



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jun](#) -> Re: MUFON's Deuley And Stacy: Friends Or Foes?

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: MUFON's Deuley And Stacy: Friends Or Foes?

From: Dennis <dstacy@texas.net>
Date: Tue, 10 Jun 1997 12:30:46 -0500 (CDT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jun 1997 21:59:07 -0400
Subject: Re: MUFON's Deuley And Stacy: Friends Or Foes?

>From: EdKomarek@aol.com
>Date: Mon, 9 Jun 1997 17:19:09 -0400 (EDT)
>To: updates@globalserve.net
>Subject: MUFON's Thomas Deuley And Dennis Stacy: Friends Or Foes

>MUFON's Thomas Deuley And Dennis Stacy: Friends Or Foes
>By Ed Komarek
>6/9/97
>

Dear List:

There are not enough hours in the day to rationally address or discuss UFO matters with Ed Komarek. The list of people persecuting him and ORTK just gets longer and longer, the plot thicker and thicker. I should point out that it does absolutely no good whatsoever to deny that there is a mastermind, a plot and a bunch of slavish underlings carrying it out, because Mr. Komarek will always believe what he wants to, no matter what--which includes evidence to the contrary.

Nevertheless, just to keep the record straight, I'll briefly address those charges where I'm mentioned in his latest paranoid broadside.

>ORTK was also attacked in UFO magazine by the Chairman of FUFOR, Richard >Hall, with a similar hatchet job like Pflocks and Whittings. Then surprise >surprise, MUFON came out against these political activities. (Just who make >this decision?) I now think that Deuley was the secret mastermind behind >this attack and Hall and Stacy were simply fronting for him.

There is no secret mastermind behind the attack because there is no such attack -- only a lot of people who disagree with Komarek's approach to certain matters, including the issue of whether picketing the White House actually accomplishes that much--or can accomplish much. But people in this field are not allowed to have honest disagreements with know-it-all Komarek.

Deuley has virtually zero input as far as the Journal goes. For example, although he lives only a couple of miles away, I didn't send him a pre-publication copy of Jeffrey's paper, nor did he ask for one. For all I know, he may not even be aware of its existence until he gets his copy of the June Journal in the mail. It might surprise you to learn that we both have families, he has more than a fulltime job, and, frankly, we are just too busy to sit around plotting the ruin of ufology from within.

>Others that got a lot of media attention from the mainstream press were >similarly targeted and unfairly attacked. (Maybe the Hopkins folks have a few >words to say on this too.) It seems to me that the MUFON Journal is rapidly >becoming a debunking Journal. See Kent Jeffery's honest change of heart

>right at the Roswell 50th and when 20,000+ signatures have been collected, in
>the next issue. Stacy gives of all people Jim Moesley a prepublication copy.
> Stacy then says he is going to publish an attack on Corso by Karl Pflock in
>the issue after that. Expect Stacy to publish an attack on Greer soon
>thereafter.

Jim Mosely got a copy because he did that rarest of things in ufology -- he called me on the phone and asked for one. The article was already at the printer's. I also sent advance copies to Kevin Randle and Stanton Friedman by email and asked for their response. Randle has already responded and his response will appear in the next issue of the Journal. If you ask me politely, I'll send you a copy of same if you promise not to distribute it before publication.

As for Corso's book, use a little logic, Ed, even though you're a complete stranger to such matters. If Corso's book is really, actually true in every regard, then the secret is finally out--"outed" by a true insider. Now, how good could an orchestrated cover up be if the powers that be actually let Corso's book get into print? Isn't this just the sort of stuff they're charged to keep out of the public eye, regardless of the cost?

The fact of the matter is that Corso's book will be reviewed and discussed everywhere in the mainstream media. I expect the major TV newsmagazines are clamoring for exclusive interviews even as we speak. If you think a 3 or 4-page review (I'm sorry, I meant attack) of his book by Pflock in the MUFON Journal is going to carry much impact on national affairs, I appreciate the flattery, but that's about it.

>Now, are these same individuals, Deuley, Stacy and Hall manipulating the
>Coalition to create a containment operation in order to sabotage hearings in
>Congress? Maybe make Greer into being the bad guy?

It would be fairly hard for me to have much influence on (i.e., manipulate) the Coalition, since I'm not a member and don't attend their meetings. I do know Richard Hall, however. The only contact I've had in the past year with him was to solicit an article for the UFO book that was just published in England. Did I ask Hall to contribute so as to further some sort of conspiracy against you and ORTK? No, I asked him because he's a known name in the field and has been around UFOs for almost 50 years now.

If Greer has damaged his own reputation, he's done it without any assistance from me whatsoever. The full details of my own personal experience with Greer--atop Woodborough Hill in England in the early 90s, when he was trying to call down flying saucers with giant flashlights--have never appeared in print. In fact, I thought I was doing Greer a favor by not publishing them in detail, as they're not very flattering. My own opinion is that the man has a screw or two loose rattling around in his attic, but you're free to follow and support whomever you wish.

As things now stand, I *might* print Michael Lindemann's piece about Greer and the Washington briefings, which, I suppose, puts Lindemann in on the conspiracy, too. Jeez, it's spreading by the minute, isn't it?

If I do, though, it will be because I think many of our readers might be interested in reading it. An ulterior motive if there ever was one.

Dennis

Search for other documents to/from: [dstacy](#) | [edkomarek](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO Updates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO Updates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).