



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jul](#) -> **Re: an alien face in Jung's UFO book**

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: an alien face in Jung's UFO book

From: meccam@erols.com
Date: Wed, 30 Jul 1997 21:17:04 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Jul 1997 09:02:56 -0400
Subject: Re: an alien face in Jung's UFO book

> From: clark@canby.mn.frontiercomm.net [Jerome Clark]
> Date: Wed, 30 Jul 1997 07:57:50 PDT
> To: updates@globalserve.net
> Subject: RE: UFO UpDate: Re: an alien face in Jung's UFO book

> >Date: Tue, 29 Jul 1997 22:24:09 -0700
> >From: John Koopmans <john.koopmans@sympatico.ca>
> >To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
> >Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: an alien face in Jung's UFO book

> Folks,

> I'm all for restricting the focus. Having spent a whole
> lot of time studying the question -- and even, long ago,
> shared sentiments generally like those expressed with such
> enthusiasm above -- I see no evidence that the "phenomena
> appears [sic] to be very related [not just related, note,
> but "very" related] to phenomena" of the sorts our
> correspondents are discussing here.

> I see a lot of people making these sorts of sweeping
> statements. I don't see anybody actually documenting them.
> In separate studies Eddie Bullard and I have shown why UFOs
> do not seem to be related to other anomalous or folkloric
> phenomena. No one has attempted a serious refutation.
> Ufology, people, is not a branch of occultism. If it were,
> the CSICOP people would be right, and we'd all be wasting our
> time. I don't believe we're wasting our time, but I do believe
> speculations like the above consume attention more productively
> spent in actual study of UFO reports.

> Jerry Clark

I agree. Being cognizant of those authors and their subjects does not necessarily imply that one should be non-discriminating in one's classifications of "ETs" versus "anything else."

Melanie

- an apple is an apple, a pear is a pear, and a watermelon is a watermelon. They may all be fruits (like us! :)) but characteristics are different

> _____ /

Search for other documents to/from: [meccam](#) | [clark](#) | [john.koopmans](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).