



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1998](#) -> [Aug](#) -> Re: Bruce Maccabee & The AIR Report?

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Bruce Maccabee & The AIR Report?

From: Michael Christol <mchristo@mindspring.com>
Date: Mon, 31 Aug 1998 05:58:30 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 31 Aug 1998 13:46:26 -0400
Subject: Re: Bruce Maccabee & The AIR Report?

>From: Greg St. Pierre <StrmNut@aol.com>
>Date: Sun, 30 Aug 1998 22:04:46 EDT
>To: updates@globalserve.net
>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Bruce Maccabee & The AIR Report?

>>Date: Sun, 30 Aug 1998 13:38:28 -0500
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>>From: Michael Christol <mchristo@mindspring.com>
>>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Bruce Maccabee & The AIR Report?

>>How would you surmise that they would be any more likely to
>>project the images on the wheat than a sophisticated, solid
>>state, high tech, computerized, graphic oriented, microwave
>>generating, beam capable communications satellite could?

>I don't surmise it at all. American satellites were incapable of
>bringing to the world's attention India's nuclear capabilities
>until after the weapons were detonated. Yet, somehow there are
>satellites over Europe that have the optical or energy-focusing
>resolution necessary to produce the intricate, frequently woven
>patterns hundreds of miles below? Even if such a system existed,
>it clearly works perfectly...so why keep testing it (in the same
>place), and risk discovery of the pattern's source?

You are assuming that the American Government is being totally truthful with us. I on the other hand doubt that they are. It has been said as far back as the 60's that American Spy Satellites had the capability of reading a newspaper over the shoulder of a person standing on a street corner. Yet, we are to believe that a sophisticated "Spy Satellite" trained on a "fixed" area of the earth's surface missed something as significant as a "hydrogen bomb" test! I was of the opinion at first that it was an "atomic bomb," but later heard on one of the news outlets, of which I cannot remember, that it was in fact a "hydrogen bomb." Did I hear correctly? IF not, please correct me. There is a considerable difference between the two.

Another thing, you seem to assume that they are testing the satellite...I on the other hand do not make such an assumption. I suggest they are deliberately adding to the UFO lore by doing this, when in fact it may have nothing to do with UFOs. As far as risking discovery of the pattern's source, how is that going to happen? You don't see a "beam" projecting into the fields from above. You don't see anything.

Again, this a purely subjective area of ufology.

>>Just how would the UFO project or lay out the pattern? Would

>>they not have the same capabilities as those mentioned in the
>>preceeding paragraph?

>

>Are you suggesting that we may have the same technology as ETs
>hundreds or thousands of years in advance of our own? I think
>not. I think there would be far greater and noble uses for such
>technology if we had it.

No...I am suggesting that ET's have nothing to do with this at all. I am suggesting that it is purely a local phenomena, one originating, not in the depths of space, but from right here on earth.

I am going to be honest with you Greg. I am not sure there are ET's visiting this planet. I am convinced that at least 90 per cent of ALL UFO sightings are a result of advanced technology produced by someone on this planet, and that it has always been such.

I know this is not a popular point of view, but I have not seen any evidence to dispute this.

I know it is popular to blame everything on ET's. But I also know that the Military powers in this country have been working with the CIA and other groups developing Mind Altering Drugs and other techniques including sonic devices which effect the brains ability to tell the difference from what we call reality and fantasy.

I also know this taps on the average UFO believers sacred beliefs. I grew up believing in the reality of ET's, but in the past ten years or so, have seen my opinion gradually swing away from such a belief.

I am not saying there is not other life forms existing in the Universe, I am saying that more and more, I am doubting that they are coming here and producing all of the "sightings" and "abductions," which have been credited to them.

I am open to the opinion that someone here has discovered a technology which is responsible for everything we are seeing and hearing.
Sorry!

>I know that microwave energy will make grass lay down in a swirl
>>pattern. Try it for yourself. Take a clump of grass a foot
>>square and place it in your microwave oven. Experiment with the
>>time....see what happens.

>Yes, that would go over hugely with the wife. I assume you mean
>standing grass, still firmly in it's soil. I can't even get my
>food to heat evenly 8 to 10 inches away from the microwave
>emitter, much less from 300 miles up. Anyway, I think the soil
>would have far more water content, and would therefore heat up
>long before the grass would be affected.

Ha, ha, ha...I understand what you are saying, but contrary to your beliefs, the grass will go from a standing position to a swirling one. That was demonstrated several years ago in our discussions on this very subject.

What we could use here is interjection by someone who was active at that time and remembers this.

>So how does one stop the microwaves from just blasting into the
>ground? How would they withhold their "bending power" until just
>above the ground? Why were no bad experiments found, wherein
>obvious failures in the system occured during initial testing
>stages? To my knowledge even the simplest legitimate circles
>were still perfect in dimention. Would microwaves be attenuated
>by cloud cover? Could the imaging system look through the clouds
>with sufficient accuracy to create the desired result? Crop
>circles can and do occur under overcast conditions.

Sure microwaves could produce the patterns through cloud cover. They are using infrared devices to view the earth's surface during cloudy weather and at night. These things you are suggesting are not a problem. Where they get the energy to produce these things is easy...most of them are solar powered these days. Which gives them an endless access to the power necessary to operate all of the satellites operating systems.

>Sorry, I just don't think it's satellites.

Hey, Greg...that is fine...I have no problem with your opinions. I am simply exchange ideas with you, not attacking you. As I have said, to this point it is purely subjective...

>As for your lamentations about MUFON; when I recently expressed >a desire to join, a scientist told me "MUFON is a black hole for >UFO reports". That wasn't very encouraging.

>Greg

Yes, MUFON has had that tag hung on it, and I cannot say that there is not truth to it. Yet, where else can the average person go to find such a library of information on this subject.

Well, perhaps my file library, may contain much of the information found there, but, not nearly all of it. <g>

Take care Greg.

REgards, Mike

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).