



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Hoagland in Phoneix -- AZ Republic Article

From: meccam@erols.com
Date: Sun, 20 Jul 1997 08:15:35 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Jul 1997 11:38:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Hoagland in Phoneix -- AZ Republic Article

> From: Andromeda0@aol.com
> Date: Sat, 19 Jul 1997 01:35:07 -0400 (EDT)
> To: updates@globalserve.net
> Subject: Re: Hoagland in Phoneix -- AZ Republic Article

> From: John Velez <jvif@spacelab.net>
> >Date: Wed, 16 Jul 1997 03:35:15 -0500
> >Fwd Date: Wed, 16 Jul 1997 11:42:08 -0400
> >Subject: Re: Hoagland in Phoneix -- AZ Republic Article

> >THERE IS SOMETHING MAJOR GOING ON FOLKS, AND WE HAVE TO GET SERIOUS,
> >AND SOON!

> <Most snipped>

> The little scientific research of the UFO subject that is being done
> (praise FUFOR!) is precious and is constantly being threatened by the
> visible and highly celebrated lunacy that sticks to the subject. All
> the attention seeking, prophetic, new agie, channeling, remote
> viewing, astrology crazed, pseudo scientific charlatans out there that
> claim to be "UFO researchers" damage the research (yes, I'm talking
> about you, Morton). The bullshit stacks up so fast in this field you
> need wings to stay above it.

> We simply don't have the luxury of being able to apply religious
> interpretation to it all or being able assess it's spiritual meaning
> or whatever until it's firmly proven and the mainstream scientific
> community and society as a whole is forced to deal with the fact that
> it's real.

I submit that we don't have the time to ONLY pursue the subject
scientifically, ignoring half our awareness in favor of only that which
is measureable or demonstrable to the logical brain. Think I have to
agree with one of John Mack's statements here - he stated that the
phenomena exists in both the practical, verifiable world and the
interdimensional world, and that it will not yield its secrets to
skeptics because those people are highly invested in not having their
world view changed by information that does not fit neatly into the
boundaries of consensual reality. You can extend that to add "those
who will not look beyond science to the dimensions of purpose, intent,
and extensions of the day to day reality."

We only perceive a small portion of what is real with the everyday
logical workings of the mind. It bothers me a little bit to hear many
people in this field giving a knee-jerk put-down to "New Age" or avenues
of knowledge that may be accepted by spiritual traditions. How many
abductees have related out-of body experiences, seeing the visitors as
balls of light, telepathy, mind control, and a varied assortment of
understandings received from the visitors, directly or indirectly, about
aspects of space, time, the nature of the soul and its relation to the
body, etc. etc.? Science is not really up to snuff in any of these

areas - it may catch up (if there's enough time!) one day, but by then we may be eating at the same dinner table with the ET guests.

I can understand the tendency to hold fast only to what can be proven scientifically - it stems from the drive to prove the phenomenon to the populace, to get mainstream science moving with research, and to lend respectability to one's time and efforts by assuring those people that one is not a wild-eyed wacko, because the same time-honored scientific methods are employed as for analysis of other phenomena. But this can easily get out of hand. To quote Budd Hopkins "It took Ufologists 20 years to realize that UFOs had an inside!"

It's clear that all of the visitors are pretty damn cagey. Their presence here has enormous implications for our human identity and history, our perceptions of what this universe holds, and damned if it doesn't seem like "consensual reality" is changing and will likely change radically in the not too distant future (no dates - I'm not a channeler - :)) If we don't give ourselves permission to pursue the questions "why" and "what does it mean" we'll still be trying to measure propulsion systems if and when the visitors become a fact of life for everyone on the planet. It's simple prudence to being "preparing for contact" - that is an internal process for which everyone must find his or her own way. I look at it as a life experiment, at the least, similar to Pascal's wager, but without the threat of hell waiting at the other end!

I'm not endorsing any particular person, organization, or method of knowing, but if one allows the assumption that the soul is immortal, one can conclude in a nano-second that science can't cut it in the context of the greater reality. It's like the drunk looking under the lamp post for his keys because there's light there, even though he dropped them on the street in the dark! And if one does not allow that the soul is immortal, then one just has to learn to enjoy that self-limited state as an animated piece of meat, and practice flying with one wing. In that case, I have to quote the Buddha responding to skeptics by saying "If you feel no pain, I cannot help you."

Melanie

Search for other documents to/from: [meccam](#) | [andromeda0](#) | [jvif](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).