



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Sep](#) -> **Re: Sims and Leir: Sincere?**

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Sims and Leir: Sincere?

From: XianneKei@aol.com
Date: Sun, 7 Sep 1997 18:24:34 -0400 (EDT)
Fwd Date: Sun, 07 Sep 1997 19:04:26 -0400
Subject: Re: Sims and Leir: Sincere?

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve@konsulting.com>
>Date: Sun, 07 Sep 1997 15:48:19 -0400
>Fwd Date: Sun, 07 Sep 1997 16:20:41 -0400
>Subject: Re: Statement from Ray Santilli

> Sims should have disclosed the results of
> his implant research to those from whom he removed them,
> but I don't know what type of legal arrangements had been
> made prior to the surgery, and they may give Sims total
> control over the information (which in hindsight shouldn't
> have been signed, if that's the case).

Wonder if they gave copies of those signed documents to the people who had the implants removed (if any paperwork was signed)?

Here's a flashback of what Sims and Leir had to say a little over a year ago about their procedures:

>From CNI News Sept 1, 1996

SIMS & LEIR RESPOND TO QUESTIONS ON IMPLANT REMOVAL

[The following text was faxed on August 31 to the ISCNI office by Derrel Sims and Dr. Roger Leir. It was titled "Response From Derrel and Dr. Leir Regarding Alien Implants And the Collection Of Physical Evidence" and is "an open letter to Michael Lindemann" intended for distribution to readers of the Flash. The text has been slightly edited.]

It has come to our attention that numerous questions have been raised regarding the work we have been doing in the collection of physical evidence for the verification of the abduction phenomena. We would like the opportunity to address some of these issues.

To begin, let us update your readers with the true picture of progress that has been made to date. First of all, we have performed only a limited number of six surgeries. The reason for this has to do with the strict protocols and criteria that we have established. These entities have not only been reviewed by our own board of directors [Fund for Interactive Research in Space Technology -- FIRST] but have met the extreme standards of the National Institute of Discovery Science [NIDS, headed by Robert Bigelow]. This prestigious organization has agreed to fund our work in part, and adds its own expertise to the quality of the findings.

The procedures we developed were not only responsive to the needs of our [abduction] subjects [and] the Ufological community, but also to the established scientific community. We will continue to redefine our procedures with reference to all physical and ethical requirements. All those well meaning folks out there who think they have a clue about our written

procedures, including the CRITERIA and PROTOCOL MANUALS that we have developed, are totally living on another planet. This material is kept locked in a safe place along with other analytical data.

We recently were presented a copy of a set of so-called criteria and rules pertaining to implant removal that someone had placed into cyberspace. All we could do is raise a giant belly laugh. Evidently someone is perpetrating some sort of a juvenile hoax on an unsuspecting ABDUCTEE PUBLIC in the name of medical science. I hope that this will help set the record straight. For all those that might think we are part of this project, please let us state categorically for the record that NO doctors, surgeons, neuropsychologists, psychiatrists, gynecologists or other medical or scientific personnel involved with F.I.R.S.T. have any knowledge of this other project.

We also have recently read in ISCNI*FLASH the account of one of our most revered patients, Mr. Pat Parrinello. We considered it to be an open and honest interview. Pat stated without hesitation his beliefs in relation to the implant removal experience that he had just been through. We have encouraged all of our surgical candidates that want to participate in a public forum, to always be candid and present their personal side of the story. This is the substance of true scientific research. We would like to interject the fact that Pat's experience is still ongoing, and the final outcome will probably not be recognized for some time to come. This also holds true for any of the other surgical candidates.

There has been a number of ludicrous comments that have come to our attention, which have appeared on the internet. If some of these individuals would take the time to read state law, learn the facts, inquire about how true scientific research is performed, and put things into a realistic perspective, many of these issues would be put to rest.

For example: comments made in reference to the so called OWNERSHIP of [excised] implants. First of all, don't you think that it is a little premature for someone to be concerned about the ownership of an object that has not been determined to be anything but a substance that is just plain ALIEN TO THE HUMAN ORGANISM? With regard to ownership, if I, in the capacity of a surgeon, placed a metallic fixation device in a patient's bone and five years hence decided to remove it, who do you think would be its rightful owner? Continuing with this train of thought: If we do find a credible "IMPLANT" of alien origin, would it not belong, then, to the Alien Being that actually installed it in the first place? Let's get serious.

The ownership of such a device truly belongs to humanity at large. We are only the temporary guardians or curators. There should be absolutely no private exploitation as some have suggested.

Recently, we discussed this issue with a number of credible alleged abductees and they were asked about their own personal experiences with possible implants that had either come out of their body or had been purposely removed. By and large, they all seemed to have one problem in common. They either lost them, improperly stored them, or had them stolen -- the net result being total loss of scientific evidence and knowledge for mankind. I wonder if anyone of your readers thinks this is the true path for the pursuit of knowledge.

In reference to our supposed mass solicitation for implant removal [subjects], NOTHING could be farther from the truth. In fact the opposite is the reality of the situation. If we receive one hundred possible inquiries about the removal of these objects, approximately only one of these may even be a viable candidate.

Our goals in this study are:

1. To remove suspect anomalous objects at only the request of the experiencer.
2. To perform this in the most scientific and careful manner.
3. To preserve the specimen under the most favorable conditions for immediate study, or for future examinations under conditions which take advantage of technology which has not been developed yet.
4. To maintain the anonymity of the [experiencer], the professionals, and the involved organizations. The names would only be exposed with the expressed permission of the involved parties.
5. To act as a clearing house and in the capacity of a curator for all obtained physical evidence, not just alleged implants.
6. To scientifically investigate this physical evidence by using the best of possible laboratories available to us.
7. To disseminate this knowledge, first through recognized scientific channels, and finally to the public at large.

We hope that this letter will answer some of the questions posed.

Sincerely,

Derrel Sims & Dr. Leir

Search for other documents to/from: [xiannekei](#) | [steve](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).