



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



**Our Bookstore is OPEN**

*Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!*

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Re: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged'

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged'

From: Dave Vetterick <[veterick@ix.netcom.com](mailto:veterick@ix.netcom.com)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Jul 1997 15:15:26 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Jul 1997 00:05:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged'

>From: XianneKei@aol.com  
>Date: Fri, 18 Jul 1997 04:00:33 -0400 (EDT)  
>To: [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
>Subject: Re: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged' --- NOT!!!

> > From: Dave Vetterick <[veterick@ix.netcom.com](mailto:veterick@ix.netcom.com)>  
> > Date: Thu, 17 Jul 1997 23:15:29 -0500  
> > Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Jul 1997 00:40:18 -0400  
> > Subject: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged'

> > In early May Bob Shell asked if anyone had ever heard of Kent Jeffrey  
> > prior to the Roswell Initiative, and speculated KJ's charge from the  
> > start was one of debunkary. I've not seen a reply to this so I re-ask  
> > that question, and ask if anyone knows what's become of the 20,000  
> > signatures he was supposed to deliver?

> I know that about 5000-6000 of them were shipped from my home via Federal  
> Express to a legislative affairs assistant at the White House on Monday July  
> 14. Kent indicated that the remaining 14,000+ that he had were shipped from  
> California.

> I had collected the Roswell Declarations which had been sent to MUFON and  
> held them for Kent until they were shipped.

> I believe information pertaining to the delivery of the Declarations will be  
> updated on the Roswell Declaration web site in the near future.  
> [[www.roswell.org](http://www.roswell.org)]

> > In light of the predicament Kent must find himself in presently,  
> > Ray Santilli's prophetic comments below is worth noting. Kent's  
> > tactics haven't changed.

> How so?

> Mr. Santilli comments (in your quoted post):  
> "In due course, a more detailed account on how the film was acquired  
> will be published. This will answer many of the questions raised."

> Let's see that was written February 20, 1997... how long is "due course?"  
> (I assume you meant to say 1996)  
> Rebecca

> PS. BTW, I had not heard of Kent Jeffrey prior to the Roswell Initiative, but  
> how does that make him guilty of being a debunker from the start?

I'm glad to hear the signatures will be delivered as promised. Respectfully,  
may I suggest you or Kent post a copy of the cover letter, if there was one,  
accompanying the signatures be posted to this list.

Regarding your "due course" comment, I can't speak to that. However, it's certainly a good question which I'll defer to Bob Shell or someone else to answer if they so choose.

I did not say Kent was guilty of being a debunker from the start. I was paraphrasing Bob Shell's comments which were as follows:

"If you want to debunk something (Roswell) how about injecting a person into it, have that person use all the buzz words and make himself "Mr. Whatever".....then say the Air Force was right all along"

Bob went on to say he wasn't accusing Kent of anything, but we should consider this a possible scenario.

Regarding Kents tactics now vs his paper on the AA film, in my opinion they are the same. As Kevin Randle and others have pointed out, and I fully agree, his arguments and logic on which he draws his conclusions are extremely weak and faulty.

He makes what I consider to be walleyed assumptions which makes it very difficult to believe his intent is anything OTHER THAN debunkary. It is this same brand of quantum leap assumptions Kent tried to apply to the AA film, as alluded to by Mr. Santilli in his Feb. 20, 1996 letter.

I believe Kent wants to get the truth, whatever that is, out of the Government just as we all do. However, he seems to go to extraordinary lengths to refute the very things which capture the public's interest, which is the most effective way to put pressure on the Government.

His actions contradict what he says, thus the scorn. Why kill the Golden Goose that delivers the trump card of public interest and pressure regardless of whether or not you personally believe Roswell and the AA film are the real thing.

Frankly, I for one am tired of waiting for another anything to drop out of the sky to prove intelligent life exists beyond our own, which ultimately is all of our objectives.

I yield the soap box.

Dave Vetterick

Search for other documents to/from: [veterick](#) | [xiannekei](#)

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**  
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.  
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).

