



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Nov](#) -> Re: Elders Remarks on Mexico City Footage

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Elders Remarks on Mexico City Footage

From: **Mike Smith** <mickey@anix.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 06 Nov 1997 10:13:57 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Nov 1997 08:40:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Elders Remarks on Mexico City Footage

Mark Cashman wrote:

> To: updates@globalserve.net
> From: Mark Cashman <mcashman@ix.netcom.com>
> Subject: re: UFO UpDate: Re: Elders Remarks on Mexico City Footage
> Date: Tue, 4 Nov 1997 11:51:14 -0800

> > From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>, on 11/3/97 10:43 PM:
> > Date: Mon, 3 Nov 1997 17:19:27 -0800 (PST)
> > From: Jim Deardorff <deardorj@ucs.orst.edu>
> > To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
> > Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Elders Remarks on Mexico City Footage

> > Froning's explanation might hold for an ordinary source of
> > ultraviolet radiation, IF a pathlength of non-absorbent air, such
> > as the ionized channel he postulates, existed from the craft down
> > to the observer. But it's very speculative to postulate such
> > conditions when we don't know beans about the radiation that
> > advanced technology might give off. He's probably not qualified
> > to speak as a skin specialist either.

> > Yes, but even if there were such a path, and even if it were more
> > permeable to UV (which remains to be proven), and, yes, I agree
> > UFOs emit UV, if the UFO in question were emitting sufficient
> > UV to generate a sunburn, I would expect many witnesses to have
> > experienced the effect. We're told of one. All I want to know is
> > whether there is a reason to expect this to be a UFO UV burn and
> > not a conventional sunburn. And believe me, I want to know, even
> > if the explanation advanced sounds like a crock. If the witness
> > exists, and was medically examined, it would be interesting. Note
> > also that UV and other forms of radiation effect leave traces in
> > blood which can be measured. We don't know whether any tests
> > have been performed.

> > But let's not let such an observation sidetrack us from the fact
> > that the "sunburn" was reported to have occurred. That's one of
> > the items to keep in mind, since we (and you) know of many other
> > similar occurrences connected with close encounters with UFOs.
> > It's a piece of supportive information that the event actually
> > occurred, to be added cumulatively to all the other pieces.

[Big Snip]

Don't know if this is relevant but there has just been a patent issued for a 'Phaser' a'la Star Trek.[See Last weeks New Scientist] The Device is a UV laser. The Laser produces an ionisation trail to the intended target. Down which electricity is then discharged. The present prototype is limited by the size

