



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1997](#) -> [Sep](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Zeta Notso Ridiculous

From: wlmss@peg.apc.org [Lawrie Williams]
Date: Wed, 10 Sep 1997 04:10:46 +1000
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Sep 1997 16:48:27 -0400
Subject: Re: Zeta Notso Ridiculous

> Date: Mon, 8 Sep 1997 12:48:19 -0400
> From: BOB SHELL <76750.2717@compuserve.com>
> Subject: UFO UpDate: Zeta Notso Ridiculous
> To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>

>>From: wlmss@peg.apc.org [Lawrie Williams]
>>Date: Sat, 6 Sep 1997 21:02:33 +1000
>>To: ufo-l@mb.protree.com, updates@globalserve.net
>>Subject: Zeta Notso Ridiculous.

> Neanderthals resemble bigfoot????????? And just how would that be?

Stand a hot dog alongside a bigfoot and a neandertal and you will see that, as the song goes:

"One of these things
Is not like the other...."

> Neanderthals were about the body bulk as modern man, but shorter.
> Bigfoot is invariably described as MUCH bigger and much taller.

There is a huge range of variation in size in Homo sap. If we assume similar racial and geographical variations in neandertals and in bigfoot then that is not a problem, especially given the time and climatic changes that have occurred since.

> There is no evidence that Neanderthals were covered head to toe in
> long hair. Bigfoot always is.

There is no evidence that he was not, either. It seems more likely, given the climate during that period. Also hairlessness is quite rare amongst the primates. There is no reason to assume neandertal was hairless just because humans are, or just because artists have always depicted him that way.

> Neanderthals made and used tools. Bigfoot doesn't.

Maybe we just do not understand the kind of tools this hypothetical neandertal cum bigfoot might now be using. Anyway, look at how our own tool use has changed in several thousand years. How much might Neandertal have changed in 30 000 years? Neandertal with his larger brain could have gone a long way.

> This list could go on and on, but saying that Neanderthals
> resemble Bigfoot is not accurate.

In prehistory it seems as if both Homo sap and Homo neandertalis existed mainly in relatively small pockets of population. Our picture of how both of these groups evolved is far from complete. And beyond any direct link, a variety of other hominid strains no

doubt existed close to the littoral zone, regions that were inundated at about the time that neandertal supposedly dwindled in number and homo sap began to thrive. We really only have a record of the montane varieties of both species because of the fantastic amount of water that seems to have been dumped on this planet (I know this will be disputed) at the end of the last ice age. We are now living on the hilltops of a drowned planet.

Interestingly neandertal seems to have petered out in Spain and that is where modern man and those magnificent cave paintings put in their first appearance, some 20 000 years later.

For all we know in the period 40 000 - 20 000 B.P. they could have developed an advanced civilization including interstellar travel and advanced genetic engineering, just as homo sap is now doing. We must be open to the possibility that advanced hominids with time travel are now visiting us from the past.

Maybe with catastrophe looming they decided to move forward into the future, say to about 2 000 AD. To do this they first got an inferior species to set up the infrastructure for them. But here I speculate wildly in my attempt to reconcile paleontology with observation.

> BTW, most recent DNA analysis on Neanderthals indicates that they
> were much more distantly related to us than used to be thought, and
> apparently not part of our ancestry, not even distantly. Humans
> and Neanderthals did not interbreed.

I think you will find there's a variety of informed opinion on that issue as well.

Whether they were direct descendants of neandertal or something else entirely, there are many references to "giants" with a higher civilization than ours prior to about 400 bc. But that is another story. Thanks for your thought-inspiring comments.

Kind regards,

Lawrie Williams_____

Search for other documents to/from: [wlmss](#) | [76750.2717](#) | [ufo-1](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).