



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



**Our Bookstore is OPEN**

*Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!*

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Oct](#) -> **Re: One Picture is Worth a Thousand Words**

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: One Picture is Worth a Thousand Words

From: [rfsignal@sprynet.com](mailto:rfsignal@sprynet.com) [Cathy Johnson]  
Date: Fri, 3 Oct 1997 20:14:13 -0700  
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Oct 1997 23:18:10 -0400  
Subject: Re: One Picture is Worth a Thousand Words

Dear Errol and List,

>Date: Fri, 3 Oct 1997 15:20:15 -0500 (CDT)  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>From: Dennis <[dstacy@texas.net](mailto:dstacy@texas.net)>  
>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: One Picture is Worth a Thousand Words

>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>>From: "Serge Salvaille" <[sergesa@connectmmic.net](mailto:sergesa@connectmmic.net)>  
>>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: One Picture is Worth a Thousand Words  
>>Date: Thu, 02 Oct 1997 13:42:53 -0500

>>Dear Barbara [Becker],

>>You have mentioned...

>>>Yes, Independent (that means he looked at the photos WITHOUT  
>>>an agenda) photoanalyst Wm. Hyzer, however his report was  
>>>disregarded by MUFON.

<-----snip----->

>To summarize, however, Hyzer essentially concludes that the famous  
>Polaroid series of pictures released by Walters are hoaxes,  
>specifically double-exposures. To cite but one example, the famous  
>"road shot," Hyzer concluded that reflections from the photographed  
>object should have appeared in the scene reflected on the hood of  
>Ed's pickup, since the background treeline did. Ergo, the object was  
>not physically present at the time the picture was taken.

Can I ask how a poleroid camera be made to double expose any  
of its film? And, another point I'd like to ask concerning the hood  
reflections. If there was nothing else besides the tree line being  
reflected on the hood, wouldn't it be logical to assume that the  
object was further away than the treeline, and much larger in size to  
be visible in the picture at all? I don't know for sure, but I  
thought I would ask.

Take care for now,  
Cathy Johnson

Search for other documents to/from: [rfsignal](#) | [dstacy](#) | [sergesa](#)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).