



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Nov](#) -> Re: ETH [Extra Terrestrial Hypothesis] &c

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: ETH [Extra Terrestrial Hypothesis] &c

From: rfsignal@sprynet.com [Cathy Johnson]
Date: Sat, 15 Nov 1997 08:45:17 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 15 Nov 1997 13:19:50 -0500
Subject: Re: ETH [Extra Terrestrial Hypothesis] &c

>Date: Thu, 13 Nov 1997 09:29:26 +0200
>From: Jakes Louw <LOUWJE@telkom.co.za>
>To: updates@globalserve.net
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: ETH [Extra Terrestrial Hypothesis] &c

>>From: rfsignal@sprynet.com [Cathy Johnson]
>>Date: Wed, 12 Nov 1997 06:40:29 -0800
>>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: ETH [Extra Terrestrial Hypothesis] &c
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>

Dear Jakes,

I am sorry that you think I am continually bitching about abductions. You are right. There is a lot more than abductions to be concerned about on this list.

>I have no problem whatsoever with people who have had some >experience, whether a sighting or abduction. How could I, >and who am I to judge.

If you don't, don't worry. Others are doing it for you.

>HOWEVER: I have a problem with people who continually >bitch about the fact that UFO "research" isn't taken >seriously by the scientific community. Perhaps they >should look at that statement. Apart from some >questionable US government reports (Condon, Blue >Book, whatever), where are the scientific papers? >All we get are pseudo-scientific popular fiction >novels: "My brain was removed by little green men".

Above top secret. I would have to shoot you if I told you everything. What a lame excuse for this to be foisted in the face of a population as large as that in North America. The Russians have the same trouble as Americans when it comes to ufology. Don't you think they could compare notes at least? Afterall, the cold war is over.

The McCarthy era is dead and buried. What other excuses?

>Now very possibly, although statistically highly >improbably, 4 million US citizens have been >abducted. OK.

Really? I didn't know the estimated number at all. I will keep it as a handy reference. Thankyou.

>But surely out of all these cases, there is ONE >with sufficient evidence.

There won't be if the government is actively suppressing the information concerning UFOs and their occupants. All we can get to see is that there about four million people who are crying wolf about something that the governments of the world won't even consider as a possibility. So, the four million people in North America are ignored, along with everyone else in the world who has had sightings or experiences. That's how it is.

Whatever anyone may think about all of this, has nothing to do about what is actually going on. Who knows the real truth? Is it the people who had the original sightings or experiences? Or is it the government, who is so surgical in controlling all of the information about the whole picture? Who does know the truth of the matter?

>Out of all the sightings in the US, surely there
>is ONE that has all the evidence required for
>it to be considered interesting by main-stream
>scientists.

Look at any case and judge the believability factor. Does it indicate that there are factors in the case that may or may not present information that would be socially, politically, or religiously significant? And, if it does, then it should be kept quiet until all of the necessary social, political, and religious adjustments can be made. The War of the Worlds is really a fearful battle of present day beliefs and technologies struggling to adapt to survive the implications of the possibilities and ramifications of an alien presence.

>I dunno. Maybe I'm just naive in thinking that
>this is possible.

What makes you think you are so different than anyone else here? Don't you think we all want answers to our questions? Well, it won't happen until the powers that be will decide otherwise.

Consider that Freedom of Information Access documents are on the Internet. These refer to all kinds of secrets, even ones going back to the days of nuclear development. Any references to UFOs in them? Not a word. Lots of implications, lots of rumours only. I know it only makes me suspicious of the kind of information we are being fed. How would you feel if you thought you knew something and the government denied it to your face? Begin to know how I feel.

Take care for now,
Cathy Johnson

Search for other documents to/from: [rfsignal](#) | [louwje](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).