



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1998](#) -> [Jan](#) -> Re: 'The Threat' - Sandow on Jacobs' new book

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: 'The Threat' - Sandow on Jacobs' new book

From: "Greg Sandow" <gsandow@prodigy.net>
Date: Thu, 8 Jan 1998 18:15:49 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 09 Jan 1998 00:00:12 -0500
Subject: Re: 'The Threat' - Sandow on Jacobs' new book

> From: BGBOPPER <BGBOPPER@aol.com> [Russ Estes]
> Date: Thu, 8 Jan 1998 14:22:20 EST
> To: updates@globalserve.net
> Subject: Re: 'The Threat' - Sandow on Jacobs' new book

> Is the Kevin Randle Book that you mention "Faces of the Visitors"
> by Kevin Randle and Russ Estes ?? <Grin> (Blatant Plug)

That's the book. Lots of cases briefly but sympathetically reviewed, with reliability ratings. Roswell gets a 9 (out of 10), many famous abduction cases rank far lower.

> If so, the very reason that 'Faces of the Visitors' was produced
> is the fact that most of the attention given to Alien Abduction
> is directed at the Classic Gray Alien. From the reports given by
> over 150 Abductees, Contactees, and Observers, the classic Gray
> seem to be the vocal minority. Kudos on a fine review.

Yes, the intention is very clear. A question, though -- how carefully were the 150 people interviewed? What's the reliability rating for each of them? If you include only those you'd rate as highly reliable, would the variety of alien types look any different?

Finally, what's the point of referring to these 150 undifferentiated reports? (I'm referring to something in the text which -- if I remember correctly -- states that 150 people were actually talked to, quite apart from the many cases catalogued in the book.) If we're interested to know what UFOs look like, obviously we don't look at cases where we don't believe the witness, or when the witness pretty clearly saw something known. Sure, you can find 150 (or 1500 or probably 15,000) people who say they've seen all variety of aliens, but how believable are most of them?

Greg Sandow

Search for other documents to/from: [gsandow](#) | [bgbopper](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).