



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



## Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

*Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!*

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Forteana](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Skywatch: Peer Review

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Skywatch: Peer Review

From: Pat Parrinello <pparri@crossfields.com>  
Date: Fri, 18 Jul 97 13:52:48 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Jul 1997 23:56:45 -0400  
Subject: Skywatch: Peer Review

On the subject of: Alien Implants, Alleged or Otherwise

A response... by Pat Parrinello with advice from his sidkick, Pinchbeck Tidings.

Sometimes, I guess, we have to address the fact that what is 'peer' for one may not necessarily be 'peer' for the other. Take for instance the time from the day my implant was removed.

08/15/95 - 08/15/97 = ((Pi \* 92x10^6)\*2)/730

That's 2 years for you regular 'peers', the former being for Richard Hoagland who has no real 'peer' at all with perhaps the singular exception of Sherlock Holmes.

Now some of you folks may take issue with my comparing Richard Hoagland to Derrel Sims. I don't care. Both are equally capable of projecting incredible vicissitude.

So, here I go... 'peer' reviewing idioms by the basketfull. My comments will [be in braces like this here.]

~Pat~

-----  
Subject: Fwd: (Fwd) Skywatch: Peer Review.  
Sent: 7/15/97 4:58 PM  
Received: 7/15/97 5:16 PM  
From: RSuzKeith@aol.com

Anyone care to translate?

[ I tried PGP but it seems cyberGeller bent my private key.]

-----  
Forwarded message:  
From: skywatch@wic.net (SKYWATCH)  
Reply-to: skywatch@wic.net  
To: (Overseas #1)@emin16.mail.aol.com  
Date: 97-07-15 14:03:30 EDT

----- Forwarded Message Follows -----  
From: "Derrel" <derrel@holman.net>  
To: "andromeda.net - Jared Anderson" <jared@valuserve.com>  
Cc: "The Colonel" <skywatch@wic.net> Subject: Re: Peer  
Review. Date: Mon, 14 Jul 1997 23:54:43 -0500

Question: When will the peer review begin? J.A., (concerning the

Alleged Roswell Debrises).

(We are still in the beginning phases of the original alleged implant research...more biologists and DNA persons are now on board to advise, discuss and continue the remarkable anomalies found in the biological housing surrounding the 7 different objects removed from 5 different people. Only 3 of these people are our original 2 surgeries. That's just the biology. See the site in a few days to see what the latest is concerning the remarkable metallurgy...this is on going on in several labs, as we speak. When this information is finally in, collated and the peer review is finished. The abductees, contactees, or "experiencers," will be the very first ones to get the news in total. This was promised to them and will be fulfilled in a report on the entire scope of investigations.

[Sentence isolator mode ON]

It has been the thinking of some persons who funded some of the research to reveal as much as possible to the public, after the tests were over but not, after the peer review.

[Sentence isolator mode OFF]

[That is a prime example of hyper-dimensional-physics.]

This is not the position of most of the scientists on board now. It is not our position as well. The work should go unimpeded and not "tried" in the public eye while the research is ongoing.

[Not necessarily being in the group named above I find myself there anyway. 2 years now.]

Finish the science, if it merits a scientific paper, write it, and publish it in a scientific journal. This will invariably lead to a peer review. These people are far more qualified to look at the work of the disciplines involved, and their methods, than we. The People in the UFO community (especially those with qualified scientific and medical backgrounds), should then get to do their work on the objects, if it is decided that something was missed or could have been done better. We do feel that there are highly qualified people in the UFO field that can do the work, and very well. The problem in using them for this kind of hard science work, is that it makes your case less than bullet proof. The first shots fired at the case will be "bias."

[Apparently "prejudice" is a double edged sword.]

It is the way things go...No case will be bullet proof. Someone is always going to do that. If it is in the spirit of science and not mean spirited, then we must look at those criticisms and "improve, adapt, and overcome." If science is considered a self perfecting organism, then by that very definition it is not perfect, just improving. I think most of us are aware of that. Science is not the only set of eyes we want to look at any hard evidence. These are just the first set of eyes we want to look through, and certainly not the last. Science is like a pair of glasses...they can make things clear (if its the right prescription). We may need glasses if we dont see well without them.

Science will tell you all about the book your reading, what it is made of, when it may have been made, and many necessary answers to questions you may want to ask...it may not be able to answer other questions on "why" the author wrote it, or even the depths of its content. That is what other "eyes," other glasses, are for. Some people in the UFO community may be able to see better than anyone. I think those people may be the abductees themselves. They may not have all of this "sorted" out yet to see the patterns (if there are any), that we do not see. With the help of all of these "glasses" and a trained set of eyes, perhaps we will all be able to come to some sort of conclusions. At that point Contact may be made. Whatever that may mean. That remains to be seen. )

[Contact has always been made.]

Sorry for that I just thought it might help. Now to answer your question.

It is much tougher than you think...Numerous new heavy weight scientists

have weighed in...to disprove the claims of the anomalies, (or, to satisfy their skeptical curiosity). Regardless, we are grateful for this kind of attention on the materials and will continue until we all come to a solution as to why the Isotopic ratios are so different.

[My question(s) is:

Who?  
 What?  
 Where?  
 When?  
 Why?

Then: Sample(s) Tested at:

Lab a?  
 Lab b?  
 Lab c?  
 Lab d?  
 Lab e .. z ? Are all results = ?]

This is only beginning...If it cannot stand this barrage, it will not get to a paper about its uniqueness. It will go down as why were the unique spikes found as in this artifact. This will allow a problem in this area to be uncovered...this is what science does. If the alleged Roswell derbies survives, then we go on to phase 3, not phase 2. If it does not survive, then we go on to phase three. Phase #2 is Peer review, Phase 3 is we are going to present new alleged artifacts.

```
[ Loop      Do  Phase1
      Do  Phase2
      Do  Phase3 Go to Loop ]
```

We have more than a few pieces of evidence. Tests are being run on numerous pieces right now, apart from the Alleged Roswell Derbies. When those tests are complete, and stop at phase 2 or 3, we will present more evidence to be evaluated. We did not just "happen" to come across some unusual artifact. I have been doing this for over 27 years. We still have some materials that may far outshine this interesting artifact. Thank you for your sharp inquiry.

We are having to up date materials on our web pages at [www.anw.com/first](http://www.anw.com/first). Our web masters are still working on some very nice things and a complete restructuring. We will have an IMPLANT GALLERY, AND A PHYSICAL EVIDENCE GALLERY, A SECRET DOCUMENTS GALLERY AND OTHER THINGS FROM INVESTIGATORS IN OTHER COUNTRIES THAT WE WORK WITH. Some of the things will be: many different kinds of alleged implants or objects that are "alien to the human organism." Many of these objects will have been removed surgically. There will be, at least 2 different materials from alleged crash retrievals. There will be some anomalous materials that appear other worldly, or very different. One of our surgical patients thinks that our best evidence is a pane of commercial glass, that has the distinction to have what appears to be an image of a pair of small hands and an arm in the glass. One university scientist wants to interface an interferometer with the glass and see if he can pull out a 3 dimensional image of what ever may have passed through the object. I think this is enough of "hints" of what we could display. I hope you like the new sitework and our continued efforts to bring Qualified Scientists and Medical professionals into the field to review our work. If it can stand this kind of scrutiny (by scientists not in the UFO world), great, then others may follow this lead. That lead is to get the UFO community out of the dark ages and into, as one professor called it the Exploration Stage. According to this one specialist in his field, we are not even there yet.

[Too busy exploring each others motives.]

I hope this helps us all get there. There are many fine, talented and dedicated people in the community. Ultimately, we cannot peer review our own work, so to speak.

[Derrel and Richard may just have to.]

We must not give ourselves grades and not allow the "professors" to see our homework assignments. It is difficult for us to even judge ourselves much less each other. We try to stay out of that mix...and leave it to others who feel they are highly qualified to do so.

[The general public.]

Thanks again for your inquiry and "good news of what CNI is doing." May I include a small work of Theodore Roosevelt for your review. This was given to me long ago...by a scientist at White Sands proving grounds. He was part of the Moon launches involving the Primates that were first sent up. I lived n Alamogordo, New Mexico for 13 years. I did not know what it truly meant then, I was only 18, I hope I am understanding it better now. Enjoy.

"IT IS NOT THE CRITIC WHO COUNTS; NOT THE MAN WHO POINTS OUT HOW THE STRONG MAN STUMBLES, OR WHERE THE DOER OF DEEDS COULD HAVE DONE BETTER.

THE CREDIT BELONGS TO THE MAN WHO IS ACTUALLY IN THE ARENA, WHOSE FACE IS MARRED BY DUST AND SWEAT AND BLOOD; WHO STRIVES VALIANTLY;...WHO SPENDS HIMSELF IN A WORTHY CAUSE; WHO AT THE BEST KNOWS IN THE END THE TRIUMPH OF HIGH ACHIEVEMENT, AND WHO AT THE WORST, IF HE FAILS, AT LEAST FAILS WHILE DARING GREATLY, SO THAT HIS PLACE SHALL NEVER BE WITH THOSE COLD AND TIMID SOULS WHO KNOW NEITHER VICTORY NOR DEFEAT."

Derrel Sims, CM.Ht., R.H.A.  
FIRST - Fund for Interactive Research and Space Tecnology  
P.O. Box 60944, Houston, TX 77205

Search for other documents to/from: [pparri](#) | [rsuzkeith](#) | [skywatch](#) | [derrel](#) | [jared](#)

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**  
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.  
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).