



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Jun](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Kent Jeffrey and his worldview

From: "John W. Ratcliff" <jratclif@inlink.com>
Date: Wed, 18 Jun 1997 09:19:40 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jun 1997 11:46:53 -0400
Subject: Kent Jeffrey and his worldview

I would like to make a comment on this line of argument from Kent. Some folks have been studying this whole UFO thang for a really long time. Kent sets up an interesting straw man argument here saying that "If this UFO crash were an extraterrestrial vehical operating in time and space as we know it, corresponding to all of the laws of physics as we understand them, and the product of a technological society of intelligent creatures who independently evolved from human beings, a vehicle which by definition must have traveled light years subject to relativistic physics then....."

What a load of assumptions. The UFO phenomenon is "real", if you count nothing but it's effect on human culture for at least a few thousand years as having some basis in "reality". When we examine the phenomena that encompass the enigma we call UFO, and by that I mean *all* of it, there is no reason to think that the ETH hypothesis as stated above has anything to do with these anamolous whichamaggigers flitting around our sky, leaving their imprints on our minds, myths, religions, and cultures.

Kent begins by stating that his version of reality can only encompass a very narrow mechanistic worldview which is limited to what current human technology and popular conceptions of space, time, and biology have championed.

That's nice to hear, but reality, as any good Fortean knows, is rarely so accomadating. Darn it to heck, reality tends to get a lot weirder than that qucker than an elf can pilot his UFO down to the local farm and cook up some hotcakes.

Kent attempts to divorce the phenomenon from the way it presents itself to us, and then bottle it into his narrow view of reality, where it clearly doesn't want to fit.

UFOs have produced most world religions and continue to do so yet today. The intelligence behind the UFO (the trickster archetype if you prefer) operates as a control mechanism on humanity manipulating our myths. These intruders operate a psychological program of mass mind manipulation that makes the CIA green with envy even today. The grand UFO cover up is, was, and always has been operated by the UFOs themselves.

Our understanding of time and space is completely flawed, limited, and inadequate if, and that is only *if* UFOs are 'real.' Heck, they challenge our very definition of the word 'real' itself.

The presumptions inherent in Kents argument are laughable in the face of the phenomenon itself!

The 'technology' behind the UFO phenomenon could be very low tech indeed. Based on some cosmological principle *we* haven't discovered

but one that might appear comparable to the horse and buggy relative to their culture. Perhaps they are as boggled by our technologies as we are about theirs?

You cannot take a phenomenon which is patently bizarre, surreal, and challenges all of our concepts of space, time, biology, and even sense of self as this one, and bind it into a worldview which it inherently contradicts!

UFOs exist, that is for sure. They are enmeshed in all human religions, cultures, and myth. It is no more valid to refer to them as ET in a spaceship than it is for Pat Robertson to refer to them as demon spawn. Their origin could well exist beyond what our senses can ever perceive or understand.

Nevertheless, Kent has a point. Crashed ET spaceships they are not.

Are they important? Unless you belong to a particularly strong religious persuasion, Mormonism, Catholicism, Judaism, Materialism, or UFOlogy, no, they are not.

They hover around the fringes of reason with an agenda all their own. Unless dear Kent happens to get abducted next week, I'm quite certain he can rest comfortably in his new found sense of freedom. Meanwhile, I'm certain 'they' remain completely in control of their coverup....

Don't worry about the CIA friends, worry about the next time Jehovah shows up in his flying saucer..and then ask yourself if we have anything sufficiently cooked up out at Area 51 to deal with him.

John

Search for other documents to/from: [jratclif](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).