



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1998](#) -> [Aug](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Korff & Pleiadian Physics

From: **Jim Deardorff** <deardorj@proaxis.com>
Date: Sat, 15 Aug 1998 18:13:53 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Aug 1998 09:17:42 -0400
Subject: Re: Korff & Pleiadian Physics

>Date: Thu, 13 Aug 1998 02:01:14 +0200
>From: Andy Denne - A.U.R.A." <aura@telekabel2.nl>
>To: UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Korff & Pleiadian Physics

>>Date: Mon, 10 Aug 1998 23:53:45 -0700 (PDT)
>>To: UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>>From: Jim Deardorff <deardorj@proaxis.com>
>>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Re: Korff & Pleiadian Physics

>>>Date: Mon, 10 Aug 1998 21:49:21 +0200
>>>From: Andy Denne - A.U.R.A. <aura@telekabel2.nl>
>>>To: UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: Korff & Pleiadian Physics

>>>>Date: Sun, 9 Aug 1998 22:11:27 -0700 (PDT)
>>>>To: UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>>>>From: Jim Deardorff <deardorj@proaxis.com>
>>>>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Korff & Pleiadian Physics

>>An implicit assumption underlying Bruce's analysis is that
>>aliens/ETs wouldn't be smart enough or possess any strategy of
>>dealing with us that could be deceptive at times. Such as
>>causing their UFO to simulate pendulum-like motions, for
>>purposes that may be similar to those of the MIBs. That is,
>>Bruce never commented upon that possibility, perhaps because
>>most ufologists of note, and journal editors, do not care to
>>think about it. Yet Bruce has noted (IUR 18, No. 1, 1993, p. 22)
>>that some half-dozen videos of the red/white nighttime GB UFOs
>>showed movements with a quite regular oscillation. There are
>>other instances of this, one being in the MUFON J. of Sept.
>>1993, p. 20, where the primary witness reported the UFO "swung
>>like a pendulum" for a while. Obviously we need to keep the
>>capabilities and intelligence of the UFO pilots in mind -- the
>>"I" in ETI.

>>>Remember the Asket and Nera-fiasco and the absolutely lame
>>>excuse Meier came up with?

Have you forgotten that Meier was merely repeating the
explanation he received from one of his contactors (Ptaah)? Are
you one of those who believe that aliens/ETs would never tell
their abductees/contactees any falsehoods? It's understandable
that a contactee would believe it 100%, but you and I don't need
to.

So re-read the above, and think over any other past UFO cases
you may be able to recall, in which alien intelligence is
involved. They have not been labeled "Masters of deception"
without good reason.

>>>Remember the tree that mysteriously vanished? Without ANY trace?

Jeroen responded to you on this: when two witnesses visited the site of Meier's Fuchsbuel photos they noted that many of its branches had turned brown or dead; a few days later when they revisited the site the whole tree was observed to be dead; a few days later still the tree had vanished without leaving any trace. Besides Jeroen's response, I may add that several persons around Meier were as perplexed by this as you are, and hounded him to ask his prime contactor (Semjase) to provide a demonstration of such. She eventually did, though not before their very eyes, but in a pre-designated area on Oct. 17, 1976. At Meier's request, several of these persons took photos of the area before the demonstration, and then again of course a short time afterwards, when a small tree (some 4-5 meters tall) was then absent with absolutely no sign of disturbance of the ground. A smaller tree about 3 meters tall had been right next to the 4-5 meter tree, and the curvature of its trunk and centerline was much in evidence after the disappearance of the demonstration tree that had shielded the smaller tree from sunlight. I.e., there was this evidence, besides the photos, that the 4-5 meter test tree had indeed vanished. I believe that Moosbrugger's photo comparisons of the before & after appearances of the demonstration site are the best available on this, in his book (in German: "Und Doch Sie Fliegen" (or some such)).

Is your mind, and that of Korff, too small to conceive that the capabilities and actions of aliens/ETs thousands or hundreds of thousands of years advanced over us would seem like magic to us? And too narrow to conceive that UFO entities could be educating us over a many-decade period as to some of their capabilities relative to our level of understanding?

>>You also need to keep the intelligence & capabilities of the UFO >>pilots in mind, rather than ignoring it.

>Mind if I wanna meet one first before trying to draw conclusion >on it's capabilities, or its intellegence.

Until then, you'll need to rely upon the reports of sincere witnesses.

>So, Bruce's work was just "saying IF" but keeping the aliens >intellence in mind isn't? Jim, that seems like double-standards >to me...

Um, if they can get from "out there" to here in craft whose maneuvering capabilities greatly exceeds your understanding, and even that of Bruce and Mark, that alone demonstrates intelligence behind these craft that exceeds yours and mine. So this was a matter of consistency, not double standards.

>>>Perhaps you could fill me in on the details of that, along with >>source and names. And what size and weight were the helium >>bottles?

>I don't see what the size of the bottle has to do with it. And >if you want it confirmed ask FIGU, just call their SSSC and ask >them if Billy ever used helium to build a UFO, THEY admitted >it...although they said it to be just an experiment just to see >how it would look (rather silly excuse, don't you think?)

What I had in mind here was the problem of Meier having to tote a heavy helium bottle plus balloon on his Mo-Ped in addition to his camera, warm weather gear, etc., to various semi-isolated spots if he had been a hoaxer. But the other half of the problem is to inflate a rather large helium balloon under windy or breezy conditions. You need a shelter of some sort in which to do it (as with weather balloons), and even then it seems like you need at least three hands to inflate the balloon and tie it off.

>>>Remember the proven cut & paste-edge on picture #200?

>>That's the photo that Wendelle Stevens had analyzed in Basel >>with the best scanning computer available then (~1979), and had >>enhanced. So when you look at that one, you're not looking at an >>untouched copy of the original. You need only read pp. 339-340 >>of Stevens' 1982 "Preliminary Investigation Report" to learn >>about that. That enhancement is also the photo whose enlargement >>Timmermann used to post at MUFON UFO meetings as showing too

>>clearly a defined UFO edge to be genuine. I don't know if he
>>realized the history of it or not. If he had wished, he could
>>instead have posted enlargements of untouched Meier photo copies
>>that show the haze effect very well.

>This all sounds great but we took the pic from there own page
>and if your interested I'd be pleased to send you a JPG-version
>of pic. 200 showing those edges.

Their relevant web page on this shows five different photos on which you can click. The first one is photo #200, which shows it like it was. The second one was the enlargement and enhancement of which I spoke, which Stevens long ago made available to Meier for his photo collection. It was also labeled photo #200, but with filename "F200au.jpg" in which "au" stands for "Ausschnittvergrosserung," indicating it is a cropped enlargement. Here's part of the history of this photo that you should learn about before crying "fraud":

>From Stevens, p. 339 of "Preliminary Investigation Report" (1982):

"This photograph [#200's original diapositive] was taken to Interrepro, A.G., in Basel where it was put into a HELL Chromograph DC 300 scanning computer which, using an Argon laser beam, scanned the original transparency at 400 lines per centimeter and created new 4-color separation negatives. These negatives were then taken to Schori Repros in Bern where they were set up and printed on poster paper."

As far as Stevens was aware, this represented the state of the art in 1979.

Now, Andy, are you still claiming that this photo that Wendelle had enhanced was a fraud because of its appearance after it had been enhanced?

Jim Deardorff
Corvallis, Oregon
E-mail: deardorj@proaxis.com
Home page: <http://www.proaxis.com/~deardorj/index.htm>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).