



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jun](#) -> Re: Dennis Stacey 'Outing' Abductees

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Dennis Stacey 'Outing' Abductees

From: Dennis <dstacy@texas.net>
Date: Tue, 10 Jun 1997 12:30:48 -0500 (CDT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jun 1997 22:06:13 -0400
Subject: Re: Dennis Stacey 'Outing' Abductees

>Date: Tues, 9 June 1997 03:45 EDT
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto - <updates@globalseve.net>
>From: HONEYBE100@aol.com (Linda Cortile)
>Subject: Re: Dennis Stacey 'Outing' Abductees

>Dennis Stacey wrote:

>"Linda is already outed and has been for some time?"
>Oh, the tangled web we weave...wishful thinking on your
>part, Dennis. I suppose, that's what you'd like everyone
>to believe, huh? But the fact remains, you have been
>trying to *out* me for years, unsuccessfully.

Dear Linda,

You're getting as difficult to respond to rationally as Mr. Komarek, but let me try. I have not been trying to out you personally for years. I've already posted a list of articles I published about your case in the Journal over the last five years or so, 12 articles in favor of, one against.

But had I been trying, you can bet your Yankees' cap that it wouldn't have been unsuccessfully. I could have mentioned your last name any time I wanted to in a number of media outlets that I write regularly for, beginning with Fortean Times and Omni magazine, both the late print edition and now the electronic version. I could have posted it on this and other WWW lists. Instead, I inadvertently used it once, which I apologized for. You are, of course, perfectly free to refuse that apology. You're not free to distort the facts of the matter.

>No, you don't
>have anything against me personally, but you do have
>something against Budd Hopkins. Using me as a tool, to
>get back at Budd for whatever disagreements you've had
>with him in the past, isn't a good tactic to use. It just doesn't
>work. People are not going to *out* me just because you wish
>they would.

Again, you're into mind-reading. I have disagreements with some of Budd's interpretations of the abduction evidence, beginning with his interpretation of the Roper Report findings. In the interval, I can't think of anything he has offered to the Journal that I haven't printed. If I "have something against" Budd, that's a surprising way to express it. I even tried to use one of his early articles, from the Village Voice, in the UFO book I just co-edited, which means that I would have been putting money in his pocket. The reason why it doesn't appear has to do with the fact that the people in charge of the Voice's rights departments are a bunch of flakes. When

approval finally came through, it was too late. There is still the possibility that Budd's article could appear in the American edition if there is one.

>As far as Budd Hopkins is concerned, you and I know who
>revealed my legal name. Why do you pretend it was Hopkins?
>The three debunkers from South Jersey (you know, the ones
>you've sided with against Hopkins) gave my real name to Jim
>Moseley in 1992. Jim Moseley, in turn, published it in his
>newsletter. This is how my name was originally revealed.
>The gate-keeper, Budd Hopkins, did not reveal my name and
>you know that.

Again, you misconstrue my statements, which were intended to imply that more care could of been taken. In other words, someone needs to ask why your name was entrusted to them in the first place if absolute privacy was the first priority. There *are* ways to keep state secrets, you know, just ask the Air Force.

>I don't know what's been rolling around in your
>head, Dennis, but you have even asked John Velez and Greg
>Sandow to direct their rage at Budd. You seem obsessed with
>your dislike for Hopkins, even if you were joking. It shows.

The issue you're referring to--the location of the mail-drop--is a minor but complicated one, but had nothing to do with asking John or Greg to direct their rage (or mine) at Budd. I am not obsessed with any dislike for Budd. I do have a passing interest in (and concern for) increasingly escalating and exotic claims made for the UFO abduction phenomenon. In that sense, I'm from the big state just south of Missouri.

I originally asked John to ask Budd about the drop's location because I had heard different stories about its whereabouts. Eventually, Budd responded with a letter that I should address any questions I had about the case to him. I agreed with that. You then came online, saying you were willing to answer questions about the case yourself. I asked you exactly one, to which Greg responded before you did.

>It almost seems as though, you cannot separate me from Budd
>Hopkins. To you, I don't have an identity of my own. Strange,
>isn't it? You couple the both of us as *one person* simply
>because he has investigated my case. Even you must admit, this
>is a very unusual way for you to perceive things.

It is a very unusual way to perceive things, I admit, it just happens not to be how I do perceive things, but I appreciate your trying.

>Every abductee and alien abduction researcher, has good cause
>to moan and groan to you. After all, you've offended most of us.
>And speaking of most of us, the majority does not know my real
>name, as you have presumed. So, I'd like to keep it that way.

Another one that's hard to respond to because it's so general. Have I offended Eddie Bullard, for example? Did I offend David Jacobs by asking him to review Budd's "Witnessed" in the Journal? Do I offend John Carpenter by running his column in the Journal? Did I offend Ray Fowler by asking him to write about the Allagash case for the UFO book? Have I offended Walter Webb, Richard Haines, Jacques Vallee, Jerry Clark? Maybe you should stop confusing the whole of ufology with the NYC circle?

In fact, this whole field needs to seriously psychoanalyze itself to see whether it's going to allow honest differences of opinion and interpretation to exist, or whether it's simply going to lump everyone into two opposing camps, as in you're either for me or against me. The latter is certainly Komarek's approach, and from where I sit, it seems to be the one you're taking as well.

Dennis

Search for other documents to/from: [dstacy](#) | [honeybe100](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).