



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: an alien face in Jung's UFO book

From: Mark Cashman <mcashman@ix.netcom.com>
Date: Thu, 31 Jul 1997 13:58:41 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Jul 1997 21:48:47 -0400
Subject: Re: an alien face in Jung's UFO book

> Date: Thu, 31 Jul 1997 01:06:20 -0700
> From: John Koopmans <john.koopmans@sympatico.ca>
> To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalseve.net>
> Subject: Re: an alien face in Jung's UFO book

> Physicists are beginning to prove that some form of
> communication exists between particles that are separated in space.

This is not exactly a correct interpretation of the Bell theorem, or the EPR, as I understand it.

> Elements are being shown to have behavioural characteristics that defy
> identification (i.e. metals such as rhodium in high spin states are
> transparent to identification devices and are being identified by the
> trace impurities contained within it - i.e. they are being identified as
> silica - could this possibly explain the Roswell debris object that was
> currently tested and found to be silica with unusual ionization
> patterns?).

According to which experiments performed by who, and confirmed by who else, and published in which peer-reviewed journal? What type of "spin" is being referred to here? (Quantum spin is quantized and only affects quanta, not atoms or metals)

> Einstein's theory of Relativity is being seriously
> challenged.

By who? According to which experiments performed by who, and confirmed by who else, and published in which peer-reviewed journal?

> Animals are able to communicate with humans and show
> creative thinking processes similar to those of humans.

According to which experiments performed by who, and confirmed by who else, and published in which peer-reviewed journal?

> Humans have been
> found to emit magnetic fields from the solar plexis while experiencing
> pain. I could go on and on, but the books above document my points.

According to which experiments performed by who, and confirmed by who else, and published in which peer-reviewed journal?

I know, it sounds like a broken record. But to the best of my knowledge none of the above are currently accepted science.

I'm willing to look at the evidence, but, after all, Einstein's theory, to take just one example, is one of THE most confirmed theories ever. So once I read the sentence "Einstein's theory of Relativity is being seriously challenged", I find it much harder to take the rest of the examples at face value and accept them as real science.

One of the reasons science isn't getting done in UFOlogy, in my opinion, and one reason for the scorn in which it is held by many scientists, is because we're willing to accept stuff which should be speculation as if it were proven fact.

Take the comment by another poster about the apparent lack of inertia in UFO performance. First, the idea of inertialessness is one which isn't necessarily validated by the observations. Sure, UFO's stop on a dime, and sure UFOs make rapid right angle turns. But without closely instrumented observations we can't say these are instantaneous. If they are not instantaneous, then they could very well be a manifestation of very high thrust compared to weight. Heck, we already know that UFOs can easily emit MW of power in no more than light energy. They obviously have power to burn. As for inertialessness itself, it would be no panacea. Remember that part of the "rules" of inertia are that "keeps moving in a straight line unless acted upon by an external force". Thus, inertialessness implies "no coasting" - a UFO would have to apply power at all times for any motion. And what about "inertialess air"? The attainment of laminar flow over a UFO would be much more expensive if thrust had to be constantly applied to every molecule of air traveling over the surface, rather than being able to establish laminar flow and possibly maintain fine corrections.

Now, yes, the above is speculation too. But it's testable. Prove that a UFO produces an instantaneous stop without the application of energy, and you can make a much stronger case for inertialessness. However, you will need to accurately measure at all times, down to a very precise interval, the speed and energy output of the UFO. (BTW, anecdotally, the white flash frequently seen in close encounters when a UFO slows from a rapid approach to a hover might be seen as disconfirmation of inertialessness).

At any rate, making testable predictions isn't something UFOlogy has been very good at. As is frequently pointed out, ETH is not easily testable, short of capturing a UFO and finding ET isotopic ratios (and there are arguments that could even invalidate that as proof). How much harder is it to prove the "occult" hypothesis? The Williamette Pass photo, which seemed clear evidence of really non-conventional UFOs (three disks for one with one shutter opening) has been shown to be a misinterpretation of a road sign. Bedroom encounters, NDEs, etc don't seem to have enough objective value to be a proof. Psychic abilities in post-encounter witnesses have been claimed, but, to the best of my knowledge, never unambiguously demonstrated in independent labs. And except for abductions and some very unreliable single witness reports, there just don't seem sufficient reliable high-strangeness accounts to be worth going down this road, until we've done the more basic science on the hard, objective nature of the UFO.

Mark Cashman, creator of the Temporal Doorway at
<http://www.geocities.com/SoHo/Lofts/5623/>
[http://www.infohaus.com/access/by-seller/The Temporal Doorway Storefront](http://www.infohaus.com/access/by-seller/The_Temporal_Doorway_Storefront)
Original digital art, writing and UFO research
mcashman@ix.netcom.com

Search for other documents to/from: [mcashman](#) | [john.koopmans](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).