



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Re: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged'

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged'

From: XianneKei@aol.com
 Date: Mon, 21 Jul 1997 14:22:36 -0400 (EDT)
 Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Jul 1997 09:49:57 -0400
 Subject: Re: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged'

Date: Sun, 20 Jul 1997 09:40:00 -0400
 To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
 From: Steven Kaeser <steve@konsulting.com>
 Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged'

> His interview with three cameramen was well done, but how many cameramen
 > did he actually interview, and what was there selection process. Could he
 > perhaps have found three other cameramen with different views if his goal
 > had been to show that the "film" was real? Several military cameramen
 have
 > posted information to the "net" that would seem to support the validity of
 > the technique shown, and in the end all of Kent's statements of proof are
 > just as anecdotal as everthing else in this circus.

All good questions perhaps better answered by Kent Jeffrey and/or his cameramen witnesses.

BTW, have you attempted to verify is any of these cameramen (Kent's or the "net" ones) were indeed real cameramen?

To the best of my knowledge Kent called the cameramen's association (don't remember the name of the organization but it is in his SCAM article) and was given names/phone #'s of three people: Longo, Gibson and McGovern. I think the first 3 he spoke to gave him all the same (or nearly the same answers) but to be sure I would recommend that you contact Kent for the additional and/or correct details. How many should he have interviewed?

It's easy to criticize what we ourselves have not investigated. You have proof that Kent's cameramen are incorrect? Present the evidence and confront them but don't do it on the "net" until AFTER you have contacted the men with whom you may disagree.

Rebecca

Search for other documents to/from: [xiannekei](#) | [steve](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
 [[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
 Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).