



describes her as taking an immediate "keen interest" in his affairs. Greer translates his constant mailing of promotional material to MRG into her wanting to have an active involvement with his plans. He states:

"In the summer of 1993, I was invited to a meeting at Mr. Laurance Rockefeller's JY Ranch #85 where I met Mrs. Marie (Bootsie) Galbraith. I shared with Ms. Galbraith and the others gathered there our plans #85 Mrs. Galbraith indicated a keen interest in assisting with this effort."

In January 1994, Greer invited both MRG and LSR to a "Strategy Team" meeting for Project Starlight at his home in Asheville, NC. In the paperwork sent to them, their names were already listed as part of the CSETI Strategy Group, Core Strategy Section, without their previous knowledge or permission. Neither one attended this meeting.

In February 1994, Greer called MRG and asked her if she would arrange for him to speak about UFOs to New Yorkers whom she thought might be interested in knowing more, about the subject. MRG was still not in any way involved with UFO matters, but she was curious about Greer and UFOs. She graciously arranged the talk for him. MRG's friends were given unsolicited fund raising material. One friend, Sandra Wright (then Houghton) embraced Greer's ideas with fervor. Later in May, MRG and Ms. Houghton went to a CSETI RMIT in Colorado to see what Greer was up to.

In July 1994, a year and a half after she had met Greer at the JY Ranch meeting, MRG was asked to undertake a major UFO research project by LSR, to recommend funding for valid UFO\ETI investigation and to encourage governments worldwide to open their files, At the suggestion of Ms. Houghton, the project was placed under the "aegis" of the BSW Foundation, her recently formed family foundation. Although the LSR project was carried out by MRG under the name of the BSW Foundation, Ms. Houghton had minimal direct involvement with it. The project was funded by LSR and was carried out in an office, which had been previously rented by MRG for other purposes.

From July to December 1994, MRG acquainted herself with the field of UFO events, and the researchers investigating them, in the USA and in Europe. Greer was only one of many researchers that MRG/LSR/BSW were interested in. Greer was only one of many researchers who sent in proposals for funding. While most applicants for funding call their applications "proposals", Greer refers to his efforts as a "collaboration". Greer calls his funding proposals and presentation of plans as "consultation" and "strategic input". Moreover, Greer claims that from the moment he met MRG at the JY ranch, a close involvement was set up. Greer infers that for 2 years he acted as her guide. (In fact, MRG "et al" did not begin the UFO project for LSR until mid July 1994, and by the end of October 1995, Greer had ceased communicating with her.) Greer writes:

"For nearly 2 years we collaborated on this matter, and CSETI continued to provide consultation and strategic input #85 In the fall of 1995, some 2= years after first providing the concept, title, strategy etc. to Mrs. Galbraith et at,,"

Greer's CSETI Project Starlight proposals went through several changes between December 1993 and December 1994. Greer described grandiose plans for the announcement of the imminent arrival of Ets: opening an office and a central media center, briefings for government officials and press worldwide, obtaining amnesty for government and military witnesses, collecting the Best Available Evidence, etc. These proposals had different estimated time and cost frames varying from, six to eight months at \$250,000 - \$500,000, to one year (with an international staff of 49 people plus outside professionals) at \$5,684,074!! References to what the BAE would consist of was put into three categories: Witnesses, Documents, and Hard Evidence (Bodies, Metal). More specifically, a December 31, 1993 Project Starlight Overview states:

"In descending order of desirability for the BAE: EBE tissue samples/slides which would definitively establish the extraterrestrial origin of these beings; significant artifacts of extraterrestrial origin; photos, movies or videos of the above, or of intact Ets or EBEs; satellite, gun camera or other photos, videos and movies of Ets on or near earth; genuine government documents with substantial detail "

Aside from the fact that such conclusive evidence is impossible to produce, it certainly bears no resemblance to anything in the UFORC Briefing= Document,

In January 1995, Greer asked MRG and Ms. Houghton if he could call a meeting of his Core Strategy Team for Project Starlight in New York at Ms. Houghton's apartment to discuss the creation of the "Starlight Coalition",

a new entity which was to one day include as many UFO groups and researchers as possible in a united effort to bring UFO reality to the fore worldwide. The Director would be Steven Greer. The apartment was made available, but with the clear stipulation that this did not guarantee or imply BSW (i.e. LSR) funding or endorsement in the future.

On January 30, 1995, Greer met with his group in New York. Also present were MRG and her assistant Ria Finazzo (to take minutes), Sandra Houghton, and George Lamb from LSR's office. After discussing the desired Coalition creation, structure and funding, there was mention of a "BAE Summit", which would cost \$500,000, to present the collection of UFO\ETI evidence for a briefing document. There was also mention of a "Witness Summit" to gather secret government witness testimony on video. This was the only time Greer met with "Galbraith et al" in New York. (Later, after much deliberation, MRG and George Lamb decided that a modest grant, via the MRG project at the BSW Foundation, should fund a small "Witness Gathering" in the coming months, organized by Greer, in order to test what he could produce.)

## II. UFORC Involvement with MRG and BSW Foundation; Plans and Proposals:

In February 1995, MRG met with the heads of the newly created UFO Research Coalition - Mark Rodeghier and George Eberhart (CUFOS), Richard Hall and Don Berliner (FUFOR), and Walt Andrus and Tom Deuley (MUFON) - to discuss proposals for funding. It was decided to produce a full and serious summary report on the case for UFO reality to be published privately in 1,000 copies and to be funded by LSR via the MRG project at BSW. (A "Briefing Summary" had already been suggested by Don Berliner in November 1994 with an outline for evidence, investigations and conclusions, most of which were incorporated into the final document.)

The document would be divided into four sections: Overview of the Problem; best documented, worldwide and least controversial Case Histories since 1945; Quotations from prominent government and military officials, astronauts and scientists, world wide; and Appendices with miscellaneous useful information. (Between them, the members of UFORC have the largest data bank of UFO material available in the world.)

Don Berliner was chosen to be the author. (Midway through the project, Antonio Huneeus joined Berliner to help with some research and editing of the document, MRG authored two pages on Government Secrecy and also served as editor. (The time of preparation for the document was ten months, with an additional two months for printing. The total cost was under \$50,000.)

## III. Greer's Claims of Credit for the UFORC Briefing Document:

Greer twists the truth to his own purpose when he states:

"In addition to my creating the title, concept, criteria and strategy for the use of the BAE, I flew to New York to meet with Galbraith et al and spent considerable time discussing specific cases to be selected for the BAE."

First of all, Greer omits the real purpose of his one trip to New York to meet with "Galbraith et al", i.e. the meeting with his core team on January 30th to discuss setting up the "Starlight Coalition". There was absolutely no discussion of "specific cases" for any BAE, and certainly not those presented later in the UFORC document. If he is referring here to his own BAE (as described above), it would have been totally unacceptable for the UFORC document. If he is here referring to the UFORC BAE for their briefing document, his alleged suggestions for "specific cases" would have been given before the meeting between MRG and UFORC took place in February, when UFORC first agreed among themselves to propose the briefing document with non-controversial case histories. (The funding for this was later approved by LSR.)

"Additionally, numerous hours were spent via long distance telephone discussing the BAE with Mrs. Galbraith and in selecting specific cases to be used"

If Greer is referring to his own BAE here, this make no sense because BSW (i.e. LSR) was not funding or endorsing the BAE Summit of the Project Starlight, nor the idea of a Starlight Coalition, and had only agreed to fund instead a small "Witness Gathering".

If Greer is referring to the UFORC BAE, why would he want or try to butt in on their business? How can he in any way claim credit for a project organized, written and researched by the UFORC team and funded by BSW (i.e. LSR)? Why would he try to interfere in a process being carried out by a group who did not want to associate with him in any way? Why does he claim that MRG would give all his ideas to UFORC to carry out? Why does he not

credit UFORC with ideas of their own?

How far does the imagination have to be stretched to give Greer the credit for providing UFORC via MRG the specific cases for their briefing document? Contrary to the written affidavits from Don Berliner and Antonio Huneus re their own work and the origin of their ideas and research for the briefing document (which were sent to Greer over a year ago when he first complained about MRG to LSR in a letter dated March 12, 1996), and contrary to the many witnesses to events over this time frame and to in-house documents, we have only Greer's misguided words to substantiate his claims.

Greer exaggerates and frequently misinterprets events. Greer is known to have evangelical ideas of his own "mission" and importance. Does he misconstrue the facts because he does not have time to check his information, or simply because he misinterprets events and rearranges the facts to suit his own illusions?

The methods and style of the two parties, Greer and UFORC, are totally different. Only their common purpose, to investigate and bring to light the likely reality of UFO\ETI, is shared. Their similar idea to write a document to convince people can be understood in an analogy: hundreds of people want to write cookbooks (not an original idea), but the ideas for recipes vary widely.

Greer resolves all questions concerning his claim for the creation of the title, concept, criteria and strategy for the UFORC Briefing Document by sticking to his illusions:

"Mrs. Galbraith and the BSW Foundation... offered to take on the task of writing the BAE. The BAE was to be a collection of Classic, if traditional, UFO cases of strong evidential integrity. It was decided that my efforts should go into obtaining extraordinary evidence and deep cover first-hand military and intelligence data and witness testimony."

Greer implies that MRG and BSW "offered" to do him a favor and would pay for his now "classic" BAE ideas and inspirations to be written by others. (Greer describes Berliner's and Huneus's work elsewhere as "a mere technical endeavor by paid writers"). Thus, with his own illusions neatly intact, Greer comfortably fits himself with impunity into the picture, still claiming control over MRG, still being her guide in UFO matters. In his own mind, he was the leader and MRG was always his follower.

Greer continued to apply his interpretation to their correspondence, on witness matters and other matters, for a long while. In a long letter written to M.RG on September 10, Greer whined to MRG for her lack of input to him:

"We must assure that a mutual, fair and equitable exchange of resources and information occurs between our coalition and others. The perception exists that, to date, this has been primarily in one direction - yours=85 We have received not one piece of evidence from you; no witnesses have been introduced to us through you; no photographs, videos or documents have been received; and no funding has been provided for our network and operations, which are strained to the breaking point due to lack of support=85 There is the sense that supports information, evidence, contact, etc. flow only in your direction=85 For example, I was saddened to learn of your meeting with Pamela Harriman through contacts we have in the French and US governments and not from you directly."

Greer seems to misunderstand the function of the MRG project at the BSW Foundation, namely to fund projects by different members of the UFO community for serious research, which would benefit the entire community effort. As for the last comment, MRG's husband was US Ambassador to France. MRG meets people every day that Greer would like to know about. She does not discuss UFOs with everyone she meets.

From February to October 1995, correspondence between MRG\BSW and Greer focused on the subject of his collecting witness testimony to use to obtain eventual government amnesty for all government witnesses and to make a video one day to use as evidence.

MRG also kept Greer (and others) informed on the progress of the UFORC Briefing Document. (MRG was in touch with researchers all over the world on this and many other subjects. She was hoping to have the Briefing Document endorsed by some of them, but time was running out and this idea was abandoned.)

The only example of a request sent to Greer by MRG concerning possible evidence for the Briefing Document occurred in memos in late July and August when she asked him to send her copies of material on pilot cases (for Huneus) which Richard Haines had sent him. Haines understood from

Greer that he and BSW were joined at the hip, and assumed that Greer would automatically forward his material to BSW. MRG did write "perhaps we could borrow them for a short period of time to see if we could summarize the material and use it for a short presentation in our "Briefing Document". The material was never sent. UFORC also had these pilot cases, but they were never used.

#### IV. Funding of the UFORC Briefing Document:

Greer states:

"Mrs. Galbraith and the BSW Foundation, with funding assistance from Mr. Rockefeller and others offered to take on the task of completing and writing the BAE=85 I should point out that the principal of BSW (i.e. Sandra Houghton), who provided significant funding (along with Mr. Rockefeller) for the writing of the BAE=85 Notwithstanding the fact that BSW [as separate from the LSR\MRG funding] partially funded the document=85 "

The funding for the UFORC document was taken care of totally by LSR, via BSW. Ms. Houghton provided no funding for the UFORC document. Greer makes blatantly false statements here, which the LSR, BSW and UFORC bank accounts can attest to.

#### V. Copyright and Distribution of the UFORC Briefing Document:

Greer states desperately, using some capital letters:

"It was always the explicit and repeatedly stated intention of Mrs. Galbraith, BSW, Mr. Rockefeller and CSETI to create a BAE which would be a PUBLIC DOMAIN, NON-COPYRIGHTED DOCUMENT=85 In the fall of 1995=85 she= [MRG] mailed me a NON-COPYRIGHTED Best Available Evidence document to be used for briefing leaders=85 We SPECIFICALLY AND EXPLICITLY DISCUSSED THE USE OF= THIS JOINTLY CREATED DOCUMENT AS A PERMANENTLY NON-COPY RIGHTED, PUBLIC DOMAIN DOCUMENT=85"

Where is the proof of this? Certainly the UFORC, LSR and MRG are unaware of such intentions. Again, there is only Greer's word against others. Again, Greer is reinventing history. An October draft of the Briefing Document was sent to many members of the UFORC team and to some researchers in the USA and in Europe for editing and comments. Greer was also sent a copy. MRG never heard back from Greer. Others were very helpful with their comments. This document was presented in draft form and not as a final product.

There was no copyright page attached to the draft. Present copyright law (Federal Copyright Statute 17 U.S.C. # 405 (a), amended in 1989, and 17 U.S.C. #101 et seq. protects uncopyrighted drafts as well as the final products that result from them. The final copyrighted product, Unidentified Flying Objects - Briefing Document, appeared in February 1996, in bound form with a blue cover. This was also duly sent to Greer.

As for Greer's concern for its free distribution in the public domain, free use of some UFORC material was additionally provided. An Executive Summary, written by Dick Hall, and a Summary of Quotations were printed up and handed out, free of copyright to any and all who desired to have it. These could be copied and distributed by anyone free of charge, provided the material was left as it was presented in the original.

Greer makes a final complaint concerning the distribution issue:

"=85 Mrs. Galbraith and the so-called UFO Research Coalition will not even allow BSW to purchase copies of the document for free distribution to VIPs and world leaders."

There are only a handful of the original UFORC Briefing Documents left, and these are being tightly held by the UFORC for the moment for their own choice of recipients. Moreover, the UFORC has never desired in any way to be associated with Greer, neither in the past and certainly not in e= present.

#### VI. Mrs. Galbraith's Working Agreements and Arrangements:

Finally, Greer accuses MRG of several "violations":

"Mrs. Galbraith, in collaboration with the so-called and newly formed UFO Research Coalition, attempted to seize this jointly created BAE, document, copyright it, and restrict its use, in clear and reprehensible violation of the spirit of how the document was created. In doing so, Mrs. Galbraith violated our working agreement, and further violated her arrangement with

the founder and principal of BSW foundation=85"

What does it mean to "seize" one's own document? What was the "working agreement" between CSETI, MRG and the RSW Foundation? Or the "arrangement" between Ms. Houghton and MRG regarding the UFORC Briefing Document? Are there some written agreements and arrangements? If so, let us see them. If not, all we have is Greer's vague innuendo that he and Ms. Houghton have been badly treated and misled.

On October 19, 1995, MRG wrote to Greer saying that the BSW wished to continue to help him in some of his efforts which would benefit the entire UFO community, but that she could not necessarily agree with his interpretation of much of the UFO phenomena and that he must be "very careful when using LSR's name that it is not associated with an assumed approval of your interpretation of events." Greer never replied, All correspondence ceased thereafter.

On December 15, 1995, MRG separated from the BSW Foundation, by a mutual agreement with Ms. Houghton made months earlier, and she returned to working as a consultant directly for LSR, as was the original intention.

#### VII. Conclusion:

Greer claims that there are multiple witnesses and dated documents, which support his facts. It would be interesting to see them. The documents in the hands of the UFORC, of which only a few are quoted here, tell a very different story from the one Greer recounts.

Greer describes the actions of many of his fellow UFO researchers as demonstrating "venal and destructive behavior" which occurs "out of malice and jealousy". Greer accuses Lindemann as having "failed to engage in due diligence" in calling Greer "grossly dishonest". He accuses MRG of "treachery". He lies about facts, which can be easily checked and proven, such as the funding for the UFORC Briefing Document. He has committed at least two illegal acts by distributing (in the words of the copyright lawyer) "a blatantly pirated copy of a document written by Don Berliner for the UFORC." Aside from the copyright issue, he has violated the federal Lanham Act 15 U.S.C. # 1125 (a) by using Berliner's name on the cover of the so-called CSETI Project Starlight document. Legal action is now being taken against Greer by the UFORC.

Might we suggest to Greer that he follow the Bible's suggestion: "first cast out the mote which is in thine own eye"!

To those who are familiar with the UFO "scene", Greer's behavior sounds like that of a spoiled child who has not gotten his way, stamping his foot in fury and frustration. He has interpreted events to suit his aspirations and illusions and seems unable to face the reality of being a small fish in a big pond. He continues to misrepresent and manipulate facts to flaunt his own importance. His arrogance can be seen in his typical comments about himself and his work in his Internet letter:

His critics are described as those who:=20

"wish to derail the historic events and momentum of the CSETI Project Starlight effort=85 these elements within the UFO community have chosen to obstruct the process of world education on the subject=85"

"An historic gathering was convened in Washington, D-C, on April 9, 1997=85 Meanwhile historic and promising developments continue with the CSETI Project Starlight disclosure Strategy."

A final quote from Greer's own writing might serve as advice to apply to himself:

"The most, the best, we can do is to allow our individual, finite selves to be at the service of a rapidly unfolding Plan, the Architect of which is infinitely wise and skillful. In a true sense, we need only to get out of our own way, to forget self and follow guidance. Even as it is said in the Mathnavi, a mystical writing: 'The death of self is needed here, not rhetoric: Be nothing, then, and walk Upon the waves.' When we die to self, the Divine will shine through and guide us."

If Greer believes in what he writes and quotes above, and if he believes that the common goal of awakening the world to the reality of UFO\ETI is more important than his own ego, he would rejoice in any serious step that moves forward towards achieving this goal. To the man who wrote the words above, a well-done Briefing Document, which is being offered to influential members of the world community, should elicit his gratitude. To such a man it should not make any difference, whether or not he is the creator of it,

that his name was not attached to it.

Would the real Steven Greer please step forward?

Search for other documents to/from: [steve](#)

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).