



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1998](#) -> [Feb](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: 'UFO Sphere/Orb' over Brooklyn, NY

From: "Tom Burnett" <burnettc@gte.net>
Date: Mon, 9 Feb 1998 17:23:31 -1000
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Feb 1998 23:29:22 -0500
Subject: Re: 'UFO Sphere/Orb' over Brooklyn, NY

> Date: Mon, 9 Feb 1998 08:25:28 -0800 (PST)
> To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
> From: Jim Deardorff <deardorj@proaxis.com>
> Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: 'UFO Sphere/Orb' over Brooklyn, NY

> >From: "Tom Burnett" <burnettc@gte.net>
> >To: "UFO UpDates - Toronto" <updates@globalserve.net>
> >Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: 'UFO Sphere/Orb' over Brooklyn, NY
> >Date: Sun, 8 Feb 1998 19:39:24 -1000

> >> From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
> >> To: updates@globalserve.net
> >> Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: 'UFO Sphere/Orb' over Brooklyn, NY
> >> Date: Sunday, February 8, 1998 17:32 PM

> >> Date: Sun, 8 Feb 1998 19:13:54 -0800 (PST)
> >> To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
> >> From: Jim Deardorff <deardorj@proaxis.com>
> >> Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: 'UFO Sphere/Orb' over Brooklyn, NY

<snip>

> As you should know, one doesn't divorce a photograph from the
> testimony of the eye-witness to the event who took the
> photograph.

Very well, Jim. The photographer says that he cannot identify
the object as terrestrial or extraterrestrial. Or anything about
it. It might be a lens flare, a wayward monopole, the
manifestation of a portion of the dark matter in the universe,
or nothing at all.

> >>and (2) it says something about their strategy
> >>or level of ethics in dealing with us, in that they provide a
> >>certain measure of deniability to persons who can't accept its
> >>reality and who don't mind ignoring certain aspects about the
> >>object's description or reported behavior when latching onto that
> >>deniability factor.

Your logic is starting to wear thin, Jim. What you are saying,
in effect, is that if a skeptic sees a ET craft it will appear to
him as an unidentifiable anomaly. If a non-skeptic is standing
next to him and sees the same thing, he will see an ET craft. If
they both take pictures, the skeptic will get a picture of a lens
flare and the non-skeptic will get a picture of an ET craft that
LOOKS like a lens flare in case he decides to show it to another
skeptic.

> To me, that says something about their level of ethics, even if
> you don't see it.

Ok...What does it tell you and who are we talking about?

Aloha Tom

Search for other documents to/from: [burnette](#) | [deardorj](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).