



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jun](#) -> Re: Maccabee & Gulf Breeze

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Maccabee & Gulf Breeze

From: Jean van Gemert <jeanvg@dds.nl>
Date: Tue, 10 Jun 1997 09:38:14 +0200 (MET DST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jun 1997 07:30:39 -0400
Subject: Re: Maccabee & Gulf Breeze

>At 10:12 8-6-97 -0400, you wrote:
>Date: Sun, 08 Jun 1997 05:38:27 -0700
>From: John <flagg@pacbell.net> [John Townsend]
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Maccabee & Gulf Breeze

>> By the way if he hoaxed the film, show us how to do it, so they look so
>> excellent. Many experts not just Bruce have tried to figure out how to do
>> it. I've got a publisher that is looking for equivilant photos.

>Some hoaxes and tricks have been proven/admitted and people have never
>been able to duplicate them. People can do amazing things and will more
>often than not surprise you.

You've missed the point by a mile. First of all, your kind of rationale can be used to dismiss about anything without needing any evidence. The claim, after all, is that the photos necessarily MUST be hoaxed, but we just haven't discovered how they did it yet. You want to have your cake and eat it as well! Arguing that photos, which have passed all conceivable tests, must therefore be very ingenious hoaxes is BAD science, and betrays tremendous bias!

The primary problem with your hoax scenario, is the apparent difficulty of pulling off such an obviously sophisticated hoax. Maccabee says that some photos could only have been created artificially had Ed used full-scale saucer models. Just the crane, the 'model' itself, and a lighting system on a public road would not just be expensive and bulky, but it's inconceivable for no one to have noticed Ed setting it up! That's just one point. The other is that Ed's not alone in seeing these things, he is backed up by lots of independent witnesses and photos.

(Finally, what kind of "hoaxes" and "tricks" were you referring to specifically which somehow could never be "duplicated"? I think we'd all appreciate some specifics.)

Science, Logic, and the UFO Debate:
<http://www.primenet.com/~bdzeiler/index.html>

Search for other documents to/from: [jeanvg](#) | [flagg](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).