



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Nov](#) -> Re: Belgian Radar Visual

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Belgian Radar Visual

From: Henny van der Pluijm <hvdp@worldonline.nl>
Date: Tue, 18 Nov 1997 18:33:05 +0100 (MET)
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Nov 1997 16:27:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Belgian Radar Visual

Jean Pierre,

Thank you for your this information.

>Then I read on sci.skeptic that (at the end
>of December 1996 or beginning of January 1997),
>during an A&E TV broadcast (USA), with
>some people from the SOBEPS (if memory serves),
>it was said that, amongst the various locks,
>there was one simultaneous lock of both fighters
>as well as the ground radar. Reading the transcript
>of the conversation between the ground controller
>and the pilots, and scrutinizing the details of
>the locks as published in the first SOBEPS book,
>I concluded that it could be only locks number
>5 and 6 (Meessen's numerotation), lasting 8 and
>11.4 seconds. And this was very probably IMHO the
>craft flying in straight line from Brussels to
>Liege, at subsonic speed, and followed by the
>Glons and Semmerzake ground radars. But these
>echoes and this craft don't look extraterrestrial,
>they don't have the "crazy" characteristics of
>the contact of which you reposted the transcript,
>they look like maybe a private jet flying
>illegally (no transponder). However the whole
>thing remains a bit mysterious.

>Sincerely,
>Jean-Pierre Pharabod

Look at these sets of data.

These are the contacts.
I.

number	(seconds)	at=B7
1	2.3	00 h 13 March 31,1990
(March 30 22 h 13 GMT)=B7		
2	3.4=B7	
3	19.9	00 h 15=B7
4	27.5	00 h 29=B7
5	8.0=B7	
6	11.4=B7	
7	9.3=B7	
8	< 0.1=B7	

9	45.9	00 h 39=B7
10	16.2=B7	
11	11.4=B7	
12	9.5=B7	
13	11.2	00 h 46'

Eliminating contact 9 (the other F16), contacts 3 and 4, lasting 19.9 and 27.5 seconds, are the only ones that could relate to the erratic contact sequence lasting 22.5 seconds.
 Contact 4 - 27 sec. - could include 22.5 seconds of radar lock.

But:

>From the staff report it appears that:

00 h 13: First lock on the target designated by the CRC.
 Position: "on the nose" 6 NM (Nautical Miles), 9000 feet,
 direction: 250. The target speed changes within minimum time from 150 to 970 knots, altitude coming down from 9000 to 5000 feet, then up to 11000 feet, and, shortly after, down to ground level.

Contact 3 happened at 00.15 according to the table of contacts. Though we don't have exact corroboration of the times of contact, contact 3 would seem the best fit for the erratic contact sequence.

II.

Seconds after lock-on	Heading (degrees)	Speed (knots)	Altitude (feet)=B7
00	200	150	7000=B7
01	200	150	7000=B7
02	200	150	7000=B7
03	200	150	7000=B7
04	sharp 200	acceleration 150	6000=B7
05	turn 270	=3D 22 g 560	6000=B7
06	270	560	6000=B7
07	270	570	6000=B7
08	270	560	7000=B7
09	270	550	7000=B7
10	210	560	9000=B7
11	210	570	10000=B7
12	210	560	11000=B7
13	210	570	10000=B7
14	270	770	7000=B7
15	270	770	6000=B7
16	270	780	6000=B7
17	270	790	5000=B7
18	290	1010	4000=B7
19	290	1000	3000=B7
20	290	990	2000=B7
21	290	990	1000=B7
22	300	990	0000=B7
22.5	300	980	0000 Break

lock=B7

III.

The above contact sequence includes an acceleration from 150 to 560 knots. Which would corroborate with the rounded numbers the pilot provides in this excerpt, from 100 to 600.

This contact excerpt clearly shows simultaneous radar contact. It also shows erratic moves that cannot be explained by any terrestrial aircraft or natural phenomenon.

P=3DPilot
 C=3DController
 Numbers like 300 and 120 are headings, measured in degrees.

P Roger SB 100=B7

C Civilian traffic 300, 5 miles=B7
P " " " " " "=B7
Steady 120
C Continue 100
P 100=B7
C Even 060 now
060, 5
P Steady 060=B7
C 060, 3. You have contact?=B7
P One contact but speed is changing from 100 to 600
C I have the same contact=B7
P Slightly to right 4 miles=B7
C Affirmative. High moving=B7
P Steady east now=B7
C Roger=B7
P Lost contact=B7

Although the above maneuvers could not be witnessed visually from the ground, the Glons radar had picked up the signal earlier while the gendarmes were watching the objects in the sky.

Nowhere in the reports is there any mention that the Glons radar lost contact with the objects. The Glons radar did direct the F16s to the target. In other words, the same objects that were seen by the gendarmes were later detected by F16 radar AND Glons radar and showed the erratic signal.

In other words, there is no need to dismiss the F16 radar recordings as evidence.

/ Met vriendelijke groet/Best wishes \
Henny van der Pluijm \
hvdp@worldonline.nl \

Technology Pages \
<http://home.worldonline.nl/~hvdp> \
/

Search for other documents to/from: [hvdp](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).