



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



**Our Bookstore is OPEN**

*Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!*

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1998](#) -> [Aug](#) -> Re: Korff & Pleiadian Physics

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: Korff & Pleiadian Physics

From: Jeroen Jansen <[jj4747@dds.nl](mailto:jj4747@dds.nl)>  
Date: Fri, 14 Aug 1998 18:47:33 +0200  
Fwd Date: Fri, 14 Aug 1998 14:38:02 -0400  
Subject: Re: Korff & Pleiadian Physics

Date: Fri, 14 Aug 1998  
To: UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
From: Jeroen Jansen <[jj4747@dds.nl](mailto:jj4747@dds.nl)>  
Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Korff & Pleiadian Physics

>Date: Thu, 13 Aug 1998 01:40:55 +0200  
>From: Andy Denne - A.U.R.A. <[aura@telekabel2.nl](mailto:aura@telekabel2.nl)>  
>To: UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Korff & Pleiadian Physics

>>The question then is what's the basis of your conviction?

>What are your points for your convictions then? A Meier-groupie  
>told me so? That sounds REAL scientific Jeroen..

You accuse me of believing in all sorts of claims by Meier and FIGU. Apparently you assume that I'm convinced of the reality of the Meier case. As I've stated in a previous posting to the list (some time back) that's not true. On the other I also don't take Korff's claims automatically for granted and like to hear both sides of the story. I might add here that I'm also not convinced of the scenario that alien piloted vehicles are visiting Earth. I probably will only be convinced of that if I see it myself. (Hier is de Hollandse nuchterheid :-)

My reasoning regarding the Meier case:

The indirect UFO evidence seems to indicate that for 50 years now, we on Earth have been visited by what appears to be intelligent guided craft which were not built on Earth. However, the indirect data also indicates that if some UFOs are visitors from other stars then the intelligence behind them doesn't want open contact, otherwise they would have done that in the past 50 years or earlier and provided us with direct evidence.

Logic leads me to the conclusion that it's not very probable that the whole cumulation of indirect evidence in the Meier case is the result of hoax. With the cumulation of indirect evidence I mean his + 330 photos, the video and film footage, the sound recordings, the metals samples, the landing tracks, the literature he produced like for example the Talmud Jmmanuel document, and most important the at least 33 persons in the Meier case who claim to have seen UFOs and other phenomena associated with his contacts. Some of these people have also taken UFO photos and one reportedly took 8 mm film footage.

The indirect hoax evidence presented so far by Korff etc. doesn't even come close to providing good detailed explanations

for the above mentioned aspects. There really is no valid reason for eliminating a priori a scenario in which for example the intelligence behind the UFOs is using Meier to promote certain concepts and philosophies here on Earth. Examples of the latter are those warnings against overpopulation, religious fanaticism and global warming. And in such a scenario they have provided Meier with controversial indirect evidence which will attract the attention of many around the planet, but at the same time won't prove the ETH, as is the case with all the UFO evidence.

>>>Our dear colleague Bruce Maccabee did great research on a  
>>>Meier-film in, 94 or '95 I suppose it was and proved it to be  
>>>a model attached to some strings. Why should Meier get the  
>>>benefit of the doubt given to him by Jim Deardorff or Jeroen  
>>>Janssen?

>>What he did was making a case for the hoax hypothesis.  
>>Presenting evidence, no scientific proof.

>In any court someone with enough bodies of evidence against  
>that person would be convicted. Simply because after adding all  
>the evidence up it turns in to indirect proof. Maccabee's  
>research was merely a part of the puzzle.

But it's in the philosophy of science not to convict anybody before acquiring direct evidence. We're not in court here.

>>>Remember the Asket and Nera-fiasco and the absolutely lame  
>>>excuse Meier came up with?

>>Again it's evidence for a hoax, but doesn't prove hoaxing by  
>>Meier, other possibilities remain open, like alien  
>>disinformation hypothesis, should also always be kept in mind.

>Aliens, I thought the MiB did it !!?

>Why would the aliens pose for a picture (reflecting the  
>tv-screen, LMAO) and afterwards replace them by fakes? What's  
>the use of taking the picture then??? Besides that Meier claimed  
>it was the MiB who did it.

Of course I don't deny the possibility they may have been hoaxed, but again, for the reasons already pointed out in this posting, one shouldn't eliminate a priori other possibilities.

>>>Remember the tree that mysteriously vanished? Without ANY trace?

>>Yes, it stands in my garden now. :-) There certainly is indirect  
>>evidence that a tree once stood there Stevens on p. 49 of his  
>>book UFO contact from the Pleiades: a Preliminary Investigation  
>>Report\_ states that an acquaintance of Meier upon seeing the  
>>developed pictures of the 9 July, Fuchsb=FCel am Hofhalden  
>>sequence, located the exact spots from which the pictures were  
>>taken. "He found that the grass here was 20 to 30 centimeters  
>>higher than the other grass around."

>Since when does higher grass indicate there was a tree ones? I  
>>would be curious to know!

The idea here is that, the grass close to the tree stem was missed, while cutting the meadow grass, and then after the tree had disappeared, there was higher grass at the spot where the tree once stood.

>>But also consider that two persons claim to have seen this tree.

>Yes, many people have claimed they've seen Elvis too...two  
>witnesses isn't too convincing, now is it?

Yes, but on the other hand there's a possibility that these witnesses are telling the truth. This is just one those many examples of indirect positive evidence.

>>But can you be more specific about how then these photos were  
>>hoaxed? A model tree?

>I didn't make them too, Jeroen, ask Billy :-)

You are the one who's so sure about these 11 photos having been hoaxed, so you should at least come with some argumentation. Saying that they have been hoaxed because they have been hoaxed

isn't very convincing argumentation (understatement).

>>>Remember even his wife saying it's all a hoax. (Ever since the  
>>>'70s! Meier claims so himself!)

>>She was several times a member of a group of people who had a  
>>UFO sighting. So there's a multiple witness aspect to keep in  
>>mind.

>I had multiple witness sightings too, but none of the people  
>present at that time believe for JUST ONE SECOND that Meier is  
>for real. Guess that goes for Kalliope too...

I find this quite an irrational argument. What I mean here is,  
as anyone should know who has studied the Meier case data, is  
that she reportedly was one of those people who has followed  
Meier close to the alleged contact sites and then more than once  
had a UFO sighting. Although Kalliope's now denies having seen  
anything during such events, others present during such events  
claim that they together with Kalliope had a UFO sighting.  
Another example of indirect positive evidence.

>>>Remember Meier was seen purchasing helium several times.

>>Can you list more details about this. Source? etc.

>I'll look it up for you and send it to you.

Thanks in advance.

>>>Remember the proven cut & paste-edge on picture #200?

>>Was the jpg from the FIGU site really the source material for  
>>this analysis ?

>Haha, this is so typical, first they complain that others  
>might have manipulated the pictures now this...

>Well, if that's all that it takes to determine clear  
>cut-and-paste edges while they're trying to pass'em for real,  
>what more would you want???

Of course one needs to analyze the original diapositive before  
one can safely conclude that it really is a cut and paste. You  
need to know more about the history of the jpg posted on the site  
by FIGU.

>>>Etc., etc., etc.

>>>The list above makes you wonder why Meier is still an issue...  
>>>Maybe we should accept Rael as truthfull as well, or maybe the  
>>>Heaven's Gate cult was right also...

>>>A surprised and wonderin'

>>There's much UFO data in this case which is simply being ignored  
>>by most UFO researchers, that data shows that the hoax  
>>hypothesis is much more complicated. That data may have  
>>important consequences for the ETH. It indicates that "they may  
>>be playing games with us." And You only have to study the  
>>available Meier case literature to find this out. By doing that  
>>you will also find out how 'scientific and unbiased' Korff's  
>>work really is.

>What makes you think I didn't?

See my comments about Kalliope in this posting.

>The more Meier material one reads the more it becomes obvious  
>the man's a cult leader making a good living of the ones naive  
>enough to believe his BS!

Well, that your opinion.

Regards,

Jeroen Jansen

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**  
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.  
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).