



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is [OPEN](#)

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jun](#) -> Re: MUFON, Dennis Stacy and the B & B Affair

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: MUFON, Dennis Stacy and the B & B Affair

From: EdKomarek@aol.com
Date: Mon, 2 Jun 1997 17:35:30 -0400 (EDT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jun 1997 01:01:01 -0400
Subject: Re: MUFON, Dennis Stacy and the B & B Affair

>Date: Sun, 1 Jun 1997 23:16:33 -0500 (CDT)
>To: EdKomarek@aol.com
>From: Dennis Stacy <dstacy@texas.net>
>Subject: Ed Komarek and the B&B Affair
>Cc: updates@globalserve.net

>Dear Ed:

>So what I thought was supposed to be a relatively recent >incident now turns
>out to have happened five years ago. Way to keep score!

Well someone had to start a real investigation and get to the bottom of the matter. If you would have emailed the writer of the post you could have done exactly what I did, got the name and number of the primary witness and got the facts straight. But you did not, you just tried to pin the story on me claiming I invented it. So you forced me to investigate further to clear up the matter which I did by doing a interview with the witness.

>And instead of MUFON thugs bursting in with flash cameras >popping, it now
>appears that two people turned up on someone's doorstep (if >anything
>happened at all), one of whom *may* have been associated >with MUFON, and
>were apparently disappointed, and perhaps rude, that the >announced
>conference wasn't being held.

>This is a far cry from the way this matter was first >presented.

>Anyway, an investigation is still under way. You'll criticize >us for this,
>no matter what the outcome, but to us it's all wasted time >and
>energy--something we have to do to restore our good name >because you posted
>the original complaint far & wide and pretended as if it meant >something.
>Thanks!

Well finally you seem to have recognized that it was not my complaint at all. As far as spreading it far and wide I think you did that by the poor way you reacted to this whole affair. Nothing like a good feud to get everybody's attention.

>To the best of Walt Andrus's knowledge, MUFON did not even >have a state
>section director in Waco five years ago. But we'll continue to >look into
>the
>matter and let everyone know what, if anything, we find. As >stated before,
>if we find any evidence whatsoever that anyone even remotely >associated
>with
>MUFON was involved in this incident, we will immediately >issue a public
>apology to that effect.

I should hope so. I just called Walt and gave him the ladies name and number, confidentially, so he could talk to her and confirm my interview with her. Walt knows this person and agrees with me that she is a good person.

>However, even if the incident should have happened exactly as >described in
>the most recent version you've posted, it should be obvious to >everyone
>(except perhaps yourself) that such actions hardly constitute >MUFON
>policy--otherwise cyberspace would be buzzing with similar >complaints,
>especially since five years have now come and gone.

Lets be clear here that I have only posted one version under my name and that
was the telephone interview with the person directly and in detail. BB
Ryders versions were his alone!

>I suspect your source--this someone who no one locally has >ever heard of,
>yet who somehow managed nonetheless to attract all these >important
officials
>to her B&B--is suffering from self-imposed illusions of both >grandeur and
>paranoia.

I think this speculation will be proved false soon enough after Walt talks to
the lady.

>We do predict, though, that she won't be able to find the >business card of
>the individual involved (and why anyone hellbent on insulting >and/or
>terrorizing someone would leave their business card behind >still escapes
>me); we also predict that she (he?) won't even be able to >remember the
>*name* of the individual supposedly involved, either--so that >you or anyone
>else will be able to contact them for their side of the story.

I have no idea what the motivations of such a person might be and why they
would leave a card.

>And aren't both sides of the story what we're really after? Or >would you
>prefer not to hear ours?

>Dennis

Dennis, the problem here is that at least I took the time to email BB Ryder
and get the name and number of the person involved. I am even cooperating in
the investigation by giving the name and number in confidence to Walt.

What have you done other than confuse the whole affair and slam me for a
story put out by BB Ryder. I haven't seen you hardly even mention this
person. My personal interview and post I will stand by as stated. If
their are any errors they would be small.

The fact is you made this mountain out of a molehill by going after me. As I
said before I would have been glad to have published MUFONs response in the
Bulletin and that would have been end of story. But by attacking me so
vigorously and falsely attributing BB's posts to me in order to attack me is
what stirred up this ruckus. Then on top of this to say I that make up the
story that was just too much.

I would suggest in the future that you reply to posts like BB Ryders in this
manner:

We are not aware of such and such event but we are opening a internal
investigation to see if it has validity. We will forward a report to you
from MUFON as soon as we have completed this investigation that you may then
publish. Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention. -----

If You and MUFON would have simply done this, MUFONs reputation would have
been enhanced not harmed.

The best, Ed Komarek (ORTK)

To subscribe to the ORTK BULLETIN by Ed Komarek send mail to:
listserve@awaiter.com with the following text in the body of the message:
subscribe ortk-bulletins

Or in more detail for those having trouble subscribing.

To subscribe to the ORTK Bulletin by Ed Komarek send: subscribe
ortk-bulletins in the spot for messages called the message body. Put this
where you would other wise put your message that you would write to another
person. In the place where you would put the individual's address to which
you were mailing put: listserve@awaiter.com If you subscribed correctly and
did not leave out a letter or symbol anywhere you will get back a message
saying you are subscribed.

The subject area you can leave blank it does not matter.

Search for other documents to/from: [edkomarek](#) | [dstacy](#) | [listserve](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).