in New Mexico, the two of them went over some Mogul component and decayed (due to the ultraviolet rays of the sun) balloon debris that Professor Moore still has. Newton CONFIRMED that the debris he saw in Ramey's office was identical to what Moore showed him at his house for the first time. In other words, folks, it WAS Mogul!

I am glad that the Discovery Channel aired this documentary...when I last spoke to Professor Moore earlier in February of this year while doing research for my Roswell expose/book, he expressed his concern that they might not air the segment. Although Moore was extremely pleased to finally have met Irving Newton.

And as I note in my book, at the end of the chapter where I interviewed Professor Moore, he says he tried to tell Stanton Friedman and Don Berliner that it was Mogul that caused the Roswell saucer myth, but Friedman got up in the middle of their meeting at a hotel in Socorro, and then accused MOORE of being "part of the cover-up"!! Most peculiar....and VERY revealing.

For a commentary on how pathetic and uncritical the media's coverage of Roswell has been, one should read an excellent article by investigative reporter Arthur S. Levine in last week's issue of U.S. News & World Report. The URL/WEB address is:

<http://www.usnews.com/usnews/issue/970714/14MEDI.HTM>

4) Finally, it has been over a month since I last posted anything to this forum. In the interim I have made a lot of progress on my new website. My URLs are www.KalkKorff.com and www.TotalResearch.com. My web sites will be "up" in a matter of just a few more days.

I mention this, because people like Dave Rudiak and Henny have accused and attacked me for "ducking" their questions. The truth is, I address MOST of Rudiak's comments in my book, and certainly on my new web site with lots of graphics. Since I quickly became the target of everyone's venom because I DARED write a book exposing Roswell, I simply became too overwhelmed to respond to what was more than 400 emails a day.

The WEB sites I have spent quite a lot of money on developing and sponsoring are the best way to address my "critics" concerns...and I do just this. As I said before, I am ducking NO ONE, but others can't say the same thing.

The UFO field has sunk to new, all-time lows...and we have people like Ray Scantilli, Richard Hoaxland, Jim DileNoShow, Art \$ell and others to "thank" for this.

I have learned a lot from having written my Roswell book and for deliberately deciding to solicit Prometheus Books to publish it. This action of mine was deliberate, and it helped "smoke out" those of you who have a religious or other emotional axe to grind regarding Roswell/Prometheus, etc. Since WHEN does the publisher matter...it's the DATA in the book that's important, NOT the publisher.

Because of these recent events, I have decided (barring unforeseen circumstances) that my next book will be an expose of Mr. Richard Hoagland, his criminal past, etc. On the other hand, I will also continue to focus on efforts to get the U.S. government to release whatever additional UFO documents they possess...and will work with a very small group of UFO researchers to do this. I have no intention on working with some of the more famous "names" in this field because if they can't see the REAL TRUTH about Roswell and what caused it, there's no point in doing so.

This document-retrieval effort is a very serious (and expensive) affair, and cannot afford to be bastardized by those Roswell/conspiracy nuts that have misused and misquoted declassified government documents for the WRONG reasons.

I have found the overwhelming SILENCE to be most revealing from the UFO field regarding the General Schulgen memo for example. Those people who used to trump the Schulgen memo as "proof" of Roswell's "UFO/ET" reality have remained conspicuously SILENT ever since Bob Todd's awesome expose that the memo is a fraud. Why haven't these SAME researchers SAID ANYTHING or BOASTED ALOUD with the same religious fervor as they did when their ignorance compelled them to use the fake memo for their own propaganda purposes??

The UFO field is in a sorry state, and unless heads start to roll per se and we do a better job at policing ourselves, then we might as well quit studying UFOs because unless one REALLY does crash and land in our collective, inept laps, we will get nowhere and it is entirely our fault.

Instead, I and others get slammed for exposing cases as hoaxes (not all of them come up "aliens" folks) and I get accused of being everything from a CIA agent to a debunker. Well, as my new web site proves, I am not this, and I don't have time for these conspiracy nuts anymore and if people want to be this delusional in their beliefs regarding me, well, it's their right to be so. In the meantime, I intend to push credible research forward and yes, whenever and wherever it makes sense, expose frauds when it is logical to do so. There is nothing wrong with cleansing the database of UFOlogy whenever possible, and one should not be scorned for doing so.

End of tangent and opinion,
Kal Korff

P.S. I noticed that Robert Todd and Karl Pflock have also submitted a post in response to the balloon posting of Anderson. For the record, my decision to post was independent of Pflock's and Todd's and there was no collusion between us. I mention this as my last and final "courtesy" to the more radical, extreme conspiracy nuts in the UFO field who tar Todd, Pflock and I with the ridiculous "CIA spy" or "disinformation plant" label. While my mentioning this may not convince them, that's their delusion. I'm through with these types.

Search for other documents to/from: [totlresrch](#) | [andromeda0](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).