



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jul](#) -> **Re: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged'/Roswell**

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged'/Roswell

From: BOB SHELL <76750.2717@CompuServe.COM>
Date: 23 Jul 97 14:34:19 EDT
Fwd Date: Thu, 24 Jul 1997 00:15:03 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged'/Roswell

>Date: Wed, 23 Jul 1997 12:28:20 GMT
>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Santilli Had Jeffrey 'Pegged'/Roswell Initiative
>From: "Roger R. Prokic" <rprokic@ibm.net>
>To: updates@globalserve.net

Roger,

I want to make it clear to you and others here that I do NOT know Kent Jeffrey. We played answering machine tag for a while a year or more ago, but never managed to connect and talk. So I can't speak about Kent's character from any first-hand experience.

That being said, I was outraged when I saw his piece on the Santilli film, and even more outraged that MUFON published it. It was quite clear that he had made up his mind, and then looked for evidence to support his conclusion that the film could not possibly be real because a humanoid which evolved on another planet could not possibly look so much like us. This is a ridiculous premise, since we have no way of knowing how evolution really works. It is still a theory, and there are many holes in it even in explaining what has come about on this planet. We simply have no idea how evolution would progress elsewhere, and therefore no a priori reasons to dismiss the film on these grounds. Additionally, even if the film is real, we do not know that the being shown in it is extraterrestrial in origin or evolution.

The problem with Kent's cameramen is that their statements do not agree with published documents by Kodak and others from the appropriate time period. Simply put, they do not know what they are talking about photographically.

Contrary to Rebecca's assertions, I see no need for me to speak with purveyors of nonsense personally to refute their nonsense. Nonsense is nonsense, regardless of the source. Speaking with the source will not alter that.

Just as in your case, I don't know Kent, but I emphatically do not trust him. I do know Rebecca, and I think she is an honest truth seeker, and I do not mistrust her motives.

Bob

Search for other documents to/from: [76750.2717](#) | [rprokic](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).