



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



## Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

*Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!*

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1998](#) -> [Apr](#) -> Re: 7000 Year Old Observatory Found In Sahara

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: 7000 Year Old Observatory Found In Sahara

From: [RGates8254](#) <[RGates8254@aol.com](mailto:RGates8254@aol.com)> [Robert Gates]  
Date: Mon, 6 Apr 1998 17:29:33 EDT  
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Apr 1998 01:33:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: 7000 Year Old Observatory Found In Sahara

> >Date: Fri, 3 Apr 1998 07:31:38 -0500  
> >To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
> >From: Bob Shell <[bob@bobshell.com](mailto:bob@bobshell.com)>  
> >Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: 7000 Year Old Observatory Found In Sahara

> >Bob,

> >Have you read all of the work done on Cydonia by the independent  
> >researchers? Some of the most impressive evidence for the  
> >artificial hypothesis is found in the mound geometry. Have you  
> >read the reports done on that? This was done by very competent  
> >scientists. Dr. Horace Crater was one and Dr. James Strange was  
> >the other. Do you think these gentleman don't know what they're  
> >talking about? The chance of this geometric pattern being random  
> >are very high.

> >How can you make such a statement like 100% natural when you  
> >personally haven't published any scientific work on the matter or  
> >have you? Even the independent scientists have said that they  
> >believe the objects MAY be artificial but only hi-res photos and  
> >a visit to Mars will settle the issue. They are open to either  
> >hypothesis. You seem bent towards the natural hypothesis.

> >I don't know what the objects are and I'm waiting to see better  
> >photos before I give any opinions.

> >Joe in Tampa

> Joe,

> I simply think they are natural features. I am not claiming  
> expertise, other than spending a lot of time in the deserts of  
> the USA and seeing a lot of artificial looking things that I know  
> are natural formations.

> I'm not saying, as some are, that it is a waste of time to go and  
> take a good look and see if we can tell. I think the issue needs  
> to be resolved. I do think that there was life on mars in the  
> distant past, but whether that life got much beyond simple  
> creatures is something that seems questionable.

> I am very serious in saying that I would like to be proved wrong  
> on this one.

> Bob

> I tend to believe that the issue will never be resolved. Why?

Scenario 1. NASA releases the photos and they don't show what Hoagland and all the folks who have staked their lives and reputations (not to mention the videos and speaking circuit) on. These folks will almost instantly claim coverup, conspiracy, between NASA and pick your executive branch agency (Air Force, DOD, DARPA, White House and so on) and argue the issue to death for the next 20 years.

Scenario 2. NASA releases the photos and they show things which appear to be man made ... perhaps even make an announcement. Hoagland and others will instantly claim vindication for any and all of his theorsy about Mars.

These (NASA and or Hoagland) conclusions will instaneously be disputed by a number of "well known" scientists (much like the Mars fossilized life meteorite) who will put their spin and interpretation on the subject i.e. why NASA is wrong, why the photos were incorrectly examined, why their scientific examinations reflect conclusions that are totally different blah blah.

Such an announcement will generate a headline on USA today, a day or two of media flapping, then the the media will go back to Clinton Scandel, Ken Starr, and Monica. The American public will also yawn after a day or two and go back to beer and major league baseball.

I too would love to be proved wrong.

Cheers,  
Robert

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**  
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.  
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).