



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Oct](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: One Picture is Worth a Thousand Words

From: Jean van Gemert <jeanvg@dds.nl>
Date: Sat, 4 Oct 1997 13:50:51 +0200 (MET DST)
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Oct 1997 08:27:48 -0400
Subject: Re: One Picture is Worth a Thousand Words

>From: c549597@showme.missouri.edu [Barbara Becker]
>Date: Wed, 01 Oct 1997 13:21:06 -0500
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalseve.net>
>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: One Picture is Worth a Thousand Words

>Yes, Independent (that means he looked at the photos WITHOUT
>an agenda) photoanalyst Wm. Hyzer, however his report was disregarded by
>MUFON.

Re. Ms. Becker's allegation that MUFON "disregarded" the Hyzer
analysis (an issue which I believe has already been illuminated
somewhat by Dennis Stacy), Bruce Maccabee has this to say:

--
The response to this is BOVINE EXCREMENT!!!!

MUFON published Hyzer's report! Sainio and I checked on Hyzer's
analysis and concluded it was wrong in certain important areas. Hyzer
gave the strongest endorsement to the road shot being a hoax because there
was no reflection in the hood. This is a good point, explained by a
combination of the dented hood (accident that Hyzer acknowledged) plus
weight in the back of the truck which tilted it in such a way as to "block"
reflections of lights below a certain altitude above ground. The answer
was permanently recorded in the photos itself for anyone, including Hyzer, to
see(the horizon line - treetops -- appeared twice in the hood, which
should not have happened and wouldn't have without the dent)
(b) Hyzer referred to the blue beam but did not test for variation in
brightness where the blue beam crosses the horizon in photo 11: had he done
so he would have prima facie evidence against a simple double exposure;
Hyzer's explanation of photo 1 was refuted.

Science, Logic, and the UFO Debate:
<http://www.primenet.com/~bdzeiler/index.html>

Search for other documents to/from: [jeanvg](#) | [c549597](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalseve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).